Review of Federal Funding to Florida in Fiscal Year 2007

December 2008

Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations



Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (As of December 2008) **Legislative Members Gubernatorial Appointees** Senator Anthony Hill, Sr., Chair To Be Announced District 1 Representative James Frishe, Vice Chair District 54 Senator Nancy Detert District 23 Senator Mike Haridopolos District 26 Senator Frederica Wilson District 33 Representative Charles Chestnut, IV District 23 **Representative Brad Drake** District 5 **Representative Mike Horner** District 79

Alton L. "Rip" Colvin, Jr. - Executive Director

What is the LCIR?

The Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (LCIR) is a legislative entity that facilitates the development of intergovernmental policies and practices. The Florida LCIR strives to improve coordination and cooperation among state agencies, local governments, and the federal government.

What Issues Have Been Addressed by the LCIR?

The LCIR completes several publications annually, including the Local Government Financial Information Handbook, Finalized Salaries of County Constitutional Officers and Elected School District Officials, and Intergovernmental Impact Report (Mandates and Measures Affecting Local Government Fiscal Capacity). In addition, the LCIR has addressed the following issues:

- o Municipal Incorporations and Annexation
- Impact Fees
- o Natural Disaster Preparedness, Response and Recovery
- o Local Government Financial Emergencies
- Urban Infill & Infrastructure Capacity
- Marina and Dock Permitting

- o State, Regional, and Local Planning
- o Voting by Mail
- o Economic Development
- Affordable Housing
- Federal Funds to Florida
- o Federal/State Relations

If you would like additional copies of this report or have comments or questions pertaining to the information contained herein, please contact the LCIR at (850) 488-9627. We welcome your input or suggestions. Our mailing address is:

Florida LCIR c/o Legislative Mail Services Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300 Homepage: <u>http://www.floridalcir.gov</u>

Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide the Florida Legislature and other interested parties with a review and analysis of federal funding to Florida in fiscal year 2007 using data produced by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. In particular, this report focuses on federal grant expenditures to Florida's state and local governments. Florida's historically low per capita ranking among the states in the receipt of federal grants has been an area of particular concern to policymakers.

This report should be useful for making statistical comparisons among states of various federal agencies' funding programs. Additionally, the report should be instructive to decision makers working to develop consensus on priorities and strategies for increasing the state's receipt of federal grants.

This report begins with a review of the two major classifications of federal financial assistance provided to states. These two classifications are: 1) federal direct expenditures and 2) other financial assistance.

Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida

Federal direct expenditures constitute actual funding outlays or obligations of the federal government. These expenditures are reported by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in five categories: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries & wages. In 2007, federal direct expenditures to Florida totaled \$147 billion or \$8,059 per capita, based on the state's 2007 population estimate of 18.3 million. Florida had the 4th largest expenditure of all states, unchanged from 2006. However, on a per capita basis, Florida ranked 29th among the states, down from 25th in 2006.

Direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability constituted the largest category of federal direct expenditure. This category includes Social Security payments, federal retirement and disability payments, and veterans' benefits. Florida's direct payments for retirement and disability totaled \$55.6 billion, or \$3,044 per capita, and accounted for 38 percent of the state's total federal direct expenditures. Florida had the 2nd largest expenditure total of the fifty states, unchanged from 2006, and ranked 7th on a per capita basis, unchanged from 2006.

Considering Florida's large elderly and retiree populations, these rankings should come as no surprise. Based on Census 2000 counts, Florida's elderly population, defined as age 65 years and over, totaled 2.8 million and accounted for 8 percent of the nation's total elderly population of 35 million. In Florida, the elderly constituted nearly 18 percent of the state's total population. The elderly, as a percentage of the total state population, was higher in Florida than in any other state.

The next largest category of federal direct expenditure was direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability. This category includes such items as Medicare benefits, Excess Earned Income Tax Credits, Unemployment Compensation, and Food Stamp payments. Florida's other direct payments totaled \$42.6 billion, or \$2,332 per capita, and accounted for 29 percent of the state's total federal direct expenditures. Florida had the 2nd largest expenditure total of the fifty states, unchanged from 2006, and ranked 7th on a per capita basis, up from 8th in 2006.

Federal grant obligations to Florida totaled \$21.4 billion, or \$1,173 per capita, and represented 15 percent of total federal direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the 4th largest obligations total of the fifty states, up from 5th in 2006; however, the state ranked 49th on a per capita basis, down from 46th in 2006.

The value of procurement contract obligations totaled \$15.8 billion, or \$863 per capita, and accounted for 11 percent of the state's total federal direct expenditures. Florida had the 5th largest obligations total of the fifty states, unchanged from 2006, and ranked 32nd on a per capita basis, also unchanged from 2006.

The smallest category of federal direct expenditures to Florida was salaries and wages. Such payments totaled \$11.8 billion, or \$647 per capita, and accounted for 8 percent of total federal direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the 4th largest expenditure total of the fifty states, unchanged from 2006; and the state ranked 33^{rd} on a per capita basis, down from 32^{nd} in 2006.

Other Financial Assistance to Florida

Other financial assistance consists of the face value of federal insurance coverage as well as

the dollar volume of federal guaranteed and direct loans. Other financial assistance to Florida totaled \$465 billion or \$25,453 per capita. The state ranked first among the fifty states in both total and per capita assistance due to the significant face value of flood insurance coverage provided to Florida, which constituted 97 percent of total other financial assistance provided to the state by the federal government.

The total amounts of other federal assistance to Florida are summarized below.

Face Value of Insurance Coverage

Total: \$454 *billion; Rank:* 1st (1st in 2006) *Per Capita:* \$24,862; *Rank:* 1st (1st in 2006)

Dollar Volume of Guaranteed Loans

Total: \$10.2 *billion; Rank:* 3rd (3rd in 2006) *Per Capita:* \$561; *Rank:* 32nd (41st in 2006)

Dollar Volume of Direct Loans

Total: \$544 million; *Rank:* 15th (5th in 2006) *Per Capita:* \$30; *Rank:* 46th (18th in 2006)

How Florida Compares to Other Populous States

The U.S. Census Bureau reported that the federal direct expenditures (i.e., direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, grants, procurement contracts, and salaries and wages) to the fifty states totaled \$2.47 trillion in 2007, up from \$2.37 trillion in 2006. The combined federal direct expenditures to the seven most populous states of California, Texas. New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio, totaled \$1.05 trillion or 42 percent of the fifty states' total.

The total federal direct expenditures of the seven most populous states are as follows.

- 1. California: \$260 billion
- 2. Texas: \$172 billion
- 3. New York: \$158 billion
- 4. Florida: \$147 billion
- 5. Pennsylvania: \$117 billion
- 6. Ohio: \$105 billion
- 7. Illinois: \$89 billion

However, as illustrated below, the states' respective rankings change when controlling for population differences. The per capita federal direct expenditures of these same seven states are as follows.

- 1. Pennsylvania: \$9,423 (1st in 2006)
- 2. Ohio: \$9,175 (5th in 2006)
- 3. New York: \$8,177 (2nd in 2006)
- 4. Florida: \$8,059 (3rd in 2006)
- 5. Texas: \$7,186 (4th in 2006)
- 6. California: \$7,124 (6th in 2006)
- 7. Illinois: \$6,899 (7th in 2006)

Florida's Receipt of Federal Grants

Federal grants continue to be important sources of revenue utilized by our nation's state and local governments to provide necessary infrastructure and services to their residents. Federal grant expenditures (i.e., actual outlays) to the fifty states totaled \$430 billion in fiscal year 2007.

As opposed to the \$21.4 billion of federal grant obligations to Florida previously discussed, federal grant expenditures to our state and local governments totaled \$20 billion, or \$1,098 per capita. Florida had the 4th largest federal grants expenditure of the fifty states, unchanged from 2006, and the

state ranked 45^{th} on a per capita basis, up from 47^{th} in 2006.

The grant funding received from six federal departments (i.e., Health and Human Services, Transportation, Education, Housing and Urban Development, Agriculture, and Homeland Security) totaled \$19.3 billion and accounted for 96 percent of all grants expenditures to Florida. A summary of these grant expenditures to Florida as well as some of the more well-known program categories within these departments are listed below.

Health and Human Services Grants

Total: \$11 *billion; Rank:* 5th (5th in 2006) *Per Capita:* \$604; *Rank:* 46th (46th in 2006)

- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
- Administration for Children and Families

Transportation Grants

Total: \$2.7 *billion; Rank:* 3rd (4th in 2006) *Per Capita:* \$149; *Rank:* 35th (40th in 2006)

- Federal Highway Administration
- Federal Aviation Administration
- Federal Transit Administration

Education Grants

Total: \$2.1 *billion; Rank:* 4th (4th in 2006) *Per Capita:* \$113; *Rank:* 31st (35th in 2006)

- Office of Elementary and Secondary Education
- Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services
- Office of Vocational and Adult Education

Housing & Urban Development Grants

Total: \$1.7 *billion; Rank:* 10th (9th in 2006) *Per Capita:* \$95; *Rank:* 36th (44th in 2006)

- Housing Programs
- Community Planning and Development

Agriculture Grants

Total: \$1.1 *billion; Rank:* 4th (4th *in* 2006) *Per Capita:* \$61; *Rank:* 42nd (42nd *in* 2006)

- Food and Nutrition Services
- Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Services
- Rural Development Activities

Homeland Security Grants

Total: \$618 million; Rank: 2nd (2nd in 2006) Per Capita: \$34; Rank: 7th (5th in 2006)

- Federal Emergency Management Agency
- Domestic Preparedness and Antiterrorism Programs

Federal Grants to Florida in Recent Years

Since 1996 the LCIR has conducted an annual review of federal funding to Florida using the U.S. Census Bureau's data. Federal grant expenditures to Florida have increased significantly during this period.

Between 1996 and 2007, federal grant expenditures increased 138 percent from \$8.4 billion to \$20 billion, which equates to an average annual growth rate of 12.6 percent. Florida's ranking among all states in total grant expenditures improved from 7th in 1996 to 4th in 2007. However, much of this substantial growth in federal grant expenditures to Florida occurred between 1997 and 2003. In fact, between 2004 and 2007, the average annual growth rate was 3.3 percent.

Florida's relative share of grant expenditures to the fifty states increased from 3.9 percent in 1996 to 4.7 percent in 2007, which was unchanged from 2006. Interestingly, Florida's relative share of the total population of the fifty states increased from 5.4 percent in 1996 to 6.1 percent in 2007.

Florida's per capita federal grant expenditure increased from \$586 in 1996 to \$1,098 in 2007. Although Florida's per capita ranking has shown some upward movement in recent years, it still remains low. The state's per capita ranking improved from 48^{th} in 1996 to its highest level of 43^{rd} in 2005, but dropped to 47^{th} in 2006 then improved to 45^{th} in 2007.

Conclusion

Although this report discusses the various types of federal direct expenditure and other financial assistance to Florida, the focus is on federal grant expenditures. Despite Florida's low per capita federal grants expenditure, 45th in 2007, federal assistance to our state, primarily in the form of grant funding, accounted for 28 percent of state government's total direct revenue during the 2005-06 fiscal year, according to the Florida Consensus Estimating Conference's most recent *Long Term Revenue Analysis (Fall 2007)*.

Numerous reasons exist for Florida's low per capita federal grants funding; however, three likely explanations are of particular significance. First, many funding formulas are based on outdated population figures or other factors that do not reflect the state's rapid growth in recent decades. Congressional support to revise funding formula inequities is difficult to obtain if other states stand to lose federal funds under revised formulas that benefit Florida. Second, small state minimums in formula allocations disadvantage more populous states like Florida. Third, Florida may not be aggressively pursuing all federal grant opportunities.

Several years ago, the LCIR surveyed Florida's state agencies regarding the receipt of federal grants. In response to the question of why the state ranked low in the per capita receipt of many federal grants, state agencies offered a number of explanations. Such explanations included the state's failure to allocate sufficient state matching funds, federal "strings" or policy requirements serving as conditions for receipt of federal grants funding, and cutbacks in federal funding.

In this report, the LCIR utilized the per capita measure to control for population differences among states. However, such a measure does not take into consideration levels of need or utilization. State agency representatives have previously noted that per capita measurements of certain federal grants receipts, while low compared to other states, might not have reflected the fact that such funding was adequately serving the target populations.

In spite of these caveats, the data presented in this report show that Florida still lags behind other states in the receipt of federal grants. In 2007, Florida's per capita federal grants expenditure was \$331 less than the national per capita expenditure. In 2006, Florida's per capita federal grants expenditure was \$295 less than the national per capita expenditure, so the "gap" has increased. In 2007, Florida's per capita expenditure was 77 percent of the national per capita expenditure, compared in 81 and 78 percent in 2005 and 2006, respectively. Had Florida's per capita grants expenditure of \$1,098 in 2007 equaled just the national average of \$1,429, then this state would have received \$6 billion in additional grant funding.

Recommendations

During the 2007-08 legislative interim, the LCIR staff undertook a research project entitled, *Federal Grants – How Florida's Local Government Can Improve*, to identify federal grants that the state's local governments receive and determine if similarly situated local governments are eligible to participate in those grant programs. The results of that research project were published in the Committee's June 2008 report entitled *Federal Grants to Florida's Local Governments*.

A number of recommendations, many of which have been included in prior editions of this annual report, as well as several new recommendations included in the June 2008 report, *Federal Grants to Florida Local Governments*, are offered as ways to potentially increase Florida's receipt of federal grants.

Local governments should take the following steps to increase their receipt of grant funds:

• Register with <u>www.Grants.gov</u> and take full advantage of all this interactive website has to offer.

Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations

- As <u>www.Grants.gov</u> continues to evolve, local governments should provide the website with feedback on issues that could bear improvement, such as timeframes and uniformity of application processes and forms.
- Collaborate with other local governments and regional entities to explore meeting eligibility requirements of certain grants.
- Using data posted on the LCIR's website, <u>www.floridalcir.gov</u>, local governments can compare themselves with neighboring or similarly situated local governments to ascertain whether they are taking full advantage of all available grant opportunities.
- Once suitable grants have been identified, local government staff should develop a direct rapport with personnel from the granting agency through phone and e-mail contact whenever possible.
- Conduct cost-benefit analyses to assess the fiscal value of hiring one or more grant writers.
- Identify federal and state policy changes needed to enhance Florida's access to federal funding streams.
- Support state efforts to form coalitions with similarly-situated states to pursue changes in outdated or inequitable federal funding formulas.
- Work with the state and Congress to implement changes to federal funding formulas determined to be outdated or inequitable.

- Vigorously pursue increased availability of state matching funds or other locally available funds.
- Invest in training provided at the state and local levels for accessing federal and state grant funding.
- Increase communication and coordination on federal funding issues among state agencies, the Governor's Office, the Florida Washington Office, the Legislature, and the Congressional Delegation.
- When competing with local governments from other states for non-formula grants, enlist assistance from Congressional Offices, who are typically able to provide letters of support.

The Department of Environmental Protection may wish to consider:

- Advocating for amendment to Governor's Executive Order 95-359 to clarify and streamline the role and function of the Single Point of Contact (SPOC) housed within the department, and any appropriate statutory changes to s. 403.061(40), F.S.
- Alternatively, evaluating the benefit to the state and local governments of the SPOC and determining whether the SPOC should be dispensed with or maintained.

Table of Contents

<u>Pa</u>	<u>ige #</u>
Introduction	1
Part One: Federal Financial Assistance to Florida	3
A. Introduction	3
B. Data Source	3
C. Federal Financial Assistance to Florida	
D. Federal Direct Expenditures	
1. Direct Payments for Individuals for Retirement and Disability	
2. Direct Payments for Individuals Other Than for Retirement and Disability	
3. Grants	
4. Procurement Contracts	
5. Salaries and Wages	
6. Summary	
E. Other Financial Assistance	
1. Face Value of Insurance Coverage	
2. Dollar Volume of Guaranteed Loans	
3. Dollar Volume of Direct Loans	
4. Summary	
F. Conclusion	
Part Two: Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida Counties	25
A. Introduction	25
B. Data Source	25
C. Geographic Coding of Federal Direct Expenditures	25
D. Federal Direct Expenditures by County	25
1. Federal Direct Expenditures by Category	26
2. Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures by Category	
3. Federal Direct Expenditures by Category as a Percentage of Total	
E. Conclusion	
Part Three: Federal Grants to Florida's State and Local Governments	35
A. Introduction	35

B. Data Sources	
C. Federal Grants by Department or Agency	
D. Conclusion	

A. Introduction	63
B. Data Sources	63
C. States' Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures by Agency	63
D. Conclusion	64

Appendix: Federal Departments and Agencies – Addresses of Websites1	112
---	-----

List of Graphs and Tables

Page

Part One	
Table 1-1: Federal Direct Expenditures and Other Financial Assistance to Florida	9
Table 1-2: Federal Direct Payments to Individuals in Florida for Retirement and Disability	10
Table 1-3: Federal Direct Payments to Individuals in Florida Other Than for Retirement	
and Disability	11
Table 1-4: Federal Grant Obligations to Florida	12
Table 1-5: Federal Procurement Contract Obligations to Florida	14
Table 1-6: Federal Salary and Wage Expenditures to Florida	16
Table 1-7: States' Percentage Share of Federal Direct Expenditures by Category	18
Table 1-8: Face Value of Federal Insurance Program Coverage Provided to Florida	20
Table 1-9: Dollar Volume of Federal Guaranteed Loans Made to Florida	21
Table 1-10: Dollar Volume of Federal Direct Loans Made to Florida	22
Table 1-11: States' Percentage Share of Other Financial Assistance by Category	23

Part Two

Table 2-1: Florida's Federal Direct Expenditures by County	
Table 2-2: Florida's Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures by County	30
Table 2-3: Florida's Federal Direct Expenditures by County - Category as % of Total .	32

Part Three

Table 3-1: Summary of Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida State and Local Gov'ts	39
Table 3-2: U.S. Department of Agriculture	40
Table 3-3: U.S. Department of Commerce	41
Table 3-4: U.S. Department of Defense.	42
Table 3-5: U.S. Department of Education.	43
Table 3-6: U.S. Department of Energy	44
Table 3-7: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
Table 3-8: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	46
Table 3-9: U.S. Department of Homeland Security	
Table 3-10: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	48
Table 3-11: U.S. Department of Interior	49
Table 3-12: U.S. Department of Justice	
Table 3-13: U.S. Department of Labor	51
Table 3-14: U.S. Department of Transportation	
Table 3-15: U.S. Department of the Treasury	
Table 3-16: Total Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida by Agency: 1996-2007	

Part Four

Table 4-1: Florida Summary	65
Table 4-2: U.S. Department of Agriculture	
Table 4-3: U.S. Department of Commerce	68
Table 4-4: Corporation for National and Community Service	70
Table 4-5: Corporation for Public Broadcasting	72
Table 4-6: U.S. Department of Defense	74
Table 4-7: U.S. Department of Education	76
Table 4-8: U.S. Department of Energy	78
Table 4-9: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	80
Table 4-10: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	82
Table 4-11: Federal Emergency Management Agency	84
Table 4-12: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	86
Table 4-13: U.S. Department of Homeland Security	
Table 4-14: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	90
Table 4-15: U.S. Department of Interior.	92
Table 4-16: U.S. Department of Justice	94
Table 4-17: U.S. Department of Labor	96
Table 4-18: National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	98
Table 4-19: Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	100
Table 4-20: Social Security Administration – Supplemental Security Income	102
Table 4-21: State Justice Institute	104
Table 4-22: U.S. Department of Transportation	106
Table 4-23: U.S. Department of the Treasury	108
Table 4-24: U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs	110

Introduction

The Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (LCIR) annually reviews the state's receipt of federal funds. The purpose of this report is to provide the Legislature and other interested parties with an overview and analysis of federal financial assistance to Florida. In particular, the report focuses on federal grants to Florida's state and local governments. This review is intended to be part of an ongoing strategy to improve federal-state relations generally and facilitate the development of strategies to increase the return of federal tax dollars to the state.

The LCIR reviewed and analyzed federal expenditure data for federal fiscal year 2007 (i.e., October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007) using data obtained from two U.S. Bureau of the Census publications: *Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007* and *Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007*, both issued in September 2008. Two types of federal financial assistance to states are documented in this report. These types are **federal direct expenditures** and **other financial assistance**.

Federal direct expenditures constitute actual outlays or obligations of the federal government. These expenditures are reported by the U.S. Census Bureau in five categories: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries and wages. Federal direct expenditures to the state totaled \$147 billion or \$8,059 per capita.

Other financial assistance does not constitute actual outlays but, in large measure, reflects the contingent liability of the federal government via the face value of insurance coverages and the dollar volume of loans made. Other financial assistance to Florida totaled \$465 billion or \$25,453 per capita.

This report is divided into four parts and includes one appendix.

Part One discusses the types of federal financial assistance to states by addressing the five categories of federal direct expenditure and the three categories of other financial assistance. A series of tables summarize dollar amounts of federal financial assistance to the fifty states collectively, and Florida specifically. In addition, Florida's rankings among the fifty states, the seven most populous states, and the other southern states on the basis of total and per capita funding are included.

Part Two summarizes federal direct expenditures to each of Florida's sixty-seven counties by addressing total expenditures by category, per capita expenditures by category, and category expenditures as a percentage of total expenditures.

Part Three focuses on federal grant expenditures to state and local governments. A series of tables provide detailed summaries of grants expenditures to Florida by federal agency. Additionally, Florida's rankings among the fifty states, the seven most populous states, and the other southern states on the basis of total and per capita expenditures are listed.

Part Four examines the states' per capita federal grant expenditures by agency as a percentage of the national per capita expenditures. The intent is to show how the states fare, relative to the national average, after controlling for population differences.

The **Appendix** lists the websites of federal agencies.

Additional LCIR Resources

In addition to this report summarizing federal funding to Florida in fiscal year 2007 and prior reports summarizing federal funding to the state in previous fiscal years,¹ the LCIR has prepared several other resources relating to Florida's receipt of federal grants.

In June 2008, the Committee published a report entitled *Federal Grants to Florida's Local Governments*.² The report provides an analysis of federal grants to local governments and offers data that allows local governments to compare their performance with neighboring localities and similarly situated local governments.

In October 2008, the Committee published an issue brief entitled *Federal Grant Expenditures by School District.*³ This brief provides an analysis of federal grants to school districts.

Furthermore, the Committee has compiled federal grant expenditures to Florida's county and municipal governments in fiscal years 2005 and 2006 as well as to the states' school districts in fiscal year 2007. These data files can be downloaded from the Committee's website.⁴

¹ <u>www.floridalcir.gov/annual_reports.cfm</u>

² www.floridalcir.gov/UserContent/docs/File/reports/fedgrants08.pdf

³ www.floridalcir.gov/UserContent/docs/File/reports/sdgrants08.pdf

⁴ <u>www.floridalcir.gov/dataatof.cfm</u>

Part One: Federal Financial Assistance to Florida

A. Introduction

This part summarizes the five categories of federal direct expenditures (i.e., direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, grants, procurement contracts, and salaries and wages) provided to Florida. Three categories of other financial assistance (i.e., insurance coverage, guaranteed loans, and direct loans) are summarized as well. Reported dollar amounts for these other assistance programs represent the face value of insurance coverage or the dollar volume of loans made rather than actual expenditures.

B. Data Source

The source of the data summarized in this part is the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled *Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007* issued in September 2008. This publication presents federal government expenditures or obligations in state, county, and subcounty areas of the United States. Although the Census Bureau's report includes the relevant data for the District of Columbia and U.S. outlying areas, the focus here is on the assistance provided to the fifty states collectively and Florida specifically.

The financial activity of all federal government agencies is covered except for those agencies that do not submit data to any of the federal reporting systems that serve as information sources for the Census Bureau's report. As a general guide, the grants and procurement data represent obligated funds, while the direct payments and salaries and wages data represent actual expenditures.

C. Federal Financial Assistance to Florida

The distribution of federal financial assistance to states in fiscal year 2007 is summarized in **Table 1-1** on page 9. Federal direct expenditures to the fifty states totaled \$2.47 trillion or \$8,211 per capita while other financial assistance totaled \$1.36 trillion or \$4,524 per capita. Federal direct expenditures to Florida totaled \$147 billion or \$8,059 per capita, and other financial assistance totaled \$465 billion or \$25,453 per capita.

Federal direct expenditures to Florida constituted approximately 6.0 percent of such expenditures to all fifty states. Florida had the 4^{th} largest expenditure of all states, the 4^{th} largest expenditure of the seven most populous states, and the 2^{nd} largest expenditure of the southern states, after Texas.¹ On a

¹ Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau, the seven most populous states were California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio. The Census Bureau has classified 16 states in the South region. They are Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

per capita basis, the state ranked 29th among all states, 4th among the most populous states, and 11th among the southern states.

Other financial assistance to Florida constituted 34 percent of such assistance to all fifty states. The state ranked first among all states in both total and per capita assistance due to the significant face value of flood insurance coverage.

D. Federal Direct Expenditures

As previously mentioned, there are five categories of federal direct expenditures: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries and wages. Each of these categories is discussed in more detail in the following sections.

1. Direct Payments for Individuals for Retirement and Disability

Retirement and disability payments represented the largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments totaled \$773 billion, or \$2,569 per capita, and represented approximately 31 percent of total direct expenditures to states. These payments to Florida totaled \$55.6 billion, or \$3,044 per capita, and accounted for 38 percent of total direct expenditures to the state.

Florida had the 2nd largest expenditure of all states, after California; the 2nd largest expenditure of the most populous states, after California; and the largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 7th among all states; 2nd among the most populous states, and 5th among the southern states.

As illustrated in **Table 1-2** on page 10, this category includes four types of payments: 1) Social Security payments, 2) federal retirement and disability payments, 3) veterans benefits, and 4) other payments. In Florida, Social Security payments accounted for 78 percent of the state's total value of federal retirement and disability payments to individuals.

Florida's large elderly population is a primary reason for the state's high per capita expenditure relative to other states. Based on the results of Census 2000, Florida's elderly population (i.e., age 65 years and over) totaled 2.8 million and accounted for 8 percent of the nation's total elderly population of 35 million. In Florida, the elderly constituted nearly 18 percent of the state's total population. Relative to other age groupings, the proportion of the total population defined as elderly was greater in Florida than any other state.

2. Direct Payments for Individuals Other Than for Retirement and Disability

These payments represented the second largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments totaled \$578 billion, or \$1,921 per capita, and represented approximately 23 percent of

total direct expenditures to states. These payments to Florida totaled \$42.6 billion, or \$2,332 per capita, and accounted for 29 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. As illustrated in **Table 1-3** on page 11, this category includes eight types of payments. Medicare benefits accounted for 68 percent of other direct payments to the state.

Florida had the 2nd largest expenditure of all states, after California; the 2nd largest expenditure of the most populous states, after California; and the largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 7th among all states, 3rd among the most populous states, and 1st among the southern states.

3. Grants

Grant obligations represented the third largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. These obligations to states totaled \$484 billion, or \$1,608 per capita, and represented 20 percent of total direct expenditures. These grant obligations to Florida totaled \$21.4 billion, or \$1,173 per capita, and represented 15 percent of total direct expenditures to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-4** on pages 12-13, Florida had the 4^{th} largest expenditure of all states; the 4^{th} largest expenditure of the most populous states; and the 2^{nd} largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 49^{th} among all states; last among the most populous states, and last among the southern states.

A more in-depth discussion of federal grants to state and local governments is addressed in Part Three of this report. The amount of total grant obligations to Florida discussed here is approximately \$1.4 billion greater than the total listed in Part Three. While the funding amount presented here reflects total obligations, the funding amount presented in this latter part reflects total expenditures (i.e., actual outlays). Additionally, the funding amount presented here includes obligations to non-governmental entities while the funding amount presented in Part Three reflects expenditures to state and local governments only.

4. Procurement Contracts

Procurement contracts represented the fourth largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments to states totaled \$405 billion, or \$1,346 per capita, and represented 16 percent of total direct expenditures. These payments to Florida totaled \$15.8 billion, or \$863 per capita, and represented 11 percent of total direct expenditures to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-5** on pages 14-15, Florida had the 5th largest expenditure of all states, the 3^{rd} largest expenditure of the most populous states, and the 4th largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 32^{nd} among all states, 4th among the most populous states, and 11th among the southern states.

This category includes contract awards to the Department of Defense and non-defense agencies. In Florida, contracts awarded by the Department of Defense accounted for 76 percent of total procurement contracts awarded.

5. Salaries and Wages

Federal salary and wage payments represented the smallest category of direct expenditure to states. Such payments to states totaled \$231 billion, or \$768 per capita, and represented 9 percent of total direct expenditures. These payments to Florida totaled \$11.8 billion, or \$647 per capita, and represented 8 percent of direct expenditures to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-6** on pages 16-17, Florida had the 4th largest expenditure of all states, the 3^{rd} largest expenditure of the most populous states, and the 3^{rd} largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 33^{rd} among all states, 3^{rd} among the most populous states, and 14^{th} among the southern states.

This category includes salary and wage payments made by the Department of Defense and nondefense agencies. In Florida, the payments made by non-defense agencies accounted for 62 percent of total salary and wage payments.

6. Summary

One way to assess how individual states are doing in their fiscal relationship with the federal government is to calculate each state's proportional share for each direct expenditure category. If the state's share for each expenditure category is roughly equivalent to the state's share of the national population, then perhaps a measure of equality has been satisfied. The shares for each state are summarized in **Table 1-7** on pages 18-19.

The first column lists each state's share of the July 1, 2007 national population. The next column lists each state's share of total federal direct expenditure with subsequent columns listing the states' shares for each of the five components of federal spending. Based on the percentages listed, Vermont is the best example of a state that reflects an average performance with a 0.2 percent share of the national population and identical shares for four of the five separate components of federal spending. Other states reflecting average performances include Delaware, Idaho, Maine, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

Florida recorded 6.1 percent of the national population and 6.0 percent of total direct expenditures. However, the state's proportional shares for each of the separate components differed significantly from the average with 7.2 and 7.4 percent for direct payments for individual for retirement/disability and other, respectively; 4.4 percent for grant obligations; 3.9 percent for procurement contracts; and 5.1 percent for salaries and wages.

This type of analysis may be useful with respect to grant funding since many grant formulas rely on national population, or some variation of it, to distribute funds. Interestingly, New York had the largest positive percentage spread between its share of the national population (i.e., 6.4 percent) and its share of grant obligations (i.e., 9.9 percent). Florida had the second largest negative percentage spread, after Texas, between its share of the national population (i.e., 6.1 percent) and its share of grant obligations (i.e., 4.4 percent).

E. Other Financial Assistance

The three categories of other financial assistance are: 1) face value of insurance coverages, 2) dollar volume of guaranteed loans, and 3) dollar volume of direct loans. Other financial assistance to Florida totaled \$465 billion, or \$25,453 per capita. Florida's share constituted 34 percent of such assistance to all states. The state ranked first among the fifty states in both total and per capita assistance due to the significant face value of flood insurance coverage provided to Florida.

1. Face Value of Insurance Coverage

The face value of insurance coverage represented the largest category of other financial assistance to states. Such assistance to states totaled \$1.17 trillion, or \$3,885 per capita, and represented 86 percent of other financial assistance provided to all states. Such coverage in Florida totaled \$454 billion, or \$24,862 per capita, and represented 98 percent of other financial assistance provided to the state by the federal government.

As illustrated in **Table 1-8** on page 20, this category includes five types of insurance coverage. Flood insurance accounted for 99 percent of the total face value of all federal insurance coverage provided to the state. Florida had the largest face value of insurance coverage of the fifty states and ranked first among the states in both total and per capita insurance coverage.

2. Dollar Volume of Guaranteed Loans

The second largest category of other financial assistance to states was guaranteed loans. Such assistance to the fifty states totaled \$170 billion, or \$566 per capita, and represented 12 percent of other financial assistance provided to states by the federal government. These loans to Florida totaled \$10.2 billion, or \$561 per capita, and represented only 2 percent of other financial assistance to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-9** on page 21, this category includes seven types of guaranteed loans with Federal Family Education Loans accounting for 33 percent of the total dollar volume of federal guaranteed loans made to the state. Florida had the 3rd largest dollar volume of all states, the 3rd largest volume of the most populous states, and the 2nd largest volume of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 32nd among all states, 4th among the most populous states, and 10th among the southern states.

3. Dollar Volume of Direct Loans

Direct loans represented the smallest category of other financial assistance provided to states. Such assistance to the fifty states totaled \$22 billion, or \$73 per capita, and represented 2 percent of other financial assistance to states. These loans to Florida totaled \$544 million, or \$30 per capita, and represented only 0.1 percent of other financial assistance to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-10** on page 22, Florida had the 15^{th} largest dollar volume of all states, the 6^{th} largest volume of the most populous states, and the 7^{th} largest volume of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 46^{th} among all states, 6^{th} among the most populous states, and last among the southern states.

4. Summary

Using the same methodology as previously illustrated in Table 1-7, **Table 1-11** on page 23 accesses how individual states did in their fiscal relationship with the federal government with respect to the various types of other financial assistance offered to states.

While Florida recorded 6.1 percent of the national population, it recorded 34.1 percent of total other financial assistance. Due to the effect of federal flood insurance, Florida's proportional share for insurance programs was 38.8 percent; however, the state's proportional shares for guaranteed loans at 6.0 percent and for direct loans at 2.5 percent were less than its share of the national population.

F. Conclusion

Federal direct expenditures represent either actual expenditures or obligations of the federal government to this state. By contrast, the reported amounts of other financial assistance reflect the face value of insurance coverage and the dollar volume of loans made.

Florida had high per capita expenditures for federal direct payments for individuals when compared to the national average. This was due primarily to the state's large retiree and elderly populations. However, in the remaining categories of federal direct expenditure (i.e., grants, procurement contracts, and salaries and wages), Florida had per capita expenditures that were considerably lower than the national average.

The allocation of federal financial assistance has significant impacts on the finances of state and local governments. Since numerous federal policies govern the distribution of federal funding to states, future policy changes will likely affect individual states quite differently. Knowing the magnitude of such financial assistance to Florida should be useful to policy makers as they assess strategies for increasing the state's share of federal funding.

		1.00	deral Fis	unding	2007			Per Can	ita Funding	
		tate's Rankin	as		-	tate's Rankin	as			
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Regio
Federal Direct Expenditures										
Direct Payments for Individuals	\$	98,123,129,000	66.7%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 5,376	7th	3rd	3rd
Retirement and Disability	Ť	55,563,726,000	37.8%	2nd	2nd	1st	3.044	7th	2nd	5th
Other Than Retirement and Disability		42,559,403,000	28.9%	2nd	2nd	1st	2,332	7th	3rd	1st
Grants		21,410,663,000	14.6%	4th	4th	2nd	1,173	49th	7th	16th
Procurement Contracts		15,757,395,000	10.7%	5th	3rd	4th	863	32nd	4th	11th
Salaries and Wages		11,799,512,000	8.0%	4th	3rd	3rd	647	33rd	3rd	14th
Total - Florida	\$	147,090,699,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 8,059	29th	4th	11th
Total - All States	\$	2,471,800,095,000	•				\$ 8,211			
Florida as % of All States		6.0%								
Other Financial Assistance Face Value of Insurance Coverages Dollar Volume of Guaranteed Loans	\$	453,756,808,000 10,247,601,000	97.7% 2.2%	1st 3rd	1st 3rd	1st 2nd	\$ 24,862 561	1st 32nd	1st 4th	<u>1st</u> 10th
Dollar Volume of Direct Loans		544,421,000	0.1%	15th	6th	7th	30	46th	6th	16th
Total - Florida	\$	464,548,830,000	100%	1st	1st	1st	\$ 25,453	1st	1st	1st
Total - All States	\$	1,361,853,974,000					\$ 4,524			
Florida as % of All States		34.1%								

ased on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populates states, in descending population order, were. California, Texas, New York, Florida, Initios, Pennsylvania, and Onio.
 As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:

April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

			Та	ble 1-2						
Florida's Federal	D	irect Payme	nts for	Individ	luals for	Retirer	nent and	Disabil	ity	
		Fe	ederal Fi	scal Yea	r 2007				•	
			Total	Funding				Per Capita	a Funding	
			% o f	St	tate's Rankin	gs		Si	tate's Rankin	gs
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Social Security Payments	\$	43,098,922,000	77.6%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 2,361.42	8th	2nd	5th
Retirement Insurance Payments		27,837,633,000	50.1%	2nd	2nd	1st	1,525.25	2nd	2nd	1st
Survivors Insurance Payments		6,973,729,000	12.6%	3rd	3rd	2nd	382.10	25th	3rd	11th
Disability Insurance Payments		6,053,509,000	10.9%	4th	4th	2nd	331.68	25th	3rd	13th
Supplemental Security Income Payments		2,234,051,000	4.0%	4th	4th	2nd	122.41	17th	5th	8th
Federal Retirement and Disability Payments		7,896,154,000	14.2%	2nd	2nd	1st	432.64	13th	1st	6th
Civilian		4,141,832,000	7.5%	4th	2nd	3rd	226.93	20th	1st	8th
Military		3,754,322,000	6.8%	1st	1st	1st	205.70	8th	1st	4th
Veterans Benefits		3,445,234,000	6.2%	3rd	3rd	2nd	188.77	14th	1st	7th
Payments for Service Connected Disability		2,681,910,000	4.8%	3rd	3rd	2nd	146.94	15th	1st	8th
Other Benefit Payments		763,324,000	1.4%	2nd	2nd	2nd	41.82	12th	1st	9th
Other		1,123,416,000	2.0%	3rd	3rd	1st	61.55	20th	4th	7th
Total - Florida	\$	55,563,726,000	100%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 3,044.38	7th	2nd	5th
Total - All States	\$	773,228,276,000					\$ 2,568.58			
Florida as % of All States		7.2%								

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:

April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

			Та	able 1-3						
Federal Direct Paymen	ts	for Individua	als in F	lorida	Other Th	an for l	Retiremer	nt and [Disability	/
		Fe	ederal Fi	scal Yea	r 2007				-	
			Total	Funding				Per Capita	a Funding	
			% o f	St	ate's Rankin	gs		St	tate's Rankin	igs
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Medicare Benefits	\$	29,112,311,000	68.4%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 1,595.09	3rd	2nd	1st
Hospital Insurance		14,192,726,000	33.3%	3rd	3rd	1st	777.63	7th	2nd	3rd
Supplemental Medical Insurance		14,919,585,000	35.1%	2nd	2nd	1st	817.46	1st	1st	1st
Excess Earned Income Tax Credits		2,767,719,000	6.5%	3rd	3rd	2nd	151.65	12th	2nd	11th
Unemployment Compensation		944,379,000	2.2%	10th	7th	2nd	51.74	40th	6th	10th
Food Stamp Payments		1,400,154,000	3.3%	5th	5th	2nd	76.72	34th	6th	14th
Housing Assistance		302,117,000	0.7%	9th	7th	2nd	16.55	38th	6th	14th
Agricultural Assistance		235,624,000	0.6%	27th	5th	11th	12.91	37th	5th	14th
Federal Employees Life and Health Insurance		1,162,245,000	2.7%	6th	3rd	4th	63.68	22nd	2nd	7th
Other		6,634,854,000	15.6%	4th	3rd	1st	363.53	9th	3rd	3rd
Total - Florida	\$	42,559,403,000	100%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 2,331.86	7th	3rd	1st
Total - All States	\$	578,208,423,000					\$ 1,920.75			
Florida as % of All States		7.4%								

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

		Tab	e 1-4						
	Federal Gra	nt Ohl	igation	s to Elo	rida				
			•		lua				
	Fed		al Year 2	2007		-			
			Funding					a Funding	
		% of	St	ate's Rankin	igs		S	tate's Rankin	igs
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Department of Agriculture	\$ 1,228,460,000	5.7%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 67.31	41st	6th	14th
* Appalachian Regional Commission	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Department of Commerce	53,045,000	0.2%	9th	3rd	3rd	2.91	29th	2nd	10th
Corporation for National and Community Service	20,106,000	0.1%	7th	5th	2nd	1.10	49th	6th	15th
* Corporation for Public Broadcasting	9,061,000	< 0.1%	1st	1st	1st	0.50	28th	1st	10th
Department of Defense	95,188,000	0.4%	13th	6th	5th	5.22	49th	7th	16th
Department of Education	1,818,847,000	8.5%	4th	4th	2nd	99.66	45th	6th	16th
Department of Energy	36,894,000	0.2%	22nd	7th	7th	2.02	49th	7th	15th
Environmental Protection Agency	122,102,000	0.6%	7th	5th	1st	6.69	49th	6th	15th
* Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	895,000	< 0.1%	10th	6th	1st	0.05	39th	6th	6th
Department of Health and Human Services	11,661,830,000	54.5%	5th	5th	2nd	638.96	47th	7th	15th
Department of Homeland Security	820,032,000	3.8%	3rd	1st	3rd	44.93	5th	1st	3rd
Department of Housing and Urban Development	1,322,925,000	6.2%	6th	5th	3rd	72.48	29th	7th	8th
Institute of Museum and Library Services	10,333,000	< 0.1%	5th	5th	2nd	0.57	39th	7th	13th
Department of the Interior	26,116,000	0.1%	20th	4th	5th	1.43	38th	5th	11th
Department of Justice	169,137,000	0.8%	2nd	2nd	1st	9.27	14th	2nd	5th
Department of Labor	264,587,000	1.2%	9th	7th	3rd	14.50	50th	7th	16th
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	12,610,000	0.1%	15th	6th	7th	0.69	45th	6th	12th
* National Archives and Records Administration	20,000	< 0.1%	24th	6th	9th	< 0.01	32nd	7th	10th
National Endowment for the Arts	1,876,000	< 0.1%	13th	6th	4th	0.10	50th	7th	16th
National Endowment for the Humanities	2,611,000	< 0.1%	11th	6th	3rd	0.14	50th	7th	16th
National Science Foundation	149,481,000	0.7%	9th	6th	2nd	8.19	44th	7th	11th
Small Business Administration	4,507,000	< 0.1%	4th	4th	1st	0.25	40th	5th	14th
* Social Security Administration	100,000	< 0.1%	16th	4th	4th	0.01	20th	5th	4th
Department of State	6,571,000	< 0.1%	9th	7th	3rd	0.36	47th	7th	14th
* State Justice Institute	12,000	< 0.1%	25th	6th	8th	< 0.01	33rd	5th	8th
* Tennessee Valley Authority	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Department of Transportation	3,682,810,000	17.2%	4th	4th	2nd	201.78	42nd	4th	13th
Department of the Treasury	6,299,000	< 0.1%	4th	4th	2nd	0.35	6th	3rd	2nd
* Department of Veterans Affairs	18,504,000	0.1%	12th	7th	3rd	1.01	43rd	6th	10th
Other	(134,296,000)	-0.6%	48th	5th	15th	(7.36)	24th	3rd	11th
Total - Florida	\$ 21,410,663,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 1,173.11	49th	7th	16th
Total - All States	\$ 483,989,475,000			•		\$ 1,607.76			
Florida as % of All States	4.4%						I		

	Federal G		•		ida				
			Funding	2001			Per Capi	ta Funding	
		% of		State's Rankin	gs		Ś	State's Rankin	gs
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Regior
 Notes:) In the published report, the data are rounded to the near ?) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no rep ?) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven r ?) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklaho 	orted federal grant obligation most populous states, in dea e of 16 states in the South i	scending popula region. The othe	er states in	the South region					

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

 Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.htm

			Table	1-5						
Feder	al P	rocuremen	t Contr	act Ob	ligations	s to Flo	rida			
				al Year 20	-					
				Funding				Per Capita	a Funding	
			% o f	St	ate's Rankin	gs		S	tate's Rankin	gs
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Department of Defense	\$	11,926,104,000	75.7%	4th	3rd	3rd	\$ 653.44	26th	4th	9th
Army		4,316,107,000	27.4%	6th	4th	4th	236.48	25th	3rd	8th
Navy		3,019,581,000	19.2%	10th	4th	5th	165.45	20th	4th	7th
Air Force		3,488,233,000	22.1%	5th	3rd	4th	191.12	21st	4th	7th
Other Defense		1,102,182,000	7.0%	11th	6th	4th	60.39	33rd	7th	10th
Nondefense Agencies		3,831,291,000	24.3%	11th	5th	6th	209.92	38th	7th	13th
Department of Agriculture		37,398,000	0.2%	32nd	7th	11th	2.05	45th	7th	16th
Department of Commerce		101,872,000	0.6%	4th	2nd	3rd	5.58	10th	2nd	4th
Department of Education		436,000	< 0.1%	29th	6th	8th	0.02	46th	6th	14th
Department of Energy		28,297,000	0.2%	22nd	7th	10th	1.55	32nd	7th	11th
Environmental Protection Agency		23,669,000	0.2%	16th	7th	7th	1.30	33rd	7th	9th
General Services Administration		335,674,000	2.1%	10th	4th	6th	18.39	27th	3rd	10th
Department of Health and Human Services		98,653,000	0.6%	15th	6th	8th	5.41	38th	6th	12th
Department of Homeland Security		350,489,000	2.2%	7th	3rd	6th	19.20	18th	3rd	8th
Department of Housing and Urban Development		10,171,000	0.1%	11th	4th	7th	0.56	17th	5th	7th
Department of the Interior		70,734,000	0.4%	14th	4th	4th	3.88	28th	4th	9th
Department of Justice		91,813,000	0.6%	11th	4th	6th	5.03	33rd	6th	13th
Department of Labor		39,149,000	0.2%	11th	6th	6th	2.15	41st	7th	14th
National Aeronautics and Space Administration		988,527,000	6.3%	4th	3rd	3rd	54.16	10th	3rd	7th
National Archives and Records Administration		35,000	< 0.1%	26th	7th	11th	< 0.01	30th	7th	13th
National Science Foundation		216,000	< 0.1%	16th	6th	5th	0.01	24th	6th	8th
Postal Service		877,167,000	5.6%	4th	4th	2nd	48.06	32nd	5th	7th
Small Business Administration		516,000	< 0.1%	8th	4th	4th	0.03	11th	5th	5th
Social Security Administration		3,100,000	< 0.1%	16th	6th	6th	0.17	35th	7th	11th
Department of State	1	38,341,000	0.2%	6th	1st	5th	2.10	15th	4th	8th
Department of Transportation	1	60,198,000	0.4%	10th	4th	6th	3.30	27th	4th	11th
Department of the Treasury	1	24,290,000	0.2%	14th	6th	7th	1.33	24th	7th	8th
Department of Veterans Affairs	1	611,809,000	3.9%	6th	4th	3rd	33.52	22nd	4th	8th
Other Nondefense	1	38,736,000	0.2%	14th	5th	9th	2.12	22nd	4th	12th
Total - Florida	\$	15,757,395,000	100%	5th	3rd	4th	\$ 863.36	32nd	4th	11th
Total - All States		105,306,196,000					\$ 1,346.39			
Florida as % of All States	1	3.9%						I		

Feder						ni al a			
	ral Procureme			•		rida			
	Fee	deral Fisca	al Year 2	2007					
		Total	Funding				Per Capi	ta Funding	
		% of	5	State's Ranking	gs		5	State's Rankin	gs
unding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 1 Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma,	populous states, in descen 16 states in the South regio	nding population on. The other st	n order, wer tates in the	South region are:					
a Sources: Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federa www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Divisi		Year 2007," U.S	. Governme	ent Printing Office,	, Washington,	DC 2008 (Issued	September	2008).	

			Table	1-6						
Fede	eral	Salary and	Wage	Expen	ditures t	o Floric	ła			
	, ai	•	ral Fisca	-						
		геае		Funding	JU7			Por Canit	a Funding	
			% of		tate's Rankin	<i>ac</i>			tate's Rankir	
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	gs Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Department of Defense	\$	4,479,815,000	38.0%	6th	3rd	5th	\$ 245.45	30th	3rd	13th
Army	φ	540,626,000	4.6%	21st	5th	11th	\$ 245.45 29.62	47th	6th	16th
Active Military		136,650,000	1.2%	213t 20th	4th	11th	7.49	22nd	4th	11th
Inactive Military	-	299,223,000	2.5%	9th	4th	4th	16.39	46th	5th	16th
Civilian	-	104,753,000	0.9%	21st	6th	10th	5.74	46th	6th	16th
Navy		1,968,117,000	0.9% 16.7%	4th	2nd	3rd	107.83	4001 11th	2nd	6th
* Active Military		1,464,457,000	12.4%	4th	2nd 2nd	3rd	80.24	10th	2nd 2nd	5th
			0.3%	3rd	-		1.78		-	3rd
Inactive Military		32,546,000			2nd	1st		9th	2nd	
* Civilian	_	471,114,000	4.0%	5th	2nd	3rd	25.81	12th	3rd	6th
Air Force	-	1,845,816,000	15.6%	2nd	2nd	2nd	101.13	22nd	2nd	9th
Active Military		1,357,128,000	11.5%	2nd	2nd	2nd	74.36	22nd	2nd	9th
Inactive Military	_	27,518,000	0.2%	2nd	2nd	1st	1.51	35th	2nd	8th
Civilian		461,170,000	3.9%	7th	4th	4th	25.27	18th	3rd	7th
Other Defense: Civilian		125,256,000	1.1%	8th	5th	3rd	6.86	27th	5th	10th
Nondefense Agencies		7,319,697,000	62.0%	5th	4th	3rd	401.05	34th	5th	8th
Department of Agriculture		110,361,000	0.9%	19th	3rd	9th	6.05	44th	5th	16th
Department of Commerce		62,898,000	0.5%	6th	2nd	3rd	3.45	25th	1st	7th
 Department of Education 		449,000	< 0.1%	12th	7th	3rd	0.02	16th	7th	4th
 Department of Energy 		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
 Environmental Protection Agency 		7,102,000	0.1%	20th	7th	6th	0.39	28th	7th	10th
 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 		5,749,000	< 0.1%	9th	5th	4th	0.31	40th	6th	15th
General Services Administration		7,925,000	0.1%	14th	6th	5th	0.43	41st	7th	12th
Department of Health and Human Services		26,182,000	0.2%	21st	7th	8th	1.43	33rd	7th	9th
Department of Homeland Security		724,457,000	6.1%	3rd	3rd	2nd	39.69	11th	2nd	4th
Department of Housing and Urban Development		19,256,000	0.2%	9th	7th	3rd	1.06	33rd	7th	11th
Department of the Interior		76,252,000	0.6%	15th	2nd	2nd	4.18	35th	3rd	11th
Department of Justice		435,603,000	3.7%	5th	4th	3rd	23.87	13th	4th	8th
Department of Labor		41,087,000	0.3%	7th	6th	3rd	2.25	18th	6th	7th
* National Aeronautics and Space Administration		190,149,000	1.6%	6th	3rd	5th	10.42	7th	3rd	6th
* National Archives and Records Administration		84,000	< 0.1%	19th	6th	5th	< 0.01	20th	6th	5th
 * National Science Foundation 			0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Postal Service	1	3,560,185,000	30.2%	4th	4th	2nd	195.07	32nd	5th	7th
Small Business Administration	1	7,771,000	0.1%	7th	4th	4th	0.43	29th	4th	9th
Social Security Administration	1	142,574,000	1.2%	9th	6th	4th	7.81	28th	7th	14th
* Department of State	1	20,595,000	0.2%	2nd	1st	2nd	1.13	4th	1st	3rd
Department of Transportation		264,219,000	2.2%	4th	4th	2nd 2nd	14.48	21st	3rd	6th
Department of the Treasury		221,161,000	1.9%	11th	5th	5th	12.17	23rd	7th	9th
Department of Veterans Affairs		1,100,124,000	9.3%	2nd	2nd	1st	60.28	12th	1st	5th
All Other Nondefense		294,514,000	9.3%	4th	2nd 2nd	3rd	16.14	12th	1st	4th

I	Federal Salary and	Table Wage		ditures t	o Floric	la			
	Fede	ral Fiscal	Year 20	007					
		Total I	Funding				Per Capi	ta Funding	
		% of	S	tate's Rankin	gs		S	tate's Rankin	igs
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Total - Florida	\$ 11,799,512,000	100%	4th	3rd	3rd	\$ 646.5) 33rd	3rd	14th
Total - All States	\$ 231,067,725,000					\$ 767.5	3		
Florida as % of All States	5.1%								

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal salary and wage expenditures.

3) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

	Ctate			e 1-7 al Direct Evenor di		1	
	State	es' Percentage		al Direct Expendi al Year 2007	itures by Ca	tegory	
State	Population	Total Direct Expenditures	Direct Payments: Retirement	Direct Payments: Other	Grants	Procurement Contracts	Salaries and Wages
Alabama	1.5%	1.9%	2.0%	1.8%	1.6%	2.6%	1.5%
Alaska	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%
Arizona	2.1%	1.9%	2.0%	1.4%	1.9%	2.6%	1.8%
Arkansas	0.9%	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%	0.3%	0.8%
California	12.1%	10.5%	9.5%	10.3%	11.9%	11.3%	10.5%
Colorado	1.6%	1.4%	1.4%	1.1%	1.3%	1.7%	2.2%
Connecticut	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	2.3%	0.8%
Delaware	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%
Florida	6.1%	6.0%	7.2%	7.4%	4.4%	3.9%	5.1%
Georgia	3.2%	2.9%	2.8%	2.3%	2.6%	3.5%	4.1%
Hawaii	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%	1.6%
daho	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
llinois	4.3%	3.6%	3.8%	4.3%	3.7%	2.2%	3.3%
ndiana	2.1%	1.9%	2.1%	2.1%	1.8%	1.6%	1.2%
owa	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%
Kansas	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	1.2%
Kentucky	1.4%	1.5%	1.6%	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%	1.8%
_ouisiana	1.4%	1.7%	1.4%	1.7%	3.0%	1.1%	1.3%
Vaine	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%
Varyland	1.9%	2.9%	2.1%	2.1%	1.9%	5.2%	5.0%
Vassachusetts	2.1%	2.5%	2.1%	2.5%	3.0%	2.9%	1.7%
Vichigan	3.3%	2.9%	3.5%	3.2%	2.9%	1.9%	1.8%
Vinnesota	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	2.5%	1.6%	0.8%	1.2%
Vississippi	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%	1.0%	1.5%	1.6%	1.0%
Vissouri	2.0%	2.2%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%	3.0%	2.1%
Montana	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.4%
Vebraska	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%
Vevada	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.7%
New Hampshire	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
New Jersey	2.9%	2.6%	2.8%	2.9%	2.5%	2.1%	2.1%
New Mexico	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%	0.5%	1.0%	1.7%	1.0%
New York	6.4%	6.4%	6.1%	6.9%	9.9%	2.9%	4.6%
North Carolina	3.0%	2.7%	3.2%	2.3%	2.9%	1.3%	3.8%
North Dakota	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%
Dhio	3.8%	4.3%	3.9%	7.3%	3.6%	2.2%	2.6%
Oklahoma	1.2%	1.2%	1.4%	1.2%	1.3%	0.7%	1.7%
Dregon	1.2%	1.0%	1.3%	0.9%	1.2%	0.5%	0.9%
Pennsylvania	4.1%	4.7%	4.9%	6.3%	4.3%	3.6%	3.2%
Rhode Island	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%
South Carolina	1.5%	1.5%	1.7%	1.2%	1.3%	1.6%	1.7%
South Dakota	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%

Table 1-7 States' Percentage Share of Federal Direct Expenditures by Category Federal Fiscal Year 2007												
State	Population	Total Direct Expenditures	Direct Payments: Retirement	Direct Payments: Other	Grants	Procurement Contracts	Salaries and Wages					
Tennessee	2.0%	2.1%	2.3%	2.0%	2.0%	2.4%	1.3%					
Texas	7.9%	6.9%	6.5%	5.9%	6.1%	9.7%	7.9%					
Utah	0.9%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	0.7%	1.0%	1.0%					
Vermont	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%					
Virginia	2.6%	4.5%	3.1%	2.4%	1.9%	11.2%	7.5%					
Washington	2.1%	2.1%	2.2%	1.6%	2.0%	2.3%	3.0%					
West Virginia	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%	0.7%					
Wisconsin	1.9%	1.5%	1.8%	1.7%	1.6%	1.1%	1.0%					
Wyoming	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%					

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007." U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008

(Issued September 2008) http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

 Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. http://www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-ann-est.html

Face	/alı	ue of Federal	Insurai		U	Provide	ed to Flori	da		
				iscal Yea	ar 2007			Per Capita	Funding	
			% of	<u> </u>	ate's Rankin	gs		•	tate's Rankin	gs
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Flood Insurance	\$	450,322,395,000	99.2%	1st	1st	1st	\$ 24,673.52	1st	1st	1st
Crop Insurance		3,218,412,000	0.7%	7th	3rd	1st	176.34	18th	3rd	3rd
* Foreign Investment Insurance		45,000,000	< 0.1%	3rd	3rd	2nd	2.47	4th	3rd	2nd
Life Insurance for Veterans		143,365,000	< 0.1%	2nd	2nd	1st	7.86	3rd	1st	1st
* Other		27,636,000	< 0.1%	4th	3rd	2nd	1.51	22nd	3rd	9th
Total - Florida	\$	453,756,808,000	100%	1st	1st	1st	\$ 24,861.69	1st	1st	1st
Total - All States	\$	1,169,515,410,000					\$ 3,885.01			
Florida as % of All States		38.8%								

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal insurance coverages.

3) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

 Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

Dollar \	/ol	ume of Fede	eral Gu	able 1-9 arante scal Yea		s Made	to Florid	la		
			Total	Funding				Per Capi	ta Funding	
			% of	St	tate's Rankin	gs		S	tate's Rankin	gs
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Mortgage Insurance for Homes	\$	2,838,998,000	27.7%	3rd	2nd	3rd	\$ 155.55	33rd	4th	14th
Federal Family Education Loan Program		3,368,948,000	32.9%	6th	5th	2nd	184.59	27th	5th	6th
Veterans Housing Loans		1,878,479,000	18.3%	3rd	2nd	3rd	102.92	16th	1st	6th
Mortgage Insurance: Condominiums		204,626,000	2.0%	3rd	2nd	1st	11.21	11th	2nd	3rd
Dept. of Agriculture: Guaranteed Loans		908,601,000	8.9%	2nd	1st	2nd	49.78	21st	1st	6th
Small Business Loans		1,025,449,000	10.0%	3rd	3rd	2nd	56.19	19th	3rd	3rd
* Other		22,500,000	0.2%	6th	4th	2nd	1.23	9th	4th	3rd
Total - Florida	\$	10,247,601,000	100%	3rd	3rd	2nd	\$ 561.47	32nd	4th	10th
Total - All States	\$	170,235,564,000					\$ 565.50			
Florida as % of All States		6.0%								

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal guaranteed loans.

3) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

Dol	lar \	Volume of F	ederal			ade to	Florida			
		F	ederal Fi		r 2007					
			Total	Funding				Per Capi	ita Funding	
			% of	S	tate's Rankin	gs		S	tate's Rankin	gs
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Department of Agriculture	\$	149,475,000	27.5%	10th	3rd	8th	\$ 8.19	43rd	6th	15th
* Commodity Loans: Price Supports		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other		149,475,000	27.5%	7th	3rd	5th	8.19	42nd	5th	15th
* Federal Direct Student Loans		222,163,000	40.8%	19th	5th	6th	12.17	35th	5th	12th
Other		172,783,000	31.7%	3rd	1st	3rd	9.47	7th	1st	4th
Total - Florida	\$	544,421,000	100%	15th	6th	7th	\$ 29.83	46th	6th	16th
Total - All States	\$	22,103,000,000					\$ 73.42			
Florida as % of All States		2.5%					. <u> </u>			

1) In the published report, the published data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal direct loans.

3) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

States' Percentage Share of Other Financial Assistance by Category Federal Fiscal Year 2007											
		Other Financial	Insurance	Guaranteed	Direct						
State	Population	Assistance	Programs	Loans	Loans						
Alabama	1.5%	1.0%	0.9%	1.6%	3.2%						
Alaska	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%						
Arizona	2.1%	1.1%	0.6%	4.2%	1.4%						
Arkansas	0.9%	0.3%	0.2%	1.0%	1.4%						
California	12.1%	5.8%	5.6%	6.3%	9.6%						
Colorado	1.6%	0.7%	0.4%	2.9%	1.3%						
Connecticut	1.2%	0.7%	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%						
Delaware	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%						
Florida	6.1%	34.1%	38.8%	6.0%	2.5%						
Georgia	3.2%	2.1%	1.7%	4.5%	2.8%						
Hawaii	0.4%	0.7%	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%						
daho	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%	1.0%						
llinois	4.3%	1.7%	1.3%	4.2%	4.4%						
ndiana	2.1%	0.9%	0.6%	2.7%	1.3%						
owa	1.0%	0.9%	0.8%	1.2%	3.0%						
Kansas	0.9%	0.5%	0.4%	1.0%	1.3%						
Kentucky	1.4%	0.4%	0.3%	1.4%	1.3%						
Louisiana	1.4%	7.0%	7.9%	1.2%	5.2%						
Vaine	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%						
Varyland	1.9%	1.3%	1.1%	2.3%	1.7%						
Massachusetts	2.1%	1.0%	0.9%	1.4%	2.7%						
Vichigan	3.3%	0.8%	0.3%	2.9%	4.8%						
Vinnesota	1.7%	0.8%	0.6%	2.2%	2.4%						
Mississippi	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%	0.9%	7.1%						
Vissouri	2.0%	0.7%	0.4%	2.5%	1.3%						
Vissouri Vontana	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%						
	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%						
Nebraska		0.6%	0.3%	0.7%	0.9%						
Nevada	0.9%										
New Hampshire	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%						
New Jersey	2.9%	3.7%	3.9%	2.5%	1.6%						
New Mexico	0.7%	0.3%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%						
New York	6.4%	2.9%	2.6%	4.6%	4.2%						
North Carolina	3.0%	2.6%	2.5%	3.0%	2.9%						
North Dakota	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%						
Ohio Oktoberge	3.8%	1.1%	0.6%	4.0%	4.7%						
Oklahoma	1.2%	0.4%	0.2%	1.4%	1.0%						
Dregon	1.2%	0.7%	0.6%	1.1%	1.9%						
Pennsylvania	4.1%	1.4%	1.0%	4.2%	0.8%						
Rhode Island	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%						
South Carolina	1.5%	3.3%	3.7%	1.2%	0.9%						
South Dakota	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%						
Fennessee	2.0%	0.7%	0.4%	2.2%	1.4%						
Texas	7.9%	11.9%	12.5%	8.4%	8.2%						
Jtah	0.9%	0.2%	0.1%	1.4%	0.2%						
/ermont	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%						
/irginia	2.6%	2.1%	2.0%	3.2%	2.3%						
Washington	2.1%	0.9%	0.7%	2.3%	2.5%						
Nest Virginia	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	1.3%						
Wisconsin	1.9%	0.5%	0.3%	1.7%	1.2%						
Nyoming	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%						

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007." U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. http://www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-ann-est.html This page was intentionally left blank.
Part Two: Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida Counties

A. Introduction

Federal spending can significantly impact the finances of local governments. The purpose of this part is to illustrate how the \$147 billion in federal direct expenditures to Florida in fiscal year 2007 was distributed among the state's 67 counties.

B. Data Source

The source of the data summarized in this part is the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled *Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007*. This publication summarizes federal government expenditures or obligations to states, counties, and subcounty areas. However, the focus here is on the distribution of federal direct expenditures among Florida's counties, as geographic areas rather than units of local government.

C. Geographic Coding of Federal Direct Expenditures

The basis for the geographic coding of federal direct expenditures to counties varies depending on the data sources; however, the following general guidelines apply. For salaries and wages, the distribution is based on the place of employment. The distribution of procurement contract awards is based on the place of performance. For retirement and disability payments as well as other direct payments, the distribution is based on the recipient's location.

The distribution of grants is based on the location of the initial recipient. For grants that are ultimately distributed throughout the state, the reader should note that significant dollar amounts are included in Leon County's total, which reflects the data coding of such grants to state government as the initial recipient. Many federal grants involve a direct payment to state government that is then responsible for program administration. Such examples include those grants that are 'passed-through' to local governments. Another example includes those grants, such as for highway construction, in which the financial impact is spread over all areas of the state. A third example includes those grant programs that the state government administers but for which the ultimate beneficiaries are found throughout the state.

D. Federal Direct Expenditures by County

As previously mentioned, federal direct expenditures are categorized as direct payments to individuals for retirement and disability, direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, grants, procurement contracts, or salaries and wages. The distribution of such expenditures within Florida is discussed in more detail in the following sections.

1. Federal Direct Expenditures by Category

The distribution of the \$147 billion in federal direct expenditures by county geographic area is summarized in **Table 2-1** on pages 24-25. In total, the magnitude of federal direct expenditures varied significantly by county from a low of \$37.1 million within Lafayette County to a high of \$15.2 billion within Miami-Dade County.

Direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability totaled \$55.6 billion statewide. The value of such payments varied from a low of \$14.8 million within Lafayette County to a high of \$4.6 billion within Miami-Dade County. Such payments to individuals totaled one billion dollars or more in 17 counties: Brevard, Broward, Duval, Escambia, Hillsborough, Lake, Lee, Manatee, Marion, Miami-Dade, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, Sarasota, and Volusia.

Direct payments to individuals other than for retirement and disability totaled \$42.6 billion statewide. Such payments ranged from a low of \$10.9 million within Glades County to a high of \$5.3 billion within Miami-Dade County. Other direct payments to individuals totaled one billion dollars or more in 9 counties: Broward, Duval, Hillsborough, Miami-Dade, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Pinellas, and Volusia.

Federal grant obligations totaled \$21.4 billion statewide. Miami-Dade County had the largest grant obligation at \$2.9 billion; Lafayette County had the smallest at \$6.3 million. Although several billion dollars worth of grants are reported within Leon County's total due to the coding issue previously discussed, reported grant obligations exceeded \$200 million in 20 other counties: Alachua, Brevard, Broward, Collier, Duval, Escambia, Hillsborough, Lake, Lee, Manatee, Marion, Miami-Dade, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, Sarasota, Seminole, and Volusia.

Federal procurement contracts totaled \$15.8 billion statewide. The value of such contracts ranged from a low of \$186,000 within Glades County to a high of \$3.3 billion within Orange County. In addition to Orange County, Bay, Brevard, Broward, Duval, Hillsborough, Miami-Dade, Okaloosa, Palm Beach, and Pinellas counties each had contracts totaling greater than \$500 million.

Federal salary and wage payments totaled \$11.8 billion statewide. The value of such payments varied from a low of \$549,000 within Glades County to a high of \$1.6 billion within Duval County. Federal salary and wage payments totaled \$200 million or more in 13 counties: Alachua, Bay, Brevard, Broward, Duval, Escambia, Hillsborough, Miami-Dade, Okaloosa, Orange, Palm Beach, Pinellas, and Walton.

2. Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures by Category

Table 2-2 on pages 26-27 lists the per capita federal direct expenditures by category within each county geographic area. Excluding Leon County from consideration due to the data coding of significant federal grant obligations to the seat of state government, per capita total direct

expenditures varied significantly from a low of \$3,832 within Osceola County to a high of \$16,628 within Okaloosa County.

Per capita direct payments to individuals for retirement and disability varied from a low of \$1,800 within Lafayette County to a high of \$5,465 within Lake County. Per capita direct payments to individuals other than for retirement and disability ranged from a low of \$760 within Clay County to a high of \$7,215 within Hamilton County.

Again excluding Leon County from consideration, per capita grants varied from a low of \$538 within Osceola County to a high of \$10,284 within Franklin County. Many of Florida's less populated, rural counties had high per capita dollar figures, which demonstrate the relative importance of federal grants as a potential source of revenue in these areas.

Per capita procurement contracts varied from a low of \$17 within Glades County to a high of \$6,212 within Okaloosa County. Per capita salary and wage payments ranged from a low of \$50 within Glades County to a high of \$6,775 within Walton County. Certainly, federal procurement and salary and wage spending associated with Eglin Air Force Base in northwest Florida are factors in Okaloosa and Walton counties' high per capita dollar figures, respectively.

3. Federal Direct Expenditures by Category as a Percentage of Total

Table 2-3 on pages 28-29 provides a county-by-county list of federal direct expenditures by category as a percentage of total expenditures. A number of factors explain differences in counties' proportional shares attributable to each expenditure category. For example, the relative share attributable to federal direct payments to individuals was generally highest in counties with large elderly or retiree populations, such as Clay, Flagler, and Lake; or with proportionately larger populations receiving certain benefits, such as Hamilton, Calhoun, and Dixie. The relative share attributable to federal grants (excluding Leon County) was highest in less populated, rural counties such as Franklin, Gilchrist, and Glades. The relative share attributable to federal procurement was highest in counties such as Brevard, Okaloosa, and Orange, which are engaged in significant military and space-related contracting. The relative share attributable to federal salary and wage payments was generally highest in those counties such as Duval, Escambia, Okaloosa, and Walton where military installations are located.

E. Conclusion

The economic impact of federal direct expenditures varies significantly from county to county. Past changes in federal spending have had unequal impacts on local economies. Likewise, future changes in the receipt of federal funding are likely to affect areas of the state quite differently. This information should be useful to policy makers as they assess the impact of future changes in federal direct expenditures on Florida's local economies.

		Flor	rida's Federal	Dir	-		-	int	у		
					Fiscal Year	200		1		<u> </u>	
		Retirement &	Other Direct				Procurement		Salaries &		
County	_	Disability	Payments	•	Grants	•	Contracts	-	Wages	•	Total
Alachua	\$	589,394,000	\$ 376,703,000	\$	474,432,000	\$	182,602,000	\$	258,382,000	\$	1,881,514,000
Baker	_	63,150,000	45,896,000		34,260,000		1,590,000		4,597,000		149,492,000
Bay	_	659,634,000	333,213,000		181,641,000		559,661,000		382,058,000		2,116,206,000
Bradford		73,156,000	70,817,000		29,501,000		1,889,000		34,015,000		209,377,000
Brevard		2,268,938,000	890,590,000		428,872,000		3,127,917,000		516,885,000		7,233,202,000
Broward		3,882,835,000	3,945,217,000		1,613,315,000		574,381,000		602,811,000		10,618,558,000
Calhoun		38,170,000	85,638,000		13,544,000		697,000		2,102,000		140,151,000
Charlotte		715,780,000	393,896,000		119,592,000		7,157,000		25,070,000		1,261,496,000
Citrus	_	699,487,000	355,207,000		84,039,000		4,524,000		18,802,000		1,162,058,000
Clay		646,923,000	140,264,000		105,684,000		24,657,000		26,162,000		943,690,000
Collier	_	999,420,000	414,262,000		223,813,000		31,456,000		55,084,000		1,724,036,000
Columbia		235,068,000	123,792,000		77,234,000		53,985,000		70,325,000		560,404,000
De Soto		84,773,000	89,442,000		45,705,000		823,000		3,418,000		224,159,000
Dixie	_	57,339,000	48,209,000		11,251,000		296,000		1,393,000		118,488,000
Duval		2,374,170,000	2,055,868,000		973,083,000		1,142,280,000		1,642,656,000		8,188,058,000
Escambia		1,262,979,000	566,530,000		313,648,000		359,320,000		785,362,000		3,287,839,000
Flagler		385,531,000	87,000,000		69,854,000		3,245,000		13,707,000		559,337,000
Franklin		35,108,000	33,523,000		125,973,000		696,000		1,779,000		197,080,000
Gadsden		127,160,000	124,912,000		47,121,000		3,001,000		9,808,000		312,000,000
Gilchrist		49,653,000	22,294,000		44,621,000		569,000		2,376,000		119,513,000
Glades		22,920,000	10,919,000		14,235,000		186,000		549,000		48,809,000
Gulf		52,287,000	40,654,000		17,918,000		443,000		1,312,000		112,615,000
Hamilton		40,775,000	106,097,000		21,877,000		535,000		2,214,000		171,499,000
Hardee		56,423,000	48,357,000		28,822,000		938,000		4,556,000		139,097,000
Hendry		75,761,000	60,441,000		41,373,000		16,299,000		3,974,000		197,848,000
Hernando		820,193,000	409,326,000		120,136,000		8,115,000		29,185,000		1,386,955,000
Highlands		448,099,000	266,610,000		77,600,000		22,301,000		17,363,000		831,972,000
Hillsborough		2,959,793,000	3,615,419,000		1,231,865,000		1,379,797,000		1,163,753,000		10,350,627,000
Holmes		70,686,000	64,939,000		19,593,000		1,041,000		4,773,000		161,031,000
Indian River		577,935,000	381,703,000		128,729,000		17,254,000		31,902,000		1,137,522,000
Jackson		166,752,000	140,302,000		71,761,000		7,867,000		33,144,000		419,825,000
Jefferson		43,083,000	37,821,000		15,777,000		661,000		3,590,000		100,932,000
Lafayette		14,791,000	14,690,000		6,281,000		245,000		1,108,000		37,115,000
Lake		1,565,652,000	504,384,000		229,579,000		18,270,000		52,743,000		2,370,628,000
Lee		1,968,019,000	958,355,000		403,972,000		55,588,000		185,967,000		3,571,902,000
Leon		815,681,000	406,172,000		3,775,969,000		80,513,000		133,813,000		5,212,147,000
Levy		138,959,000	72,284,000		49,138,000		6,496,000		6,991,000		273,868,000
Liberty		19,153,000	13,362,000		6,379,000		1,065,000		2,336,000		42,295,000
Madison		59,863,000	54,753,000		30,010,000		695,000		3,125,000		148,446,000
Manatee		1,016,949,000	598,543,000		240,017,000		52,833,000		78,188,000		1,986,530,000
Marion		1,412,823,000	560,359,000		243,036,000		18,950,000		54,809,000		2,289,977,000
Martin		579,658,000	316,770,000		121,758,000		48,154,000		23,431,000		1,089,771,000
Miami-Dade		4,562,240,000	5,324,620,000		2,881,620,000		885,319,000		1,590,657,000		15,244,455,000
Monroe		219,088,000	155,276,000		121,325,000		77,124,000		134,692,000		707,504,000
			77,915,000								
Nassau		242,579,000	198,276,000	-	44,947,000		18,842,000		81,002,000	-	465,285,000
Okaloosa Okaashahaa		915,129,000	101,449,000	-	180,992,000	\vdash			752,675,000	<u> </u>	3,267,973,000 270,449,000
Okeechobee		120,463,000	· ·		35,418,000		7,519,000		5,599,000		
Orange		2,247,572,000	1,333,303,000	<u> </u>	957,612,000		3,335,083,000		654,701,000	<u> </u>	8,528,272,000
Osceola		577,742,000	234,728,000	<u> </u>	143,167,000		31,150,000	<u> </u>	32,967,000	<u> </u>	1,019,754,000
Palm Beach		3,996,319,000	2,937,717,000		1,106,085,000		528,808,000		483,101,000		9,052,029,000
Pasco	-	1,448,086,000	1,016,534,000	<u> </u>	281,890,000		91,407,000	<u> </u>	75,597,000	<u> </u>	2,913,515,000
Pinellas		3,594,058,000	2,640,190,000		882,389,000		1,155,016,000		570,034,000	-	8,841,687,000
Polk		1,775,378,000	868,818,000		444,280,000		83,055,000		109,580,000	-	3,281,111,000
Putnam		272,538,000	145,159,000		103,200,000		2,396,000		10,468,000		533,761,000

			Table 2-1			
	Floi	rida's Federal	Direct Expend	ditures by Cou	Intv	
			eral Fiscal Year	•	,	
	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement	Salaries &	
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages	Total
Saint Johns	540,856,000	197,541,000	123,548,000	65,823,000	49,243,000	977,011,000
Saint Lucie	918,729,000	468,398,000	176,984,000	19,451,000	54,746,000	1,638,308,000
Santa Rosa	554,727,000	151,150,000	100,804,000	51,769,000	89,623,000	948,073,000
Sarasota	1,818,589,000	961,161,000	272,886,000	152,602,000	83,346,000	3,288,584,000
Seminole	920,021,000	426,710,000	312,759,000	55,050,000	116,791,000	1,831,330,000
Sumter	218,856,000	92,729,000	55,973,000	27,115,000	84,039,000	478,712,000
Suwannee	149,969,000	66,615,000	46,877,000	1,755,000	9,250,000	274,467,000
Taylor	63,365,000	47,179,000	17,873,000	21,110,000	2,778,000	152,304,000
Union	28,510,000	17,224,000	20,720,000	2,766,000	1,840,000	71,061,000
Volusia	1,884,698,000	1,023,599,000	403,887,000	97,713,000	114,404,000	3,524,301,000
Wakulla	63,306,000	22,420,000	26,224,000	3,544,000	5,797,000	121,289,000
Walton	157,020,000	64,542,000	38,928,000	2,310,000	386,829,000	649,630,000
Washington	81,271,000	63,343,000	26,247,000	775,000	4,136,000	175,772,000
State Undistributed	17,698,000	5,037,274,000	691,024,000	-	-	5,745,996,000
Statewide	\$ 55,563,726,000	\$ 42,559,403,000	\$ 21,410,663,000	\$ 15,757,395,000	\$ 11,799,512,000	\$147,090,699,000

1) In the published report, the expenditure data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) The figures reported as "state undistributed" reflect data that were reported without specific county geographic designations.

Data Source:

U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008) [http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html]

	Florida's Pe	-		Expenditure	s by County	,
County	Retirement & Disability	Other Direct	ral Fiscal Year Grants	Procurement		
Alachua	\$ 2,381	\$ 1,522	\$ 1,916	\$ 738	\$ 1,044	\$ 7,600
Baker	2,465	1,791	1,317	¢ 766 62	179	5,834
Bay	3,935	1,988	1,084	3,339	2,279	12,624
Bradford	2,518	2,437	1,015	65	1,171	7,206
Brevard	4,110	1,613	777	5,665	936	13,101
Broward	2,199	2,234	914	325	341	6,014
Calhoun	2,637	5,915	936	48	145	9,681
Charlotte	4,349	2,393	727	43	143	7,665
Citrus	4,992	2,535	600	32	132	8,293
Clay	3,504	760	572	134	134	5,111
Collier	2,994	1,241	670	94	165	5,164
Columbia	3,596	1,894	1,181	826	1,076	8,572
De Soto	2,495	2,632	1,131	24	1,070	6,596
Dixie	3,627	3,050	712	19	88	7,495
Duval	2,645	2,290	1,084	1,273	1,830	9,122
Escambia	4,051	1,817	1,084	1,152	2,519	10,546
	4,031		747		2,319	5,978
Flagler		930		35		
Franklin	2,866	2,737	10,284	57	145	16,089
Gadsden	2,574	2,529	954	61	199	6,316
Gilchrist	2,903	1,303	2,608	33	139	6,987
Glades	2,073	988	1,288	17	50	4,415
Gulf	3,110	2,418	1,066	26	78	6,697
Hamilton	2,773	7,215	1,488	36	151	11,663
Hardee	2,050	1,757	1,047	34	166	5,054
Hendry	1,911	1,524	1,043	411	100	4,990
Hernando	5,057	2,524	741	50	180	8,551
Highlands	4,539	2,700	786	226	176	8,427
Hillsborough	2,481	3,031	1,033	1,157	976	8,677
Holmes	3,632	3,336	1,007	53	245	8,273
Indian River	4,135	2,731	921	123	228	8,139
Jackson	3,308	2,783	1,423	156	657	8,327
Jefferson	2,972	2,609	1,089	46	248	6,964
Lafayette	1,800	1,788	765	30	135	4,518
Lake	5,465	1,761	801	64	184	8,274
Lee	3,196	1,556	656	90	302	5,801
Leon	2,989	1,488	13,837	295	490	19,099
Levy	3,470	1,805	1,227	162	175	6,839
Liberty	2,464	1,719	821	137	301	5,442
Madison	3,002	2,745	1,505	35	157	7,443
Manatee	3,219	1,895	760	167	248	6,289
Marion	4,347	1,724	748	58	169	7,046
Martin	4,033	2,204	847	335	163	7,582
Miami-Dade	1,853	2,162	1,170	360	646	6,191
Monroe	2,774	1,966	1,536	976	1,705	8,957
Nassau	3,487	1,120	646	271	1,164	6,688
Okaloosa	4,656	1,009	921	6,212	3,830	16,628

			Table 2-2			
	Florida's Pe	er Capita Fed	deral Direct	Expenditure	s by County	/
		-	ral Fiscal Year		, ,	
	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement	Salaries &	
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages	Total
Okeechobee	3,086	2,599	907	193	143	6,929
Orange	2,033	1,206	866	3,017	592	7,714
Osceola	2,171	882	538	117	124	3,832
Palm Beach	3,086	2,268	854	408	373	6,990
Pasco	3,333	2,340	649	210	174	6,707
Pinellas	3,806	2,796	935	1,223	604	9,364
Polk	3,055	1,495	765	143	189	5,647
Putnam	3,644	1,941	1,380	32	140	7,136
Saint Johns	3,110	1,136	710	378	283	5,617
Saint Lucie	3,378	1,722	651	72	201	6,024
Santa Rosa	3,903	1,063	709	364	631	6,670
Sarasota	4,694	2,481	704	394	215	8,488
Seminole	2,161	1,002	735	129	274	4,302
Sumter	2,438	1,033	624	302	936	5,333
Suwannee	3,786	1,682	1,184	44	234	6,930
Taylor	2,814	2,095	794	938	123	6,764
Union	1,813	1,096	1,318	176	117	4,520
Volusia	3,710	2,015	795	192	225	6,937
Wakulla	2,152	762	891	120	197	4,123
Walton	2,750	1,130	682	40	6,775	11,378
Washington	3,426	2,671	1,107	33	174	7,411
Statewide	\$ 2,974	\$ 2,278	\$ 1,146	\$ 844	\$ 632	\$ 7,874

Note: The calculations of per capita expenditures were made using April 1, 2007 population estimates for Florida counties as published by the Bureau of Economic and Business Research, University of Florida.

Data Source:

U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008) [http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html]

Florida's F	Federal Direct E	Table 2-3 Expenditures by Federal Fiscal Y	/ County -	Category as %	of Total
	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement	Salaries &
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages
Alachua	31.3%	20.0%	25.2%	9.7%	13.7%
Baker	42.2%	30.7%	22.9%	1.1%	3.1%
Bay	31.2%	15.7%	8.6%	26.4%	18.1%
Bradford	34.9%	33.8%	14.1%	0.9%	16.2%
Brevard	31.4%	12.3%	5.9%	43.2%	7.1%
Broward	36.6%	37.2%	15.2%	5.4%	5.7%
Calhoun	27.2%	61.1%	9.7%	0.5%	1.5%
Charlotte	56.7%	31.2%	9.5%	0.6%	2.0%
Citrus	60.2%	30.6%	7.2%	0.4%	1.6%
Clay	68.6%	14.9%	11.2%	2.6%	2.8%
Collier	58.0%	24.0%	13.0%	1.8%	3.2%
Columbia	41.9%	22.1%	13.8%	9.6%	12.5%
De Soto	37.8%	39.9%	20.4%	0.4%	1.5%
Dixie	48.4%	40.7%	9.5%	0.2%	1.2%
Duval	29.0%	25.1%	11.9%	14.0%	20.1%
Escambia	38.4%	17.2%	9.5%	10.9%	23.9%
Flagler	68.9%	15.6%	9.5 <i>%</i>	0.6%	23.9%
Franklin	17.8%	17.0%	63.9%	0.4%	0.9%
Gadsden	40.8%	40.0%	15.1%	1.0%	3.1%
Gilchrist	40.8%	18.7%	37.3%	0.5%	2.0%
Glades	47.0%	22.4%	29.2%	0.5%	1.1%
Gulf	46.4%	36.1%	29.2 <i>%</i> 15.9%	0.4%	1.1%
Hamilton	23.8%	61.9%	12.8%	0.3%	1.2%
Hardee	40.6%	34.8%	20.7%	0.7%	3.3%
Hendry	38.3%	30.5%	20.7 %	8.2%	2.0%
Hernando	59.1%	29.5%	8.7%	0.6%	2.0%
Highlands	53.9%	32.0%	9.3%	2.7%	2.1%
Hillsborough	28.6%	34.9%	9.3 <i>%</i> 11.9%	13.3%	11.2%
Holmes	43.9%	40.3%	12.2%	0.6%	3.0%
Indian River	50.8%	33.6%	12.2 %	1.5%	2.8%
Jackson	39.7%	33.4%	17.1%	1.9%	7.9%
Jefferson	42.7%	37.5%	17.1%	0.7%	3.6%
Lafayette	39.9%	39.6%	16.9%	0.7%	3.0%
Lake	66.0%	21.3%	9.7%	0.8%	2.2%
	55.1%	26.8%	9.7%	1.6%	5.2%
Lee					
Leon	15.6%	7.8%	72.4%	1.5%	2.6%
Levy	50.7%	26.4%	17.9%	2.4%	2.6%
Liberty	45.3%	31.6%	15.1%	2.5%	5.5%
Madison	40.3%	36.9%	20.2%	0.5%	2.1%
Manatee	51.2%	30.1%	12.1%	2.7%	3.9%
Marion Martin	61.7% 53.2%	24.5% 29.1%	10.6% 11.2%	0.8%	2.4% 2.2%

		Table 2-3	3		
Florida's I	Federal Direct E	Expenditures by Federal Fiscal Y	• •	Category as %	of Total
	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement	Salaries &
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages
Miami-Dade	29.9%	34.9%	18.9%	5.8%	10.4%
Monroe	31.0%	21.9%	17.1%	10.9%	19.0%
Nassau	52.1%	16.7%	9.7%	4.0%	17.4%
Okaloosa	28.0%	6.1%	5.5%	37.4%	23.0%
Okeechobee	44.5%	37.5%	13.1%	2.8%	2.1%
Orange	26.4%	15.6%	11.2%	39.1%	7.7%
Osceola	56.7%	23.0%	14.0%	3.1%	3.2%
Palm Beach	44.1%	32.5%	12.2%	5.8%	5.3%
Pasco	49.7%	34.9%	9.7%	3.1%	2.6%
Pinellas	40.6%	29.9%	10.0%	13.1%	6.4%
Polk	54.1%	26.5%	13.5%	2.5%	3.3%
Putnam	51.1%	27.2%	19.3%	0.4%	2.0%
Saint Johns	55.4%	20.2%	12.6%	6.7%	5.0%
Saint Lucie	56.1%	28.6%	10.8%	1.2%	3.3%
Santa Rosa	58.5%	15.9%	10.6%	5.5%	9.5%
Sarasota	55.3%	29.2%	8.3%	4.6%	2.5%
Seminole	50.2%	23.3%	17.1%	3.0%	6.4%
Sumter	45.7%	19.4%	11.7%	5.7%	17.6%
Suwannee	54.6%	24.3%	17.1%	0.6%	3.4%
Taylor	41.6%	31.0%	11.7%	13.9%	1.8%
Union	40.1%	24.2%	29.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Volusia	53.5%	29.0%	11.5%	2.8%	3.2%
Wakulla	52.2%	18.5%	21.6%	2.9%	4.8%
Walton	24.2%	9.9%	6.0%	0.4%	59.5%
Washington	46.2%	36.0%	14.9%	0.4%	2.4%
Statewide	37.8%	28.9%	14.6%	10.7%	8.0%

Data Source:

U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008) [http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html]

This page was intentionally left blank.

Part Three: Federal Grants to Florida's State and Local Governments

A. Introduction

Federal grant expenditures to Florida's state and local governments totaled \$20 billion, or \$1,098 per capita, in fiscal year 2007. Florida had the 4th largest expenditure of all states, the 4th largest expenditure of the seven most populous states, and the 2nd largest expenditure of the southern states, after Texas.¹ Compared to 2006, Florida's rankings were unchanged. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 45th among all states, last among the most populous states, and 14th among the southern states. The state's per capita rankings among the most populous and southern states were unchanged from 2006; however, Florida's per capita ranking among all states improved from 47th to 45th.

A summary of Florida's federal grant expenditures by department or agency can be found in **Table 3-1** on page 39. In addition to total reported expenditures, calculations of per capita expenditures have been included. Florida's rankings among the fifty states, the seven most populous states, and the other southern states on the basis of total and per capita expenditures are listed as well. Of the \$20 billion in total grant expenditures to Florida in 2007, the grant funding received from six federal departments: Health and Human Services, Transportation, Education, Housing and Urban Development, Agriculture, and Homeland Security totaled \$19.3 billion and accounted for 96 percent of all grant expenditures to Florida.

B. Data Sources

The data reported in this part was obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled *Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007* issued September 2008. The Census Bureau's publication presents federal expenditures to state and local governments by state and U.S. outlying areas. However, the focus of this part is on the total expenditures made to the fifty states collectively and the expenditures by program category made to Florida.

The total grant expenditures to Florida of \$20 billion are less than the state's federal grant obligations of \$21.4 billion discussed previously in Part One. This difference results from the use of the *Federal Aid to States* (FAS) report as the data source here as opposed to the use of the *Consolidated Federal Funds Report* (CFFR). The FAS report presents state-by-state distributions of federal expenditures for grants to state and local governments only. By contrast, federal grants reported in the CFFR generally represent obligations. Obligations are federal funds designated state-by-state and available to be "drawn down" through a variety of program requirements. Additionally, the CFFR includes payments to state and local governments as well as nongovernmental recipients.

¹Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau, the seven most populous states were California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio. The Census Bureau has classified 16 states in the South region. They are Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Distributions and obligations will eventually translate into expenditures by the state and local governments, which are addressed in the Committee's reports *Federal Grants to Florida's Local Governments* and subsequent updates.² This report profiles grant expenditures reported to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse by local governments and is part of an ongoing effort by the Committee to assist local governments in maximizing their drawdown of federal awards. Furthermore, local government expenditures sorted by grant program have been compiled and are available for download on the committee's website.³

C. Federal Grants by Department or Agency

The tables in this part provide more detailed summaries of federal grant expenditures to Florida by department or agency. In the FAS report, these federal grant expenditures are reported by program categories. It is important to note that the majority of these program categories reflect the sum total of numerous individual grant programs.

In the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA), federal grants are classified into one of two types: formula grants and project grants.⁴ Formula grants are allocations of money to states or their subdivisions in accordance with distribution formulas prescribed by law or administrative regulation for activities of a continuing nature not confined to a specific project. Currently, the CFDA lists 184 formula grant programs. Project grants are funding for specific projects for fixed or known periods of time and can include fellowships, scholarships, research grants, training grants, traineeships, experimental and demonstration grants, evaluation grants, planning grants, technical assistance grants, survey grants, and construction grants. The CFDA lists 1,028 project grant programs.

In total, 1,212 separate federal grant programs are currently listed in the CFDA. Data on states' participation in each of these programs is not part of the FAS report; however, such information is available from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Due to the large number of such programs, a separate research project would be necessary to document Florida's participation in these formula and project grant programs relative to the other states.

Utilizing the data published in the FAS report, the following tables summarize federal grant expenditures to Florida by department or agency.

Table 3-2:U.S. Department of Agriculture (page 40)**Table 3-3:**U.S. Department of Commerce (page 41)

² www.floridalcir.gov/UserContent/docs/File/reports/fedgrants08.pdf

³ www.floridalcir.gov/dataatof.cfm

⁴ The purpose of the CFDA is to provide a database of all federal programs available to state and local governments, including the District of Columbia; federally-recognized Indian tribal governments; territories and possessions of the United States; domestic public, quasi-public, and private profit and nonprofit organizations and institutions; specialized groups; and individuals. The Catalog is available online at <u>www.cfda.gov</u>. Programs in the Catalog are classified into 15 types of assistance, seven of which are financial types of assistance and eight are non-financial types of assistance.

Table 3-4:	U.S. Department of Defense (page 42)
Table 3-5:	U.S. Department of Education (page 43)
Table 3-6:	U.S. Department of Energy (page 44)
Table 3-7 :	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (page 45)
Table 3-8:	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (page 46)
Table 3-9:	U.S. Department of Homeland Security (page 47)
Table 3-10 :	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (page 48)
Table 3-11 :	U.S. Department of Interior (page 49)
Table 3-12 :	U.S. Department of Justice (page 50)
Table 3-13 :	U.S. Department of Labor (page 51)
Table 3-14 :	U.S. Department of Transportation (page 52)
Table 3-15 :	U.S. Department of the Treasury (page 53)

Two additional tables summarize changes in federal grant expenditures to Florida by department or agency for the period of 1996 through 2007. **Table 3-16** on pages 54-57 lists the total expenditures, the percentage change in total expenditures from one year to the next, and Florida's rankings among the fifty states. **Table 3-17** on pages 58-59 lists the per capita expenditures and Florida's rankings among the fifty states. **Table 3-18** on pages 60-61 provides a comparison of each state's proportional receipt of federal grants by agency in 2007. If the state's proportional share by agency is roughly equivalent to the state's share of the national population, then perhaps a measure of equality has been satisfied. Supplemental information describing each federal department or agency and many of the grant programs can be obtained from their respective websites. A list of those websites can be found in the **Appendix**.

Chart 3-1 on page 62 illustrates total federal grant expenditures to states and their respective local governments plotted against the states' populations. A trend line is utilized to visually illustrate the expenditure level predicted by an individual state's population. Although this analysis does not consider the numerous other factors that affect states' receipts of grant funding, it does allow for a comparison similar to the analysis of per capita measures utilized in this report. Federal grant expenditures to state and local governments generally cluster around the trend line, indicating a high level of dependence on population. However, expenditures to a few states differ substantially, indicating that they receive more or less grant funding than would be predicted by population alone. Louisiana, Mississippi, and New York illustrate those substantially below their predicted levels.

D. Conclusion

Federal grant expenditures to Florida's state and local governments totaled \$20 billion, or \$1,098 per capita, in fiscal year 2007. In terms of total federal grants, Florida had the 4th largest expenditure of all states, the 4th largest expenditure of the seven most populous states, and the 2nd largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, the state ranked 45th among all states, last among the most populous states, and 14th among the southern states.

For reporting purposes, the federal government aggregates expenditures of hundreds of separate grant programs into broad program categories. Consequently, it is difficult to determine why the state ranks so low, on a per capita basis, relative to other states in many program categories. Certainly, this aggregation of expenditure data masks differences from one grant program to another. Within the same program category, a high per capita ranking in an individual grant program may be offset to some degree by a low per capita ranking in another program.

According to past statements made by representatives of several state agencies, per capita measurements of certain federal grants receipts, while low compared to other states, may not reflect the fact that the amounts are adequately serving their target populations. Additionally, some grant funding formulas incorporate variables other than the population at large; therefore, the use of a per capita measure for comparisons among states may not be appropriate. Florida's per capita expenditures for select grants may be lower than for most other states because of the state's unique demographic composition, which features large elderly and retiree populations. However, when funding is compared in terms of actual dollars, or per target populations, the state may actually rank much higher nationally.

It is important to note that a number of factors determine how successful or not an individual state can be in maximizing federal grant funding. How a state fares in the receipt of grant funding is largely determined by formula. Although the total number of project grants outnumbers the total number of formula grants by a factor of five, the cumulative dollar value of project grants is small relative to the cumulative dollar value of the large formula grant programs that exist in education, health care, and transportation, for example.

Another factor that affects states' receipt of grant funding is the natural resources existing within their boundaries. States that typically do well in the receipt of grant funding are those that receive payments for the value of natural resources extracted from their public lands. The existence of such extractable natural resources favors states like Alaska, Louisiana, and Wyoming, relative to a state like Florida with fewer extractable natural resources.

Medicaid is another factor that affects how a state fares in the receipt of grant funding, given the size of the program itself. While Medicaid spending has been increasing, an individual state could experience a smaller than average increase if its Medicaid matching rate declines. Conversely, a state experiencing an increase in its matching rate could see a substantial increase in grant funding. Additionally, the type of Medicaid program run by the state, whether relatively modest and less expensive or more expansive and more expensive, will affect the level of federal reimbursements.

In spite of the caveats mentioned above, the data presented in this part suggest that it is possible for Florida to realize improvement in the acquisition of federal grants. Therefore, elected federal, state, and local officials should consider the development of a comprehensive strategy in the evaluation and acquisition of federal grants and identify federal and state policy changes to enhance the state's access and receipt of such funding.

		Та	ble 3-1							
Federal Grant E	xpenditures	to Fl	orida S	state an	d Loca	l Governr	nents			
	Fed	eral Fis	scal Year	2007						
			Flo	rida's Rankiı	ngs:		Florida's Rankings:			
			То	tal Expenditu	ires		Per C	apita Expend	litures	
Federal Agency or Department	Total Expenditures	% of State's Total	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	Per Capita Expenditures	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	
Health and Human Services	\$ 11,025,424,000	55.0%	5th	5th	2nd	\$ 604.09	46th	7th	15th	
Transportation	2,713,240,000	13.5%	3rd	3rd	1st	148.66	35th	3rd	11th	
Education	2,070,064,000	10.3%	4th	4th	2nd	113.42	31st	5th	12th	
Housing and Urban Development	1,735,726,000	8.7%	10th	7th	4th	95.10	36th	6th	14th	
Agriculture	1,117,608,000	5.6%	4th	4th	2nd	61.23	42nd	7th	14th	
Homeland Security	617,800,000	3.1%	2nd	1st	2nd	33.85	7th	1st	3rd	
Labor	256,406,000	1.3%	9th	7th	3rd	14.05	48th	7th	15th	
Justice	212,836,000	1.1%	3rd	3rd	1st	11.66	27th	3rd	9th	
Environmental Protection Agency	146,053,000	0.7%	6th	6th	2nd	8.00	48th	7th	14th	
Interior	32,347,000	0.2%	25th	4th	7th	1.77	47th	6th	16th	
Defense	19,782,000	0.1%	5th	3rd	3rd	1.08	24th	3rd	8th	
* Veterans Affairs	18,504,000	0.1%	12th	7th	3rd	1.01	43rd	6th	10th	
Energy	15,072,000	0.1%	12th	7th	3rd	0.83	48th	6th	16th	
Commerce	12,194,000	0.1%	18th	7th	10th	0.67	45th	7th	16th	
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	11,130,000	0.1%	6th	6th	2nd	0.61	50th	7th	16th	
Corporation for Public Broadcasting	9,061,000	< 0.1%	1st	1st	1st	0.50	28th	1st	10th	
Corporation for National and Community Service	8,186,000	< 0.1%	5th	4th	1st	0.45	33rd	4th	9th	
Treasury	6,063,000	< 0.1%	4th	4th	2nd	0.33	7th	3rd	2nd	
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	2,390,000	< 0.1%	6th	5th	2nd	0.13	40th	4th	12th	
Social Security AdminSupplemental Security Income	2,212,000	< 0.1%	3rd	3rd	1st	0.12	17th	4th	5th	
* Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	1,206,000	< 0.1%	7th	6th	1st	0.07	38th	6th	7th	
State Justice Institute	12,000	< 0.1%	25th	6th	8th	< 0.01	33rd	5th	8th	
* Appalachian Regional Commission	2,000	< 0.1%	16th	4th	11th	< 0.01	17th	4th	11th	
* Payments to D.C. and Metro System	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
* Tennessee Valley Authority-Payments in Lieu of Taxes	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total - Florida	\$ 20,033,318,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 1,097.64	45th	7th	14th	
Total - All States	\$ 430,146,035,000					\$ 1,428.90				
Florida as % of All States	4.7%									

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

		Table	3-2							
Federal Grant Exp	enditures to	o Flor	ida Sta	ate and	Local (Governme	ents			
	U.S. Depar									
	•		-		C					
	Federa	al Fisca	al Year 2							
				rida's Rankir	•			orida's Rankiı	-	
			To	tal Expenditu		, –	Per C		Expenditures	
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States	
Program Categories / Subactorogies	Total	State's Total	All States	Populous States	in "South"	Per Capita	All States	Populous States		
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures				Region	Expenditures			Region	
Agricultural Marketing Service Cooperative State Research Education and Extension Service	\$ 8,886,000 31,119,000	0.8%	11th 10th	7th 5th	4th 5th	\$ 0.49 1.71	47th 47th	7th 6th	15th 16th	
	- , -,						-			
Extension Activities	22,364,000	2.0% 0.8%	19th 5th	7th 4th	10th 2nd	1.23 0.48	48th 39th	6th 4th	16th 10th	
Research and Education Activities * Farm Service Agency	8,755,000 34,000	< 0.1%	25th	4th 3rd	2nd 13th	< 0.01	27th	4th 3rd	10th	
* Food Safety and Inspection Service	54,000	< 0.1%	2500	-	-	< 0.01	- 2701	-	1301	
Food and Nutrition Service	1,063,579,000	95.2%	- 4th	- 4th	- 2nd	- 58.27	- 35th	- 6th	- 14th	
Child Nutrition Programs	708,635,000	63.4%	4th	4th	2nd 2nd	38.83	25th	4th	14th	
Commodity Assistance Programs	5.897.000	0.5%	9th	7th	3rd	0.32	48th	7th	15th	
Food Stamp Program	70,293,000	6.3%	12th	7th	5th	3.85	40th	7th	16th	
* Supplemental Food Program (WIC)	278,754,000	24.9%	4th	4th	2nd	15.27	25th	4th	13th	
* Forest Service	3.734.000	0.3%	19th	4th	6th	0.20	37th	4th	13th	
* Payments to States and Counties	2,499,000	0.0%	18th	4th	6th	0.14	30th	4th	10th	
 Rural Community and Emergency Fire Fighting Program 		0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
* State and Private Forestry	1,235,000	0.1%	18th	3rd	7th	0.07	25th	3rd	12th	
* National Forest Service		0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
* Other	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
* Natural Resources Conservation Service	86,000	< 0.1%	33rd	6th	13th	< 0.01	37th	6th	13th	
Rural Development Activities	10,170,000	0.9%	25th	7th	13th	0.56	47th	6th	15th	
* Community Facilities Grants	50,000	< 0.1%	46th	7th	15th	< 0.01	49th	7th	16th	
Rural, Regional, and Cooperative Development Programs	1,734,000	0.2%	9th	2nd	5th	0.10	35th	2nd	12th	
* Housing Preservation Grants	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Water Systems and Waste Disposal Systems Grants	8,147,000	0.7%	25th	6th	13th	0.45	47th	6th	15th	
* Other	239,000	< 0.1%	32nd	7th	13th	0.01	42nd	7th	15th	
Total - Florida	\$ 1,117,608,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 61.23	42nd	7th	14th	
Total - All States	\$ 23,313,819,000					\$ 77.45				
Florida as % of All States	4.8%									

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-3 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Commerce

Federal Fiscal Year 2007

				Flo	orida's Ranki	ngs:			Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:
				То	tal Expenditu	ures			Per C	apita Expend	litures
			% of		7 Most	16 States	1			7 Most	16 States
		Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per	Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Ex	penditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expe	enditures	States	States	Region
* Economic Development Administration	\$	1,324,000	10.9%	42nd	7th	15th	\$	0.07	48th	7th	15th
* National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administation		9,659,000	79.2%	8th	2nd	4th		0.53	21st	2nd	9th
* National Telecommunications and Information Administration		1,211,000	9.9%	4th	4th	1st		0.07	30th	4th	8th
Total - Florida	\$	12,194,000	100%	18th	7th	10th	\$	0.67	45th	7th	16th
Total - All States	\$	593,845,000					\$	1.97			
Florida as % of All States		2.1%									

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-4 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Defense Eederal Eiscal Year 2007

		reaer	al FISCa	ai rear z	007						
				Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:			Flo	rida's Rankir	igs:
				То	tal Expenditu	ires			Per C	apita Expend	litures
			% of		7 Most	16 States				7 Most	16 States
		Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per	r Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	E	xpenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expe	enditures	States	States	Region
* U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Civilian Construction Program	\$	9,000	0.0%	28th	6th	13th	\$	< 0.01	35th	6th	15th
* U.S. Army National Guard - Construction		19,773,000	100.0%	5th	3rd	3rd		1.08	23rd	3rd	8th
Total - Florida	\$	19,782,000	100%	5th	3rd	3rd	\$	1.08	24th	3rd	8th
Total - All States	\$	455,503,000					\$	1.51			
Florida as % of All States		4.3%									

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

		Table	3-5									
Federal Grant Ex	penditures t	o Flor	ida Sta	ate and	l ocal (Governme	ents					
	U.S. Depa											
	Feder	al Fisca	l Year 2	007								
				orida's Rankiı	0			orida's Rankir	•			
	Total Expenditures Per Capita Expendence % of 7 Most 16 States 7 Most											
									16 States			
Program Categories / Subcategories	Total Expenditures	State's Total	All States	Populous States	in "South"	Per Capita	All States	Populous States	in "South"			
					Region	Expenditures			Region			
* Office of English Language Acquisition	\$ 58,308,000	2.8%	3rd	3rd	2nd	\$ 3.19	4th	3rd	2nd			
Office of Educational Research and Improvement	16,067,000	0.8%	5th	4th	2nd	0.88	37th 27th	6th	9th 10th			
Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services	766,588,000	37.0%	4th	4th	2nd	42.00		3rd				
Rehabilitation Services and Disability Research Programs	163,563,000	7.9%	2nd 4th	2nd 4th	1st 2nd	8.96	22nd	1st 5th	7th 13th			
Office of Special Education Programs	603,025,000	29.1%				33.04	36th	÷				
* Office of Vocational and Adult Education	92,018,000	4.4%	4th	4th	2nd	5.04	32nd	6th	11th			
Vocational Technical Education Programs	58,126,000	2.8%	4th	4th	2nd	3.18	37th	6th	13th			
* Adult Education and Literacy Programs	33,892,000	1.6%	4th	4th	2nd	1.86	9th	5th	6th			
Office of Elementary and Secondary Education	1,057,635,000	51.1%	4th	4th	2nd	57.95	27th	6th	9th			
* Programs for the Disadvantaged - Migrants	22,816,000	1.1%	3rd	3rd	2nd	1.25	10th	3rd	3rd			
Programs for the Disadvantaged - Others	82,500,000	4.0%	4th	4th	2nd	4.52	15th	4th	7th			
Impact Ald	11,415,000	0.6%	23rd	4th	6th	0.63	36th	4th	12th			
* American Indian, Alaska and Hawaiian Native Programs	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
No Child Left Behind Act	208,455,000 670,742,000	10.1% 32.4%	5th 4th	5th 4th	2nd 2nd	11.42 36.75	41st 20th	6th 6th	13th 8th			
* Title I Programs Other	, ,				2nd 3rd			••••	.			
	61,707,000	3.0%	4th	3rd	.	3.38	13th	2nd	4th			
Office of Postsecondary Education	35,332,000	1.7% 0.1%	6th 8th	4th 5th	4th 2nd	1.94 0.15	42nd 15th	4th 4th	15th 2nd			
* International Education Programs Other	2,708,000				∠na 4th			4th	2nd 16th			
Office of Student Financial Assistance	32,624,000	1.6% 2.1%	6th 4th	4th 4th	4th 2nd	1.79 2.42	43rd 42nd	4th 5th	16th			
	44,116,000			-	-			5th				
Total - Florida	\$ 2,070,064,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 113.42 \$ 142.70	31st	วาท	12th			
Total - All States	\$ 34,253,205,000	4				\$ 113.79						
Florida as % of All States	6.0%	J										

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-6 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Energy

		Feder	al Fisca	al Year 2	007						
				Flo	orida's Rankir	ngs:			Flo	orida's Rankir	ngs:
				То	tal Expenditu	ires			Per C	ditures	
			% of		7 Most	16 States	1			7 Most	16 States
		Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per	Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	E	xpenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Exper	nditures	States	States	Region
* National Nuclear Security Administration	\$	1,279,000	8.5%	14th	5th	4th	\$	0.07	21st	4th	9th
* Nuclear Waste Disposal		-	0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
* Environmental and Other Defense Programs		1,000,000	6.6%	11th	4th	4th		0.05	22nd	3rd	7th
* Energy Conservation Programs		-	0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
* Energy Research and Development Programs		12,793,000	84.9%	10th	7th	3rd		0.70	47th	5th	15th
* Other Programs		-	0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Total - Florida	\$	15,072,000	100%	12th	7th	3rd	\$	0.83	48th	6th	16th
Total - All States	\$	685,442,000				•	\$	2.28			-
Florida as % of All States		2.2%									

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-7 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Federal Fiscal Year 2007

					orida's Rankir tal Expenditu	•				orida's Rankir apita Expend	0
Program Categories / Subcategories	1	Total Expenditures	% of State's Total	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	-	r Capita enditures	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region
Hazardous Substance Response (Superfund and L.U.S.T.)	\$	1,650,000	1.1%	38th	7th	13th	\$	0.09	50th	7th	16th
Other		144,403,000	98.9%	6th	6th	2nd		7.91	47th	7th	13th
Total - Florida	\$	146,053,000	100%	6th	6th	2nd	\$	8.00	48th	7th	14th
Total - All States	\$	4,138,736,000					\$	13.75			
Florida as % of All States		3.5%									

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-8 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Federal Fiscal Year 2007

	Federa	II FISCA	i Year 20	107					
			Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:		Flo	orida's Ranki	ngs:
			То	tal Expenditu			Per C	Capita Expend	
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
Administration for Children and Families	\$ 1,892,417,000	17.2%	6th	6th	2nd	\$ 103.69	45th	7th	14th
Child Care and Development	256,193,000	2.3%	4th	4th	2nd	14.04	40th	7th	15th
Child Support Enforcement	179,457,000	1.6%	3rd	3rd	2nd	9.83	22nd	4th	7th
Children and Family Services (Head Start)	332,815,000	3.0%	5th	5th	2nd	18.24	46th	7th	15th
Safe and Stable Families	22,385,000	0.2%	4th	4th	2nd	1.23	30th	7th	11th
Foster Care and Adoption Assistance	220,263,000	2.0%	7th	7th	2nd	12.07	39th	6th	9th
Low Income Home Energy Assistance	44,565,000	0.4%	20th	7th	6th	2.44	49th	7th	16th
* Refugee and Entrant Assistance	106,810,000	1.0%	1st	1st	1st	5.85	1st	1st	1st
Social Services Block Grant	117,885,000	1.1%	4th	3rd	3rd	6.46	10th	4th	5th
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)	590,776,000	5.4%	6th	5th	1st	32.37	35th	6th	9th
Other	21,268,000	0.2%	5th	3rd	3rd	1.17	33rd	3rd	9th
Administration on Aging	89,381,000	0.8%	3rd	3rd	1st	4.90	21st	3rd	5th
* Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	244,000	< 0.1%	28th	6th	11th	0.01	36th	7th	14th
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	1,888,000	< 0.1%	17th	7th	8th	0.10	49th	7th	16th
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services	8,501,216,000	77.1%	5th	5th	2nd	465.79	46th	7th	15th
Health Resources and Services Administration	384,921,000	3.5%	3rd	3rd	1st	21.09	17th	2nd	7th
* Indian Health Service	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration	155,357,000	1.4%	4th	4th	2nd	8.51	35th	6th	9th
Total - Florida	\$ 11,025,424,000	100%	5th	5th	2nd	\$ 604.09	46th	7th	15th
Total - All States	\$ 249,509,994,000					\$ 828.85			
Florida as % of All States	4.4%]							

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:

April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

Table 3-9 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Federal Fiscal Year 2007

	1 0 0 0										
			Florida's Rankings: Total Expenditures					Florida's Rankings: Per Capita Expenditures			
Program Categories / Subcategories	Total Expenditures	% of State's Total	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	Per Capita Expenditures	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region		
* Coast Guard	\$-	0.0%	-	-	-	\$-	-	-	-		
Domestic Preparedness and Anti-terrorism Programs	31,912,000	5.2%	7th	5th	3rd	1.7	5 45th	6th	15th		
* Federal Emergency Management Agency	585,888,000	94.8%	2nd	1st	2nd	32.1) 5th	1st	3rd		
* Disaster Relief	572,247,000	92.6%	2nd	1st	2nd	31.3	5 5th	1st	3rd		
* Emergency Management Planning and Assistance	7,438,000	1.2%	7th	3rd	4th	0.4	1 17th	2nd	6th		
* Other	6,203,000	1.0%	2nd	1st	2nd	0.3	4 8th	1st	5th		
Total - Florida	\$ 617,800,000	100%	2nd	1st	2nd	\$ 33.8	5 7th	1st	3rd		
Total - All States	\$ 5,329,507,000			•		\$ 17.7)	•			
Florida as % of All States	11.6%										

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-10 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Federal Fiscal Year 2007

	reuer	ai fisca	ai rear 2						
				orida's Rankir	•			orida's Rankir	
			10	tal Expenditu			Per C	apita Expend	
	Total	% of State's	All	7 Most Populous	16 States in "South"	Per Capita	All	7 Most Populous	16 States in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
* Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	\$ 3,190,000	0.2%	3rd	3rd	1st	\$ 0.17	19th	4th	6th
Community Planning and Development	295,992,000	17.1%	7th	5th	4th	16.22	33rd	6th	7th
Community Development Block Grant	227,749,000	13.1%	7th	5th	4th	12.48	35th	7th	9th
* Empowerment Zones and Other Economic Development	418,000	< 0.1%	31st	5th	13th	0.02	43rd	5th	15th
Emergency Shelter and Homeless Assistance	67,825,000	3.9%	6th	6th	1st	3.72	24th	6th	4th
* Housing Programs	1,436,544,000	82.8%	9th	7th	2nd	78.71	38th	6th	15th
* College Housing	208,000	< 0.1%	16th	4th	5th	0.01	25th	4th	8th
 Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS 	33,760,000	1.9%	2nd	2nd	1st	1.85	2nd	2nd	1st
* Native American Block Grant	2,970,000	0.2%	20th	2nd	3rd	0.16	29th	2nd	7th
Housing for Special Populations	49,190,000	2.8%	5th	5th	1st	2.70	26th	5th	4th
Public Housing Programs	1,226,147,000	70.6%	9th	7th	2nd	67.18	37th	6th	14th
Low Rent Housing Assistance	1,070,720,000	61.7%	8th	6th	2nd	58.67	33rd	6th	13th
 Neighborhood Revitalization 	23,121,000	1.3%	10th	5th	5th	1.27	22nd	5th	9th
* Drug Elimination	126,000	< 0.1%	2nd	1st	1st	0.01	4th	1st	2nd
Housing Certificate Program	62,658,000	3.6%	14th	7th	3rd	3.43	38th	7th	13th
Capital Programs	69,522,000	4.0%	14th	7th	7th	3.81	38th	6th	16th
Home Ownership Assistance	122,543,000	7.1%	3rd	3rd	1st	6.71	14th	4th	5th
* Other	1,726,000	0.1%	26th	7th	9th	0.09	39th	7th	14th
Total - Florida	\$ 1,735,726,000	100%	10th	7th	4th	\$ 95.10	36th	6th	14th
Total - All States	\$ 46,633,897,000					\$ 154.91			
Florida as % of All States	3.7%								

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

			Table	2 1 1						
	_	_					_			
Federal Grant Ex	pend	itures to	o Flor	ida Sta	ate and	Local C	Governme	ents		
	-	5. Depar								
	0.0	-				7				
		Federa	al Fisca	al Year 2	007					
					orida's Rankir	•			orida's Rankir	0
				То	tal Expenditu			Per C	apita Expend	
		T . (.)	% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
Program Categories / Subcategories	Evr	Total penditures	State's Total	All States	Populous States	in "South" Region	Per Capita Expenditures	All States	Populous States	in "South" Region
* Bureau of Indian Affairs	\$	3,768,000	11.6%	19th	2nd	3rd	\$ 0.21	29th	2nd	5th
* Bureau of Land Management	Ψ	2.799.000	8.7%	14th	2nd 2nd	2nd	0.15	33rd	2nd 2nd	10th
* Payments in Lieu of Taxes		2,799,000	8.7%	14th	2nd	2nd	0.15	33rd	2nd	10th
* Shared Revenues		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
* Bureau of Reclamation		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fish and Wildlife Service		19,662,000	60.8%	10th	3rd	3rd	1.08	48th	6th	16th
Wildlife Conservation and Restoration		7,540,000	23.3%	18th	6th	5th	0.41	49th	6th	16th
Sport Fish Restoration		10,722,000	33.1%	5th	3rd	2nd	0.59	41st	3rd	14th
* Other		1,400,000	4.3%	4th	2nd	2nd	0.08	12th	2nd	4th
 Minerals Management Service 		7,000	< 0.1%	33rd	6th	10th	< 0.01	33rd	6th	10th
* Minerals Leasing Act		5,000	< 0.1%	24th	3rd	7th	< 0.01	27th	3rd	9th
* Other		2,000	< 0.1%	21st	6th	10th	< 0.01	23rd	6th	10th
National Park Service		6,111,000	18.9%	3rd	1st	3rd	0.33	41st	2nd	14th
Historic Preservation		1,262,000	3.9%	7th	2nd	6th	0.07	45th	4th	14th
* Other		4,849,000	15.0%	2nd	2nd	1st	0.27	28th	2nd	9th
 * Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement 		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
* Abandoned Mine Reclamation		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
* Other		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
* Office of Insular Affairs		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total - Florida	\$	32,347,000	100%	25th	4th	7th	\$ 1.77	47th	6th	16th
Total - All States	\$4,	,014,934,000					\$ 13.34			

Florida as % of All States

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

0.8%

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-12
Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments
U.S. Department of Justice
Endered Finand Van 2007

		Federa	al Fisca	al Year 2	007						
					orida's Rankir tal Expenditu	•		Florida's Rankings: Per Capita Expenditures			
Program Categories / Subcategories	E	Total Expenditures	% of State's Total	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	Per Capita Expenditures	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	
* Federal Prison System	\$	494,000	0.2%	14th	5th	6th	\$ 0.03	22nd	5th	9th	
* Office of Asset Forfeiture		49,216,000	23.1%	2nd	1st	2nd	2.70	3rd	1st	2nd	
Office of Justice Programs		163,126,000	76.6%	3rd	3rd	1st	8.94	37th	5th	13th	
Corrections, Probation, and Parole		2,149,000	1.0%	14th	4th	7th	0.12	39th	5th	13th	
Crime Victims Programs		44,573,000	20.9%	4th	4th	2nd	2.44	24th	5th	4th	
Education, Research, and Statistics Program		27,369,000	12.9%	1st	1st	1st	1.50	7th	1st	3rd	
Juvenile Justice Programs		20,884,000	9.8%	5th	3rd	3rd	1.14	28th	2nd	8th	
Law Enforcement Assistance		24,547,000	11.5%	5th	3rd	2nd	1.34	43rd	4th	12th	
Community Oriented Policing Program (COPS)		11,105,000	5.2%	6th	3rd	3rd	0.61	32nd	3rd	9th	
* Law Enforcement Block Grant		749,000	0.4%	4th	1st	3rd	0.04	7th	1st	5th	
Violence Against Women and Children		8,864,000	4.2%	6th	4th	2nd	0.49	47th	6th	14th	
* Weed and Seed		2,972,000	1.4%	3rd	2nd	2nd	0.16	23rd	3rd	8th	
* Other		857,000	0.4%	6th	3rd	3rd	0.05	28th	3rd	9th	
Substance Abuse Programs		40,900,000	19.2%	4th	3rd	2nd	2.24	25th	3rd	10th	
Other		2,704,000	1.3%	4th	3rd	2nd	0.15	37th	3rd	10th	
Total - Florida	\$	212,836,000	100%	3rd	3rd	1st	\$ 11.66	27th	3rd	9th	
Total - All States	\$	3,525,422,000					\$ 11.71				
Florida as % of All States		6.0%]								

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-13 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Labor

	Feder	al Fisca	al Year 2	007					
				orida's Rankii	•			orida's Ranki	0
			То	tal Expenditu	ires		Per (Capita Expend	litures
		% of		7 Most	16 States	Ĩ		7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
Bureau of Labor Statistics	\$ 3,167,000	1.2%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 0.17	48th	6th	14th
Employment and Training Administration	240,266,000	93.7%	9th	7th	3rd	13.16	48th	7th	15th
State Unemployment Insurance and Employment Service	115,025,000	44.9%	10th	7th	3rd	6.30	48th	7th	15th
Workforce Investment	106,286,000	41.5%	8th	7th	2nd	5.82	45th	7th	15th
Other	18,995,000	7.4%	12th	7th	4th	1.04	44th	6th	12th
Mine Safety Health and Administration	228,000	0.1%	14th	6th	6th	0.01	36th	4th	10th
Occupational Health and Safety Administration	3,884,000	1.5%	17th	3rd	7th	0.21	41st	3rd	12th
Veterans Employment and Training Administration	8,861,000	3.5%	4th	4th	2nd	0.49	48th	7th	16th
Total - Florida	\$ 256,406,000	100%	9th	7th	3rd	\$ 14.05	48th	7th	15th
Total - All States	\$ 8,181,769,000					\$ 27.18			
Florida as % of All States	3.1%	5							

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:

April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

Table 3-14 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Fiscal Year 2007

	I CUCI			001						
				orida's Rankir	0				orida's Ranki	0
			То	tal Expenditu	nditures			Per C	apita Expend	ditures
		% of		7 Most	16 States	I			7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per	Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expe	enditures	States	States	Region
Federal Aviation Administration	\$ 192,727,000	7.1%	4th	3rd	2nd	\$	10.56	31st	2nd	10th
Federal Highway Administration	2,158,122,000	79.5%	2nd	2nd	1st		118.25	26th	1st	12th
 Demonstration Projects 	34,681,000	1.3%	1st	1st	1st		1.90	12th	1st	6th
Highway Trust Fund	2,123,441,000	78.3%	2nd	2nd	1st		116.35	27th	1st	12th
* Other	-	0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
 Federal Railroad Administration 	1,546,000	0.1%	4th	1st	1st		0.08	11th	1st	3rd
Federal Transit Administration	341,298,000	12.6%	7th	6th	2nd		18.70	21st	6th	3rd
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration	19,048,000	0.7%	8th	5th	4th		1.04	41st	5th	14th
Research and Special Projects Administration	499,000	< 0.1%	27th	7th	9th		0.03	50th	7th	16th
Total - Florida	\$ 2,713,240,000	100%	3rd	3rd	1st	\$	148.66	35th	3rd	11th
Total - All States	\$ 46,756,285,000					\$	155.32			
Florida as % of All States	5.8%									

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Table 3-15 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of the Treasury

		redera	ai risca	al tear zo	007						
				Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:			Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:
				То	tal Expenditu	ires			Per C	apita Expend	litures
			% of		7 Most	16 States	Ĩ	ſ		7 Most	16 States
		Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Pe	r Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Ex	penditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expe	enditures	States	States	Region
* Asset Forfeiture Fund	\$	5,878,000	96.9%	3rd	3rd	2nd	\$	0.32	6th	3rd	2nd
* Other		185,000	3.1%	8th	6th	2nd		0.01	21st	7th	3rd
Total - Florida	\$	6,063,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$	0.33	7th	3rd	2nd
Total - All States	\$	67,924,000					\$	0.23			
Florida as % of All States		8.9%									

Notes:

1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

2) An asterisk indicates that one or more states had no reported federal grant expenditures.

3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2007.

4) Based on July 1, 2007 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

5) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2007," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html

Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2007												
Federal Department / Agency		1996		1997		1998		1999		2000		
Agriculture Total Expenditures	\$	727,798,000	\$	793,313,000	\$	829,750,000	\$	869,936,000	\$	827,812,000		
% Change From Prior Year	φ	121,198,000	φ	93,313,000	φ	4.6%	φ	4.8%	φ	-4.89		
FL's Ranking Among the States		4th		4th		4th		4th		4th		
Appalachian Regional Commission												
Total Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		
% Change From Prior Year FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-		-				
Commerce		-		-								
Total Expenditures	\$	38,660,000	\$	34,210,000	\$	28,421,000	\$	28,792,000	\$	29,088,000		
% Change From Prior Year		-		-11.5%		-16.9%		1.3%		1.0		
FL's Ranking Among the States		2nd		7th		7th		6th		8tl		
Corporation for National and Community												
Service Total Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA	\$	15,987,00		
% Change From Prior Year		- NA		- NA		- NA		- NA	φ	15,987,000		
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-		-		5tl		
Corporation for Public Broadcasting												
Total Expenditures	\$	2,726,000	\$	10,661,000	\$	10,163,000	\$	9,848,000	\$	11,805,00		
% Change From Prior Year FL's Ranking Among the States		- 15th		291.1% 5th		-4.7% 6th		-3.1% 6th		19.9 5t		
Defense		150		อเท		งเก	-	oth		51		
Total Expenditures	\$	2,041,000	\$	7,453,000	\$	3,402,000	\$	162,000	\$	171,00		
% Change From Prior Year	-	-		265.2%		-54.4%		-95.2%		5.6		
FL's Ranking Among the States		35th		8th		20th		43rd		36t		
Education							_		_			
Total Expenditures	\$	670,655,000	\$	740,893,000	\$	1,074,145,000	\$	1,021,054,000	\$	1,154,177,00		
% Change From Prior Year FL's Ranking Among the States		- 4th		10.5% 5th		45.0% 3rd		-4.9% 4th		13.0 ⁴ 4tl		
Election Assistance Commission		401		501		510		401		40		
Total Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA		N		
% Change From Prior Year		-		-		-		-				
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-		-				
Energy	_	4 000 000			•	5 0 4 0 0 0 0	•	0 50 4 000	•	4 000 00		
Total Expenditures % Change From Prior Year	\$	1,986,000	\$	4,918,000 147.6%	\$	5,216,000 6.1%	\$	2,564,000 -50.8%	\$	1,922,00 -25.0		
FL's Ranking Among the States		- 34th		4th		0.1 %		-50.8 % 22nd		-23.0 35tl		
Environmental Protection Agency												
Total Expenditures	\$	77,613,000	\$	77,661,000	\$	71,691,000	\$	95,128,000	\$	88,232,00		
% Change From Prior Year		-		0.1%		-7.7%		32.7%		-7.2		
FL's Ranking Among the States		11th		9th		12th		10th		12t		
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission												
Total Expenditures	\$	951,000	\$	988.000	\$	1,136,000	\$	893,000	\$	1,181,00		
% Change From Prior Year	Ť	-	Ť	3.9%	Ť	15.0%	Ť	-21.4%	Ť	32.3		
FL's Ranking Among the States		7th		9th		9th		8th		8tl		
Federal Emergency Management Agency												
Total Expenditures	\$	137,820,000	\$	99,978,000	\$	132,458,000	\$	168,941,000	\$	210,811,00		
% Change From Prior Year FL's Ranking Among the States		- 2nd		-27.5% 11th		32.5% 3rd		27.5% 2nd		24.8 3r		
Health and Human Services		2110		Trui		510		210		01		
Total Expenditures	\$ 4	4,765,354,000	\$	4,529,224,000	\$	5,197,789,000	\$	5,825,280,000	\$	6,370,651,00		
% Change From Prior Year		-		-5.0%		14.8%		12.1%		9.4		
FL's Ranking Among the States	<u> </u>	7th		7th		6th		5th		5t		
Homeland Security		K1 A		K 1.4		K1 *		K 1.4				
Total Expenditures % Change From Prior Year		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-		-				
Housing and Urban Development												
Total Expenditures	\$	748,903,000	\$	809,124,000	\$	973,312,000	\$	1,054,629,000	\$	1,117,059,00		
% Change From Prior Year		-		8.0%		20.3%		8.4%		5.9		
FL's Ranking Among the States		9th		9th		9th		9th		9t		
nstitute of Museum and Library Services Total Expenditures	\$	275,000	\$	133,000	\$	8,713,000	\$	6,858,000	\$	7,564,00		
% Change From Prior Year	Ψ	210,000	φ	-51.6%	φ	6451.1%	φ	-21.3%	φ	7,564,00		
FL's Ranking Among the States		10th		26th		3rd		4th		4t		
nterior			1				1		1			
Total Expenditures	\$	14,313,000	\$	24,717,000	\$	19,349,000	\$	18,972,000	\$	20,506,00		
% Change From Prior Year		-		72.7%		-21.7%		-1.9%		8.1		
FL's Ranking Among the States		32nd		26th		28th		26th		291		
lustice	¢	117 074 000	¢	151 111 000	¢	160 072 000	¢	206 754 000	¢	102 022 00		
Total Expenditures % Change From Prior Year	\$	117,971,000	\$	151,111,000 28.1%	\$	169,972,000 12.5%	\$	296,751,000 74.6%	\$	192,023,00 -35.3		
FL's Ranking Among the States	1	- 4th	l I	20.1% 4th	l I	12.5% 4th	1	4th	L	-35.3 3r		

 Total	Fed	eral Grant Ex	pe		Table 3-16 la's State and scal Years 1996		ocal Governme	ent	ts by Federal A	ge	ncy
2001		2002		2003	2004	10	2007		2006		2007
\$ 863,661,000 4.3% 4th	\$	980,605,000 13.5% 4th	\$	972,874,000 -0.8% 4th	\$ 1,015,824,000 4.4% 4th	\$	1,051,130,000 3.5% 4th	\$	1,079,860,000 2.7% 4th	\$	1,117,608,000 3.5% 4th
NA - -		NA - -		NA - -	NA - -		NA - -		NA - -	\$	2,000 - 16th
\$ 36,850,000 26.7% 7th	\$	46,248,000 25.5% 5th	\$	24,205,000 -47.7% 11th	\$ 34,898,000 44.2% 7th	\$	25,904,000 -25.8% 9th	\$	23,742,000 -8.3% 7th	\$	12,194,000 -48.6% 18th
\$ 11,098,000 -30.6% 10th	\$	4,172,000 -62.4% 12th	\$	2,835,000 -32.0% 17th	\$ 1,693,000 -40.3% 18th	\$	1,959,000 15.7% 18th	\$	6,455,000 229.5% 5th	\$	8,186,000 26.8% 5th
\$ 13,666,000 15.8% 4th	\$	14,771,000 8.1% 5th	\$	12,811,000 -13.3% 4th	\$ 13,314,000 3.9% 6th	\$	13,314,000 0.0% 6th	\$	10,614,000 -20.3% 1st	\$	9,061,000 -14.6% 1st
\$ 6,622,000 3772.5% 8th	\$	1,507,000 -77.2% 25th	\$	289,000 -80.8% 39th	\$ 6,000 -97.9% 46th	\$	14,817,000 246850.0% 6th	\$	11,370,000 -23.3% 11th	\$	19,782,000 74.0% 5th
\$ 1,199,151,000 3.9% 4th	\$	1,553,340,000 29.5% 4th	\$	1,646,297,000 6.0% 4th	\$ 1,814,563,000 10.2% 4th	\$	1,985,213,000 9.4% 4th	\$	2,057,824,000 3.7% 4th	\$	2,070,064,000 0.6% 4th
NA - -		NA - -	\$	26,029,000 - 6th	\$ 47,417,000 82.2% 9th	\$	85,085,000 79.4% 5th		NA - -		NA - -
\$ 3,149,000 63.8% 27th	\$	2,221,000 -29.5% 36th	\$	10,950,000 393.0% 20th	\$ 12,297,000 12.3% 17th	\$	11,596,000 -5.7% 16th	\$	11,306,000 -2.5% 18th	\$	15,072,000 33.3% 12th
\$ 109,427,000 24.0% 10th	\$	129,107,000 18.0% 8th	\$	135,156,000 4.7% 10th	\$ 145,769,000 7.9% 9th	\$	97,273,000 -33.3% 10th	\$	139,188,000 43.1% 8th	\$	146,053,000 4.9% 6th
\$ 1,362,000 15.3% 7th	\$	1,250,000 -8.2% 9th	\$	1,275,000 2.0% 9th	\$ 1,601,000 25.6% 4th	\$	1,605,000 0.2% 4th	\$	1,202,000 -25.1% 7th	\$	1,206,000 0.3% 7th
\$ 157,770,000 -25.2% 6th	\$	177,643,000 12.6% 4th		Refer to Homeland Security	 Refer to Homeland Security		Refer to Homeland Security		Refer to Homeland Security		Refer to Homeland Security
\$ 7,362,945,000 15.6% 5th	\$	8,355,365,000 13.5% 5th	\$	9,968,790,000 19.3% 5th	\$ 10,560,497,000 5.9% 5th	\$	10,393,124,000 -1.6% 5th	\$	9,689,187,000 -6.8% 5th	\$	11,025,424,000 13.8% 5th
NA - -		NA - -	\$	168,027,000 - 4th	\$ 270,326,000 60.9% 4th	\$	1,612,709,000 496.6% 1st	\$	1,653,372,000 2.5% 2nd	\$	617,800,000 -62.6% 2nd
\$ 1,117,104,000 0.0% 9th	\$	1,324,002,000 18.5% 9th	\$	1,441,227,000 8.9% 9th	\$ 1,404,958,000 -2.5% 9th	\$	1,036,959,000 -26.2% 9th	\$	1,499,207,000 44.6% 9th	\$	1,735,726,000 15.8% 10th
\$ 7,659,000 1.3% 4th	\$	9,586,000 25.2% 5th	\$	11,535,000 20.3% 6th	\$ 11,944,000 3.5% 5th		NA - -		NA - -		NA - -
\$ 21,731,000 6.0% 28th	\$	28,356,000 30.5% 23rd	\$	28,891,000 1.9% 25th	\$ 29,473,000 2.0% 26th	\$	30,580,000 3.8% 24th	\$	28,080,000 -8.2% 30th	\$	32,347,000 15.2% 25th
\$ 273,674,000 42.5% 3rd	\$	278,818,000 1.9% 4th	\$	238,375,000 -14.5% 3rd	\$ 252,978,000 6.1% 3rd	\$	146,508,000 -42.1% 9th	\$	195,626,000 33.5% 7th	\$	212,836,000 8.8% 3rd

Total Federal Grant Ex	per						ne	nts by Federal	Aç	gency
			al F	iscal Years 199	6 te					
Federal Department / Agency		1996		1997		1998		1999		2000
Labor										
Total Expenditures	\$	288,756,000	\$	216,366,000	\$	259,853,000	\$	265,255,000	\$	182,334,000
% Change From Prior Year		-		-25.1%		20.1%		2.1%		-31.3%
FL's Ranking Among the States		6th		6th		6th		6th		7th
National Foundation on the Arts and the										
Humanities										
Total Expenditures	\$	897,000	\$	779,000	\$	549,000	\$	773,000	\$	524,000
% Change From Prior Year		-		-13.2%		-29.5%		40.8%		-32.2%
FL's Ranking Among the States		12th		7th		17th		4th		33rd
Neighborhood Reinvestment										
Corporation		004.000	•			4 000 000	_	4 000 000	•	4 500 000
Total Expenditures	\$	624,000	\$	808,000	\$	1,029,000	\$	1,982,000	\$	1,596,000
% Change From Prior Year		- 6th		29.5% 11th		27.4% 9th		92.6% 9th		-19.5% 8th
FL's Ranking Among the States Social Security Administration:		oth		110		901		901		ອເກ
Supplemental Security Income										
	<i>•</i>	045 000	^	4 04 4 000		0.040.000	~	0.011.000	<i>~</i>	0 404 000
Total Expenditures % Change From Prior Year	\$	915,000	\$	1,914,000 109.2%	\$	2,840,000 48.4%	\$	3,014,000 6.1%	\$	2,191,000 -27.3%
FL's Ranking Among the States		- 10th		9th		40.4% 5th		2nd		-27.3% 6th
State Justice Institute		TOUT		901		501		2110		Our
Total Expenditures	\$	134,000	\$	86.000	\$	74.000	\$	38.000	\$	85.000
% Change From Prior Year	Ψ	134,000	Ψ	-35.8%	Ψ	-14.0%		-48.6%	Ψ	123.7%
FL's Ranking Among the States		13th		11th		16th		-10.070 27th		14th
Transportation		Tour				1001		2701		1 141
Total Expenditures	\$	835,953,000	\$	980,515,000	\$	933,196,000	\$	1,085,345,000	\$	1,415,456,000
% Change From Prior Year	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	17.3%	Ť	-4.8%	Ť	16.3%	Ŷ	30.4%
FL's Ranking Among the States		9th		8th		8th		5th		4th
Treasury										
Total Expenditures	\$	6,361,000	\$	10,419,000	\$	20,796,000	\$	42,454,000	\$	10,658,000
% Change From Prior Year		-		63.8%		99.6%	Ċ	104.1%		-74.9%
FL's Ranking Among the States		2nd		1st		2nd		1st		4th
Veterans Affairs										
Total Expenditures	\$	1,711,000	\$	9,202,000	\$	9,908,000	\$	12,291,000	\$	13,824,000
% Change From Prior Year		-	1	437.8%		7.7%		24.1%		12.5%
FL's Ranking Among the States		39th		10th		11th		11th		10th
All Federal Depts. / Agencies										
Total Expenditures	\$	8,442,417,000	\$	8,504,474,000	\$	9,753,762,000	\$	10,810,960,000	\$	11,675,656,000
% Change From Prior Year	1	-	1	0.7%		14.7%	-	10.8%		8.0%
FL's Ranking Among the States	1	7th	1	6th		6th		5th		5th
TE S Manking Among the States		7 01	1	501		001		501	l	501

Note: Florida's rankings among the states in total grant expenditures were calculated by the LCIR staff.

Data Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1996-1997 and Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1998-2007 (annual reports).

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
\$ 260,008,000 42.6% 7th	\$ 285,281,000 9.7% 7th	\$ 245,011,000 -14.1% 10th	\$ 282,798,000 15.4% 7th	\$ 325,503,000 15.1% 8th	\$ 304,746,000 -6.4% 10th	\$ 256,406,000 -15.99 9th
\$ 784,000 49.6% 7th	\$ 1,098,000 40.1% 3rd	\$ 710,000 -35.3% 20th	\$ 827,000 16.5% 8th	\$ 12,953,000 1466.3% 6th	\$ 11,009,000 -15.0% 6th	\$ 11,130,000 1.19 6th
\$ 1,793,000 12.3% 9th	\$ 2,031,000 13.3% 9th	\$ 2,433,000 19.8% 6th	\$ 2,242,000 -7.9% 8th	\$ 2,104,000 -6.2% 8th	\$ 2,056,000 -2.3% 8th	\$ 2,390,000 16.29 6th
\$ 1,704,000 -22.2% 7th	\$ 2,172,000 27.5% 7th	\$ 1,253,000 -42.3% 9th	\$ 1,600,000 27.7% 5th	\$ 1,762,000 10.1% 2nd	\$ 2,282,000 29.5% 5th	\$ 2,212,000 -3.19 3rc
\$ 36,000 -57.6% 19th	\$ 31,000 -13.9% 24th	\$ 2,000 -93.5% 26th	\$ 2,000 0.0% 33rd	\$ 90,000 4400.0% 6th	\$ 7,000 -92.2% 21st	\$ 12,000 71.49 25th
\$ 1,837,463,000 29.8% 5th	\$ 1,810,837,000 -1.4% 4th	\$ 2,030,052,000 12.1% 4th	\$ 1,981,531,000 -2.4% 4th	\$ 2,174,939,000 9.8% 4th	\$ 2,363,114,000 8.7% 4th	\$ 2,713,240,000 14.89 3rc
\$ 11,052,000 3.7% 2nd	\$ 15,927,000 44.1% 1st	\$ 278,106,000 1646.1% 4th	\$ 276,390,000 -0.6% 4th	\$ 6,132,000 -97.8% 5th	\$ 10,558,000 72.2% 2nd	\$ 6,063,000 -42.69 4th
\$ 5,687,000 -58.9% 27th	\$ 20,024,000 252.1% 6th	\$ 8,958,000 -55.3% 27th	\$ 11,495,000 28.3% 23rd	\$ 14,424,000 25.5% 19th	\$ 13,959,000 -3.2% 16th	\$ 18,504,000 32.69 12th
\$ 13,304,398,000 13.9% 5th	\$ 15,044,391,000 13.1% 5th	\$ 17,256,091,000 14.7% 4th	\$ 18,174,467,000 5.3% 4th	\$ 19,045,720,000 4.8% 4th	\$ 19,114,782,000 0.4% 4th	\$ 20,033,318,000 4.8% 4th

	_		_						able 3-17														
Per Capita I	Fe	deral	Gra	ant Ex			s to Flo al Fiscal							ver	rnments	s b	y Fede	ral	Agend	ÿ			
Federal Department / Agency		1996		1997	1998		1999		2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007
Agriculture																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	50.54	\$	54.14	\$ 55.6	3 3	\$ 57.57	\$	51.80	\$	52.67	\$	58.67	\$	57.16	\$	58.43	\$	59.16	\$	59.80	\$	61.23
FL's Ranking Among the States		35th		36th	36	h	34th		38th		38th		36th		37th		40th		39th		42nd		42nd
Appalachian Regional Commission																							
Per Capita Expenditures		NA		NA	N	A	NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-	-		-		-		-		-		-		19th		18th		17th
Commerce																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	2.68	\$	2.33	\$ 1.9	1 5	\$ 1.91	\$	1.82	\$	2.25	\$	2.77	\$	1.42	\$	2.01	\$	1.46	\$	1.31	\$	0.67
FL's Ranking Among the States		26th		34th	36	th	32nd		36th		32nd		28th		45th		33rd		42nd		32nd		45th
Corporation for National and Community																							
Service																							
Per Capita Expenditures		NA		NA	N	A	NA	\$	1.00	\$	0.68	\$	0.25	\$	0.17	\$	0.10	\$	0.11	\$	0.36	\$	0.45
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-	-		40th		49th		28th		28th		32nd		30th		28th		33rd
Corporation for Public Broadcasting																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.19	\$	0.73	\$ 0.6	8 3	\$ 0.65	\$	0.74	\$	0.83	\$	0.88	\$	0.75	\$	0.77	\$	0.75	\$	0.59	\$	0.50
FL's Ranking Among the States		44th		31st	33	ď	34th		33rd		29th		30th		39th		38th		38th		27th		28th
Defense																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.14	\$	0.51	\$ 0.2	3 5	\$ 0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.40	\$	0.09	\$	0.02	<\$	0.01	\$	0.83	\$	0.63	\$	1.08
FL's Ranking Among the States		44th		23rd	33	ď	45th		39th		16th		35th		40th		47th		27th		34th		24th
Education																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	46.57	\$	50.56	\$ 72.0	1 5	\$ 67.57	\$	72.22	\$	73.13	\$	92.94	\$	96.73	\$	104.37	\$	111.73	\$	113.96	\$	113.42
FL's Ranking Among the States		40th		48th	35	h	45th		49th		41st		45th		32nd		34th		31st		35th		31st
Election Assistance Commission																							
Per Capita Expenditures		NA		NA	N	A	NA		NA		NA		NA	\$	1.53	\$	2.73	\$	4.79		NA		NA
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-	-		-		-		-		37th		39th		17th		-		-
Energy																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.14	\$	0.34	\$ 0.3	5 5	\$ 0.17	\$	0.12	\$	0.19	\$	0.13	\$	0.64	\$	0.71	\$	0.65	\$	0.63	\$	0.83
FL's Ranking Among the States		50th		17th	32r	d	48th		49th		49th		48th		49th		50th		47th		49th		48th
Environmental Protection Agency																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	5.39	\$	5.30	\$ 4.8	1 5	\$ 6.30	\$	5.52	\$	6.67	\$	7.72	\$	7.94	\$	8.38	\$	5.47	\$	7.71	\$	8.00
FL's Ranking Among the States		49th		50th	49	h	48th		50th		49th		47th		46th		48th		48th		47th		48th
Equal Employment Opportunity																							
Commission																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.07	\$	0.07	\$ 0.0	8 3	\$ 0.06	\$	0.07	\$	0.08	\$	0.07	\$	0.07	\$	0.09	\$	0.09	\$	0.07	\$	0.07
FL's Ranking Among the States		33rd		36th	35	h	41st		28th		34th		35th		37th		31st		32nd		37th		38th
Federal Emergency Management Agency															Refer to								
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	9.57	\$	6.82	\$ 8.8	8 3	\$ 11.18	\$	13.19	\$	9.62	\$	10.63	H	Homeland	н	lomeland	H	lomeland	H	lomeland	Н	lomeland
FL's Ranking Among the States		11th		26th	14	h	9th		5th		14th		10th		Security								
Health and Human Services																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	330.93	\$	309.08	\$ 348.4	7 5	\$ 385.49	\$	398.60	\$	449.06	\$	499.93	\$	585.74	\$	607.43	\$	584.93	\$	536.57	\$	604.09
FL's Ranking Among the States		45th		47th	46	th	46th	L	46th		46th		46th		42nd		45th		46th		46th		46th
Homeland Security																							
Per Capita Expenditures		NA		NA	N	A	NA		NA	1	NA		NA	\$	9.87	\$	15.55	\$	90.76	\$	91.56	\$	33.85
FL's Ranking Among the States				-		-							-		12th		38th		2nd		5th		7th
Housing and Urban Development																_							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	52.01	\$	55.22	\$ 65.2	5 5	\$ 69.79	\$	69.89	\$	68.13	\$	79.22	\$	84.68	\$	80.81	\$	58.36	\$	83.02	\$	95.10
FL's Ranking Among the States		50th	L	43rd	43	ď	44th	L	45th	L	47th		45th		43rd		46th		42nd	L	44th	L	36th
Institute of Museum and Library Services																		[
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.02	\$	0.01	\$ 0.5	8 3	\$ 0.45	\$	0.47	\$	0.47	\$	0.57	\$	0.68	\$	0.69		NA		NA		NA
FL's Ranking Among the States		36th	Ľ	38th	34		50th	Ľ	49th	ľ	48th	Ť	44th	Ť	39th	•	41st						-

								Та	ble 3-17	,													
Per Capita	Fe	deral	Grant Ex	ре										/eri	nment	s by	/ Fede	ral	Agend	зy			
								-	ars 199		-												
Federal Department / Agency		1996	1997		1998	1	999	2	2000		2001		2002	1	2003	2	2004		2005		2006		2007
Interior																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.99	\$ 1.69	\$	1.30	\$	1.26	\$	1.28	\$	1.33	\$	1.70	\$	1.70	\$	1.70	\$	1.72	\$	1.56	\$	1.77
FL's Ranking Among the States		47th	46th		46th		48th		47th		48th		46th		47th		47th		48th		49th		47th
Justice																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	8.19	\$ 10.31	\$	-	\$	19.64	\$	12.01	\$	16.69	\$	16.68	\$	14.01	\$	14.55	\$		\$	10.83	\$	11.66
FL's Ranking Among the States		12th	12th		17th		15th		27th		13th		18th	-	26th		31st		25th		29th		27th
Labor																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	20.05	\$ 14.77	\$	17.42	\$	17.55	\$	11.41	\$	15.86	\$	17.07	\$	14.40	\$	16.27	\$	18.32	\$	16.88	\$	14.05
FL's Ranking Among the States		40th	42nd		48th		50th		50th		50th		50th		49th		50th		50th		50th		48th
National Foundation on the Arts and the																							
Humanities																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.06	\$ 0.05	\$	0.04	\$	0.05	\$	0.03	\$	0.05	\$	0.07	\$	0.04	\$	0.05	\$	0.73	\$	0.61	\$	0.61
FL's Ranking Among the States		45th	43rd		48th		44th		49th		47th		41st	-	46th		49th		48th		47th		50th
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.04	\$ 0.06	\$	0.07	\$	0.13	\$	0.10	\$	0.11	\$	0.12	\$	0.14	\$	0.13	\$	0.12	\$	0.11	\$	0.13
FL's Ranking Among the States		25th	36th		31st		33rd		29th		33rd		37th	-	31st		37th		39th		43rd		40th
Social Security Administration:																							
Supplemental Security Income																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.06	\$ 0.13	\$	0.19	\$	0.20	\$	0.14	\$	0.10	\$	0.13	\$	0.07	\$	0.09	\$	0.10	\$	0.13	\$	0.12
FL's Ranking Among the States		39th	25th		17th		15th		22nd		23rd		26th	-	28th		23rd		19th		20th		17th
State Justice Institute																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.01	\$ 0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01
FL's Ranking Among the States		26th	25th		32nd		40th		29th		31st		35th		35th		36th		18th		37th		33rd
Transportation																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	58.05	\$ 66.91	\$	62.56	\$	71.82	\$	88.56	\$	112.06	\$	108.35	\$	119.28	\$	113.98	\$	122.41	\$	130.87	\$	148.66
FL's Ranking Among the States		49th	50th		50th		50th		48th		40th		43rd	-	35th		43rd		39th		40th		35th
Treasury																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.44	\$ 0.71	\$	1.39	\$	2.81	\$	0.67	\$		\$	0.95	\$	16.34	\$	15.90	\$	0.35	\$	0.58	\$	0.33
FL's Ranking Among the States		2nd	1st		2nd		1st		5th		3rd		3rd	-	44th		46th		10th		1st		7th
Veterans Affairs																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.12	\$ 0.63	\$	0.66	\$	0.81	\$	0.86	\$	0.35	\$	1.20	\$	0.53	\$	0.66	\$	0.81	\$	0.77	\$	1.01
FL's Ranking Among the States		45th	36th		36th		35th		33rd		46th		34th		46th		48th		49th		39th		43rd
All Federal Depts. / Agencies																							
Per Capita Expenditures	\$!	586.22	\$ 580.35	\$	653.91	\$7	15.42	\$	730.53	\$ 8	811.42	\$	900.15	\$1	,013.93	\$1,	045.38	\$1	I,071.90	\$1	,058.55	\$1	,097.64
FL's Ranking Among the States		48th	49th		48th		48th		48th		47th		47th		45th		47th		43rd		47th		45th

Note: Calculations of Florida's per capita expenditures and rankings among the states were prepared by the LCIR staff.

Data Sources:

U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1996-1997 and Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1998-2007 (annual reports).

					F	ederal Fisc	al Year 200	7					
State	Population	All Grants	Agriculture	ARC	Commerce	CNCS	CPB	Defense	Education	Energy	EPA	EEOC	HHS
labama	1.5%	1.6%	1.9%	8.3%	3.1%	1.3%	2.1%	2.4%	1.7%	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	1.5%
laska	0.2%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	10.3%	1.0%	1.1%	< 0.1%	0.4%	0.9%	2.1%	0.6%	0.3%
rizona	2.1%	2.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.3%	2.1%	2.9%	1.2%	2.5%	0.5%	1.7%	1.6%	2.3%
rkansas	0.9%	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.8%	1.7%	1.2%	2.4%	1.1%	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	1.1%
alifornia	12.1%	11.6%	13.5%	0.0%	11.0%	20.4%	5.1%	2.6%	14.3%	3.9%	9.2%	11.6%	12.0%
Colorado	1.6%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.4%	2.8%	0.3%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%
Connecticut	1.2%	1.2%	0.8%	< 0.1%	0.7%	1.3%	0.1%	0.6%	1.0%	0.6%	1.3%	3.1%	1.2%
)elaware	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	< 0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	0.9%	1.3%	0.3%
lorida	6.1%	4.7%	4.8%	< 0.1%	2.1%	4.7%	6.3%	4.3%	6.0%	2.2%	3.5%	3.7%	4.4%
Georgia	3.2%	2.4%	3.8%	6.6%	2.2%	0.5%	3.6%	2.9%	0.3%	1.3%	1.5%	0.2%	2.5%
ławaii	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	< 0.1%	0.7%	0.5%	1.7%	0.2%	0.4%
daho	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	1.1%	1.2%	< 0.1%	0.6%	1.1%	1.2%	0.9%	0.4%
linois	4.3%	3.8%	3.6%	0.0%	2.3%	0.9%	4.3%	1.9%	4.7%	3.3%	3.1%	4.2%	3.4%
ndiana	2.1%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	1.4%	0.3%	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%	1.5%	2.4%	1.2%	1.8%
owa	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.1%	2.6%	0.6%	0.9%	1.1%	1.3%	2.6%	0.9%
Cansas	0.9%	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.3%	1.1%	0.7%	0.4%	0.9%	0.7%	1.1%	0.7%
Centucky	1.4%	1.5%	1.8%	19.1%	1.3%	2.0%	1.2%	1.7%	0.4%	1.5%	1.8%	0.8%	1.6%
ouisiana	1.4%	3.1%	2.0%	0.0%	4.1%	3.7%	0.6%	19.7%	0.1%	0.6%	1.4%	0.1%	2.1%
laine	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	1.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%	0.7%
1aryland	1.9%	1.7%	1.4%	3.9%	2.3%	3.0%	2.8%	< 0.1%	1.9%	0.8%	2.4%	2.2%	1.6%
lassachusetts	2.1%	2.6%	1.5%	< 0.1%	1.9%	4.8%	0.4%	3.2%	2.0%	1.9%	2.3%	6.0%	2.8%
/lichigan	3.3%	3.0%	2.8%	0.0%	1.3%	2.9%	4.7%	4.1%	3.6%	3.9%	2.9%	3.2%	3.1%
linnesota	1.7%	1.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.9%	< 0.1%	1.7%	< 0.1%	1.5%	1.7%	2.3%	1.5%	1.7%
/ississippi	1.0%	1.9%	1.7%	8.6%	2.6%	3.2%	2.2%	6.3%	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%	1.4%
Aissouri	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	1.0%	2.0%	3.8%	2.0%	0.3%	1.3%	2.5%	2.9%	2.1%
/lontana	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%	1.9%	1.1%	1.0%	0.6%	1.3%	1.0%	0.7%	0.3%
Vebraska	0.6%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	1.2%	2.1%	0.6%	0.7%	1.4%	0.6%	1.6%	0.5%
levada	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	1.2%	1.5%	0.7%	9.8%	0.8%	2.1%	0.4%
New Hampshire	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.9%	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%	0.3%	0.8%	0.3%	0.3%
lew Jersey	2.9%	2.8%	2.0%	0.0%	1.0%	4.3%	2.3%	0.0%	3.0%	1.5%	2.9%	1.4%	2.6%
lew Mexico	0.7%	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	2.5%	0.0%	1.1%	3.9%	1.3%	0.4%	0.9%
lew York	6.4%	10.1%	6.7%	3.7%	3.5%	8.4%	3.3%	5.9%	9.2%	13.5%	5.5%	7.0%	11.2%
North Carolina	3.0%	2.9%	3.2%	4.2%	2.8%	0.4%	3.7%	1.7%	3.0%	1.2%	2.4%	0.3%	3.2%
orth Dakota	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	2.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.2%
Dhio	3.8%	3.7%	3.2%	8.4%	2.4%	4.7%	3.4%	2.2%	0.2%	4.0%	3.7%	10.7%	4.3%
Oklahoma	1.2%	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	1.9%	0.6%	1.8%	0.6%	1.8%	1.0%	1.3%
Dregon	1.2%	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	1.6%	0.1%	1.4%	1.2%	1.0%	1.7%	1.0%
Pennsylvania	4.1%	4.4%	3.3%	10.0%	3.0%	0.3%	2.6%	11.7%	4.0%	3.3%	4.2%	6.8%	4.8%
Rhode Island	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%
South Carolina	1.5%	1.4%	1.5%	3.9%	4.3%	0.9%	2.1%	1.5%	1.7%	3.3%	0.9%	1.5%	1.4%
outh Dakota	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	< 0.1%	1.2%	0.6%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%
ennessee	2.0%	2.1%	2.2%	4.9%	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%	0.2%	2.1%	2.0%	1.1%	0.9%	2.2%
exas	7.9%	6.5%	9.5%	0.0%	3.6%	0.3%	4.6%	0.4%	10.1%	3.1%	8.2%	2.9%	6.5%
Itah	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	1.3%	3.3%	0.6%	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
ermont	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	3.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%	0.3%
irginia	2.6%	1.7%	1.7%	5.8%	2.3%	1.4%	1.1%	1.6%	2.5%	1.5%	1.9%	1.7%	1.4%
/ashington	2.1%	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%	6.4%	0.3%	3.1%	0.2%	1.9%	4.5%	2.4%	2.3%	1.8%
/est Virginia	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%	12.5%	1.0%	0.1%	0.9%	0.1%	0.8%	1.6%	1.0%	0.6%	0.8%
Visconsin	1.9%	1.6%	1.5%	< 0.1%	1.3%	4.1%	3.6%	1.2%	1.9%	1.9%	2.4%	2.3%	1.6%
Wyoming	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	1.0%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0

Note: The abbreviations used above stand for the following agencies: ARC - Appalachian Regional Commission; CNCS - Corporation for National and Community Service; CPB - Corporation for Public Broadcasting; EPA - Environmental Protection Agency; EEOC - Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; HHS - Department of Health and Human Services; DHS - Department of Homeland Security; HUD - Department of Housing and Urban Development; NFAH - National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities; NRC - Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation; SSA - Social Security Administration; SJI - State Justice Institute; TVA - Tennessee Valley Authority; DOT -Department of Transportation; VA - Department of Veterans Affairs.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007." U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008) http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:

April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. http://www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-ann-est.html
					1	Federal Fisc	al Year 200	7					
State	DHS	HUD	Interior	Justice	Labor	NFAH	NRC	SSA	SJI	TVA	DOT	Treasury	VA
labama	1.5%	1.3%	1.0%	1.5%	1.3%	1.3%	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%	25.1%	2.0%	0.3%	1.8%
laska	0.5%	0.4%	4.2%	0.9%	0.7%	1.1%	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	1.6%	0.6%	0.3%
rizona	0.5%	0.9%	2.1%	2.4%	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.9%	1.2%
rkansas	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.9%	0.9%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.3%	0.4%
California	6.6%	10.7%	4.9%	11.9%	12.4%	8.6%	19.6%	18.4%	0.6%	0.0%	9.4%	10.5%	7.8%
Colorado	0.6%	1.0%	5.5%	1.5%	1.4%	1.9%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	2.0%
Connecticut	0.4%	1.7%	0.2%	0.8%	1.3%	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.3%	1.4%
Delaware	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
Iorida	11.6%	3.7%	0.8%	6.0%	3.1%	4.1%	3.2%	6.7%	0.6%	0.0%	5.8%	8.9%	3.3%
Georgia	1.0%	2.5%	0.5%	4.6%	2.4%	2.6%	2.8%	1.7%	2.0%	1.7%	3.2%	1.0%	2.4%
lawaii	0.1%	0.4%	0.8%	0.7%	0.5%	1.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
daho	0.2%	0.2%	1.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%	0.2%	1.0%
llinois	1.3%	5.1%	0.7%	3.7%	4.8%	6.1%	1.5%	3.0%	10.7%	0.1%	4.1%	3.4%	4.1%
ndiana	0.6%	1.3%	0.5%	1.1%	2.2%	2.1%	1.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.5%	1.5%
owa	0.7%	0.6%	0.3%	0.7%	1.0%	1.4%	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	< 0.1%	2.4%
Kansas	5.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.7%	1.0%	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%	< 0.1%	0.9%
Kentucky	0.6%	1.1%	1.2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%	1.8%	2.1%	0.0%	8.4%	1.8%	0.5%	2.1%
ouisiana	23.9%	11.0%	1.2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.4%	1.5%	1.7%	0.1%	0.0%	1.8%	0.2%	2.1%
/aine	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	1.0%	1.8%
/aryland	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	3.5%	2.3%	1.6%	2.1%	2.9%	5.7%	0.0%	2.0%	2.3%	0.3%
Aassachusetts	0.5%	4.4%	0.3%	2.3%	2.0%	2.5%	4.3%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.4%	3.3%
Aichigan	0.9%	2.4%	1.2%	1.9%	5.7%	3.1%	1.1%	3.7%	1.3%	0.0%	2.9%	1.6%	3.5%
/innesota	0.7%	1.4%	1.2%	1.3%	1.6%	1.5%	2.7%	3.8%	0.8%	0.0%	1.7%	0.1%	2.7%
Aississippi	10.0%	4.2%	0.5%	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%	0.1%	5.5%	2.2%	0.1%	2.4%
Aissouri	2.8%	1.4%	0.7%	1.7%	1.8%	2.1%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.4%	5.0%
/ontana	0.2%	0.3%	2.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.8%	1.0%	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.9%	0.2%	0.8%
Vebraska	1.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.8%	0.4%	0.8%	0.4%	0.1%	1.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%	1.9%
Vevada	0.2%	0.4%	1.9%	1.2%	0.6%	0.9%	0.2%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.2%	1.0%
New Hampshire	0.9%	0.4%	0.2%	0.6%	0.3%	0.8%	1.6%	< 0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	< 0.1%	1.0%
New Jersey	0.6%	3.6%	0.2%	2.2%	2.6%	2.8%	0.8%	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	3.6%	1.5%	3.7%
New Mexico	0.8%	0.4%	15.2%	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	< 0.1%	0.8%
lew York	6.5%	12.0%	0.5%	7.4%	6.0%	6.1%	9.3%	2.0%	9.5%	0.0%	7.1%	17.1%	4.9%
North Carolina	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%	2.2%	3.8%	2.3%	2.0%	1.8%	7.7%	0.5%	2.4%	4.2%	1.7%
North Dakota	0.3%	0.2%	0.9%	0.5%	0.3%	1.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%
Dhio	1.2%	3.9%	0.7%	2.2%	4.1%	2.8%	7.1%	5.8%	7.5%	0.0%	3.2%	4.0%	3.3%
Oklahoma	1.7%	0.8%	1.6%	1.2%	0.9%	1.4%	1.0%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	1.3%	0.1%	5.6%
Dregon	0.7%	0.8%	4.1%	1.0%	1.8%	1.7%	2.0%	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%	1.4%	1.1%	0.8%
Pennsylvania	1.8%	4.1%	1.8%	4.3%	4.3%	4.5%	1.4%	7.5%	2.2%	0.0%	4.2%	1.2%	5.5%
Rhode Island	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%	1.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%	1.1%
South Carolina	0.3%	0.9%	0.4%	1.7%	2.0%	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	0.8%	1.7%
South Dakota	0.2%	0.3%	0.8%	0.5%	0.3%	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.5%	< 0.1%	0.4%
ennessee	0.7%	1.4%	0.6%	2.8%	1.9%	2.1%	1.4%	0.4%	4.5%	58.7%	1.9%	0.2%	1.2%
exas	5.3%	4.4%	1.7%	5.5%	5.9%	7.1%	3.9%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	22.1%	3.5%
Itah	0.2%	0.3%	5.0%	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	1.0%	0.3%	0.6%
ermont	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	2.3%	0.8%	1.9%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%
'irginia	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%	4.0%	0.8%	2.3%	2.4%	2.4%	28.3%	< 0.1%	2.0%	2.8%	0.9%
/ashington	1.0%	1.5%	2.8%	2.1%	2.7%	2.2%	1.8%	1.6%	2.1%	0.0%	2.6%	6.4%	2.0%
Vest Virginia	0.3%	0.5%	1.0%	1.8%	1.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.1%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.1%	0.2%
Visconsin	0.4%	1.1%	0.8%	1.7%	0.8%	1.5%	1.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%	2.9%
Vyoming	0.4%	0.1%	24.5%	0.2%	0.8%	0.5%	0.3%	< 0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%

Note: The abbreviations used above stand for the following agencies: ARC - Appalachian Regional Commission; CNCS - Corporation for National and Community Service; CPB - Corporation for Public Broadcasting; EPA - Environmental Protection Agency; EEOC - Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; HHS - Department of Health and Human Services; DHS - Department of Homeland Security; HUD - Department of Housing and Urban Development; NFAH - National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities; NRC - Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation; SSA - Social Security Administration; SJI - State Justice Institute; TVA - Tennessee Valley Authority; DOT -Department of Transportation; VA - Department of Veterans Affairs.

Data Sources:

1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2007." U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued September 2008) http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html

2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:

April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. http://www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-ann-est.html



Part Four: Florida's Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures by Agency as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditures

A. Introduction

The purpose of this part is to illustrate how Florida's per capita federal grant expenditures by agency compare to the national per capita expenditures as well as those of the other states. This part analyzes changes in states' per capita expenditures, relative to national per capita expenditures, during fiscal years 1996 through 2007.

B. Data Sources

The figures reported in this part were calculated by the LCIR staff from data published in the U.S. Bureau of the Census publications: *Federal Expenditures to States* (FES) for fiscal years 1996 through 1997 and its successor *Federal Aid to States* (FAS) for fiscal years 1998 through 2007.

C. States' Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures by Agency

In analyzing the federal grants data, the LCIR staff calculated per capita expenditures for each state, as well as the per capita expenditures of the fifty states collectively, by federal agency for each of the fiscal years 1996 through 2007. The per capita expenditures of the fifty states is hereafter referred to as the national per capita expenditures.

Each state's per capita expenditures, as a percentage of the national per capita expenditures, are calculated. The per capita expenditures of individual states are either less than, equal to, or greater than the national per capita expenditures. If a state's percentage value in a given year is less than 100 percent, then that state had a per capita expenditure less than the national per capita expenditure. If a state's percentage value is greater than 100 percent, then that state had a per capita expenditure less than the national per capita expenditure. If a state's percentage value is greater than 100 percent, then that state's per capita expenditure exceeded the national per capita expenditure.

Utilizing the data published in the relevant FES and FAS reports, the following tables summarize states' per capita federal grant expenditures as a percentage of national per capita expenditures.

Table 4-1: Florida Summary (page 65)
Table 4-2: U.S. Department of Agriculture (pages 66-67)
Table 4-3: U.S. Department of Commerce (pages 68-69)
Table 4-4: Corporation for National and Community Service (pages 70-71)
Table 4-5: Corporation for Public Broadcasting (pages 72-73)
Table 4-6: U.S. Department of Defense (pages 74-75)
Table 4-7: U.S. Department of Education (pages 76-77)
Table 4-8: U.S. Department of Energy (pages 78-79)

 Table 4-9: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (pages 80-81)

 Table 4-10: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (pages 82-83)

Table 4-11: Federal Emergency Management Agency (pages 84-85)

 Table 4-12: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (pages 86-87)

 Table 4-13: U.S. Department of Homeland Security (pages 88-89)
 Table 4-14: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (pages 90-91)
 Table 4-15: U.S. Department of Interior (pages 92-93)
 Table 4-16: U.S. Department of Justice (pages 94-95)
Table 4-17: U.S. Department of Labor (pages 96-97)

Table 4-18: National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities (pages 98-99)

 Table 4-19: Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation (pages 100-101)
 Table 4-20: Social Security Administration–Supplemental Security Income (pages 102-103)
Table 4-21: State Justice Institute (pages 104-105)

 Table 4-22: U.S. Department of Transportation (pages 106-107)
 Table 4-23: U.S. Department of the Treasury (pages 108-109) Table 4-24: U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (pages 110-111)

D. Conclusion

Part Three of this report discussed several shortcomings in using a per capita measure to evaluate federal grant expenditures to states, and those shortcomings apply here as well. The inherent assumption when comparing states' per capita expenditures is that federal grants are distributed solely on the basis of total population, which is not the case for all individual grant programs. Since grant funding formulas may incorporate variables other than states' total populations, the use of a per capita measure for comparative purposes may not always be appropriate.

However, in spite of these caveats, these analyses may provide some rough measure of how well Florida fares, relative to all other states, in the receipt of grant funding by agency after controlling for population differences among the states. The analyses demonstrate that Florida's per capita expenditures have been below the national per capita expenditures for the majority of federal agencies, in particular those agencies that provide the majority of grant funding to states. During 2007, the state's per capita expenditures were above the national per capita expenditures for only six of the 23 federal agencies that provided grant funding to Florida, and the combined grant funding provided by those six agencies accounted for only 15 percent of total grant funding provided to the state by all 23 agencies. Given the large number of federal grant programs (i.e., 1,212 currently), additional research into these programs would need to be conducted to assess the extent to which Florida's receipt of funding from individual grant programs could be increased in the future.

				Table 4-1								
Florida's Per Capita Federal G	rant Exp				age of th 1996 to 200		nal Per	Capita E	xpendit	ures by	Agency	
Federal Agency	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
All Grants	71%	70%	72%	73%	72%	74%	74%	78%	77%	81%	78%	77%
Agriculture	88%	86%	87%	92%	81%	83%	86%	83%	82%	80%	79%	79%
Appalachian Regional Commission					No expen	ditures prio	r to 2007					< 1%
Commerce	94%	68%	71%	70%	62%	74%	84%	45%	66%	50%	66%	34%
Corporation for National and Community Service			No expen	ditures pric	or to 2003			34%	26%	21%	70%	77%
Corporation for Public Broadcasting	38%	78%	73%	73%	72%	78%	73%	61%	56%	56%	116%	104%
Defense	15%	73%	36%	2%	3%	91%	14%	3%	0%	79%	47%	72%
Education	83%	78%	94%	83%	79%	84%	84%	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%
Election Assistance Commission			No expen	ditures pric	or to 2003			70%	61%	154%	0%	No data
Energy	17%	109%	65%	26%	17%	20%	16%	30%	26%	34%	28%	36%
Environmental Protection Agency	46%	48%	45%	55%	44%	49%	61%	58%	59%	49%	56%	58%
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	76%	73%	71%	55%	78%	79%	71%	71%	93%	91%	72%	60%
Federal Emergency Management Agency	182%	37%	162%	163%	187%	112%	96%		Refer to	Homeland	Security	
Health and Human Services	69%	70%	69%	71%	71%	71%	71%	78%	77%	73%	71%	73%
Homeland Security		Refer to I	Federal Em	ergency M	anagement	Agency		65%	87%	344%	289%	191%
Housing and Urban Development	63%	67%	68%	65%	63%	61%	64%	65%	61%	63%	62%	61%
Institute for Museum and Library Services	55%	26%	102%	83%	84%	91%	79%	86%	84%	No expe	nditures aft	er 2004
Interior	16%	17%	15%	14%	13%	12%	16%	15%	14%	13%	10%	13%
Justice	113%	107%	99%	112%	98%	127%	106%	100%	97%	63%	57%	100%
Labor	83%	76%	72%	68%	64%	62%	62%	56%	58%	67%	56%	52%
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	47%	53%	38%	49%	30%	44%	60%	36%	40%	73%	71%	67%
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	61%	46%	52%	56%	59%	52%	49%	60%	50%	47%	44%	53%
Social Security Administration - SSI	56%	85%	112%	106%	80%	75%	77%	70%	87%	111%	105%	110%
State Justice Institute	65%	49%	21%	10%	26%	13%	12%	2%	2%	78%	6%	10%
Transportation	66%	68%	63%	69%	80%	89%	84%	91%	85%	88%	86%	96%
Treasury	327%	848%	398%	518%	174%	230%	394%	96%	94%	136%	264%	147%
Veterans Affairs	11%	59%	53%	52%	68%	21%	71%	24%	30%	34%	40%	54%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census. "State Population Estimates" annual estimates, Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	ole 4-2						
			U.S	S. Depar	tment o	f Agricul	lture Gra	ants:				
St	ates' Per	Canita I		-		•			r Canita	Fxpend	iture	
		oupitu				ears 1996			oupitu	слропа		
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 57.41	\$ 62.80	\$ 63.88	\$ 62.42	\$ 64.02	\$ 63.87	\$ 68.14	\$ 69.28	\$ 71.22	\$ 74.19	\$ 76.00	\$ 77.45
Alabama	119%	121%	117%	118%	118%	118%	119%	121%	119%	113%	118%	121%
Alaska	195%	178%	332%	179%	231%	198%	267%	235%	248%	293%	274%	230%
Arizona	109%	105%	108%	108%	106%	102%	106%	102%	112%	102%	100%	103%
Arkansas	140%	140%	138%	138%	139%	129%	124%	129%	134%	136%	138%	137%
California	113%	114%	110%	106%	107%	111%	111%	112%	113%	109%	108%	111%
Colorado	74%	82%	71%	72%	68%	63%	68%	74%	70%	64%	69%	68%
Connecticut	66%	64%	64%	66%	68%	68%	67%	64%	64%	63%	64%	65%
Delaware	112%	121%	111%	108%	112%	104%	95%	101%	105%	107%	101%	104%
Florida	88%	86%	87%	92%	81%	83%	86%	83%	82%	80%	79%	79%
Georgia	113%	113%	116%	118%	106%	113%	111%	115%	115%	113%	115%	119%
Hawaii	111%	121%	120%	133%	118%	117%	118%	127%	142%	113%	106%	119%
Idaho	127%	128%	121%	116%	121%	108%	121%	115%	112%	126%	119%	122%
Illinois	80%	80%	83%	85%	86%	86%	90%	88%	85%	88%	85%	85%
Indiana	75%	77%	73%	74%	76%	79%	79%	76%	82%	76%	83%	83%
Iowa	95%	96%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	98%	99%	98%	101%	99%
Kansas	102%	102%	93%	95%	96%	105%	95%	96%	102%	99%	110%	105%
Kentucky	123%	120%	118%	119%	121%	119%	120%	119%	119%	122%	121%	125%
Louisiana	162%	163%	155%	158%	155%	152%	148%	145%	155%	156%	146%	142%
Maine	107%	109%	109%	110%	116%	97%	92%	93%	116%	96%	95%	88%
Maryland	68%	70%	68%	75%	75%	78%	76%	71%	69%	70%	70%	73%
Massachusetts	69%	71%	70%	67%	69%	68%	71%	65%	64%	63%	70%	70%
Michigan	85%	108%	105%	110%	109%	96%	86%	81%	85%	82%	84%	85%
Minnesota	104%	102%	94%	97%	94%	97%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%	97%
Mississippi	183%	177%	178%	175%	203%	180%	179%	180%	174%	195%	176%	172%
Missouri	93%	89%	98%	92%	95%	92%	96%	97%	93%	96%	92%	91%
Montana	144%	147%	151%	136%	145%	153%	170%	160%	152%	151%	156%	145%
Nebraska	118%	112%	114%	105%	118%	119%	109%	112%	112%	117%	115%	112%
Nevada	67%	64%	65%	69%	62%	60%	61%	63%	68%	67%	67%	67%
New Hampshire	66%	72%	68%	61%	68%	61%	68%	60%	68%	60%	60%	55%
New Jersey	63%	67%	67%	65%	64%	66%	67%	67%	70%	67%	70%	70%
New Mexico	173%	160%	160%	169%	156%	158%	160%	161%	168%	164%	153%	140%
New York	97%	98%	109%	103%	105%	111%	104%	106%	104%	106%	105%	104%
North Carolina	96%	100%	103%	103%	102%	102%	102%	106%	108%	103%	106%	107%
North Dakota	177%	163%	157%	158%	158%	156%	150%	158%	179%	184%	169%	147%
Ohio	83%	79%	75%	74%	72%	78%	81%	79%	86%	88%	85%	85%
Oklahoma	136%	131%	132%	131%	139%	134%	139%	139%	142%	147%	146%	143%

Sta	ates' Pe	r Capita	U.: Expendi	tures as	rtment o	ntage of	the Nat		r Capita	Expend	iture	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	-			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 57.41	\$ 62.80	\$ 63.88	\$ 62.42	\$ 64.02	\$ 63.87	\$ 68.14	\$ 69.28	\$ 71.22	\$ 74.19	\$ 76.00	\$ 77.45
Oregon	158%	151%	152%	156%	189%	147%	173%	164%	162%	162%	158%	149%
Pennsylvania	77%	76%	77%	78%	72%	78%	76%	78%	79%	80%	79%	80%
Rhode Island	77%	77%	78%	86%	82%	85%	85%	82%	85%	79%	85%	89%
South Carolina	118%	115%	113%	110%	113%	121%	111%	115%	108%	109%	108%	105%
South Dakota	181%	166%	168%	165%	173%	168%	166%	157%	168%	156%	157%	149%
Tennessee	99%	104%	100%	101%	104%	106%	108%	102%	107%	103%	105%	110%
Texas	115%	109%	109%	114%	114%	115%	114%	122%	123%	119%	122%	119%
Utah	118%	116%	110%	116%	114%	101%	100%	101%	99%	101%	91%	85%
Vermont	135%	149%	130%	130%	133%	125%	142%	138%	143%	146%	149%	152%
Virginia	77%	71%	74%	71%	73%	71%	69%	55%	12%	72%	71%	67%
Washington	97%	96%	93%	99%	103%	94%	98%	101%	97%	94%	94%	90%
West Virginia	127%	134%	135%	125%	138%	129%	128%	127%	126%	126%	131%	134%
Wisconsin	84%	78%	78%	79%	81%	78%	77%	83%	81%	79%	83%	81%
Wyoming	127%	129%	123%	125%	126%	122%	129%	120%	119%	105%	113%	123%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-3						
			U.\$	S. Depar	tment o	f Comme	erce Gra	nts:				
S	tates' Per	Capita E	Expendit	tures as	a Perce	ntage of	the Nat	ional Pe	r Capita	Expend	iture	
		•	•			ears 1996			•	•		
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
	\$ 2.84	\$ 3.43	\$ 2.69	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.90	\$ 3.06	\$ 3.28	\$ 3.14	\$ 3.05	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.99	\$ 1.97
Alabama	51%	64%	74%	54%	70%	98%	95%	165%	124%	141%	187%	199%
Alaska	1172%	1641%	1555%	1506%	2234%	2988%	3216%	2793%	3042%	3509%	3690%	4554%
Arizona	43%	33%	32%	72%	36%	34%	26%	40%	28%	57%	20%	15%
Arkansas	117%	86%	105%	59%	93%	116%	101%	89%	149%	34%	88%	88%
California	96%	102%	91%	93%	80%	63%	72%	63%	61%	61%	71%	91%
Colorado	307%	484%	466%	403%	409%	331%	350%	83%	89%	99%	81%	27%
Connecticut	86%	72%	142%	68%	61%	90%	91%	92%	103%	149%	93%	58%
Delaware	235%	379%	240%	266%	211%	193%	204%	79%	91%	225%	202%	226%
Florida	94%	68%	71%	70%	62%	74%	84%	45%	66%	50%	66%	34%
Georgia	74%	68%	86%	76%	56%	39%	44%	60%	49%	56%	45%	69%
Hawaii	517%	516%	456%	596%	500%	510%	573%	567%	694%	769%	304%	365%
Idaho	278%	172%	203%	119%	160%	238%	186%	246%	218%	196%	169%	132%
Illinois	43%	42%	59%	39%	32%	41%	30%	36%	41%	39%	30%	55%
Indiana	45%	55%	62%	47%	56%	33%	39%	43%	26%	19%	29%	68%
lowa	271%	85%	44%	38%	39%	49%	35%	37%	57%	68%	78%	94%
Kansas	67%	59%	79%	64%	63%	63%	61%	73%	28%	38%	27%	27%
Kentucky	110%	53%	77%	168%	149%	140%	179%	106%	118%	117%	91%	89%
Louisiana	141%	103%	155%	134%	163%	126%	111%	192%	244%	152%	277%	290%
Maine	333%	546%	330%	340%	338%	287%	340%	334%	286%	226%	308%	409%
Maryland	86%	131%	105%	119%	107%	106%	108%	101%	149%	127%	65%	125%
Massachusetts	163%	199%	191%	132%	129%	137%	139%	92%	58%	78%	107%	91%
Michigan	66%	74%	74%	68%	45%	64%	47%	70%	66%	72%	38%	38%
Minnesota	88%	73%	71%	73%	80%	91%	46%	90%	62%	65%	67%	50%
Mississippi	124%	130%	230%	132%	177%	222%	278%	369%	268%	274%	277%	268%
Missouri	172%	129%	106%	60%	47%	30%	31%	49%	42%	29%	50%	49%
Montana	66%	161%	332%	129%	477%	141%	134%	175%	234%	169%	46%	212%
Nebraska	100%	47%	44%	30%	37%	49%	48%	110%	101%	65%	58%	126%
Nevada	61%	58%	51%	158%	93%	115%	101%	59%	90%	41%	10%	21%
New Hampshire	539%	352%	188%	470%	742%	647%	650%	229%	70%	197%	439%	269%
New Jersey	69%	72%	64%	73%	86%	147%	48%	33%	63%	74%	158%	33%
New Mexico	128%	102%	96%	152%	216%	136%	91%	231%	175%	154%	118%	218%
New York	47%	55%	63%	49%	63%	52%	61%	52%	41%	38%	40%	55%
North Carolina	93%	113%	85%	74%	87%	115%	82%	147%	117%	109%	74%	93%
North Dakota	351%	224%	640%	368%	458%	255%	258%	254%	139%	195%	177%	221%
Ohio	24%	27%	30%	25%	42%	36%	45%	48%	40%	59%	50%	62%
Oklahoma	115%	94%	100%	105%	126%	127%	130%	162%	126%	106%	54%	41%

	States	s' Per	[.] Caj	pita I			S. Depar ures as Federa	a P	erce	f C nta	ommo ige of	th	e Nati		r Ca	apita	Ex	pendi	itur	е	
		1996		1997	19	98	1999		2000	-	2001		2002	2003		2004		2005		2006	2007
	\$	2.84	\$	3.43	\$2.	69	\$ 2.71	\$	2.90	\$	3.06	\$	3.28	\$ 3.14	\$	3.05	\$	2.92	\$	1.99	\$ 1.97
Oregon		304%		389%	35	3%	390%		338%		337%		355%	450%		355%		346%		410%	335%
Pennsylvania		43%		28%	4	7%	44%		31%		29%		50%	55%		73%		71%		45%	72%
Rhode Island		426%		426%	33	5%	328%		200%		206%		249%	330%		285%		269%		178%	145%
South Carolina		217%		188%	15	9%	223%		230%		317%		355%	238%		473%		415%		192%	296%
South Dakota		330%		164%	19	7%	380%		224%		165%		96%	222%		105%		109%		135%	210%
Tennessee		32%		42%	2	5%	72%		55%		61%		64%	62%		52%		52%		70%	78%
Texas		45%		44%	4	2%	65%		68%		47%		50%	55%		57%		56%		64%	45%
Utah		44%		36%	2	7%	41%		107%		80%		61%	62%		46%		69%		32%	53%
Vermont		50%		60%	4	1%	26%		54%		26%		150%	171%		119%		50%		54%	40%
Virginia		82%		69%	8)%	90%		80%		95%		76%	73%		91%		81%		122%	91%
Washington		216%		219%	19	5%	246%		195%		237%		228%	371%		316%		355%		387%	296%
West Virginia		128%		150%	15	9%	198%		157%		164%		131%	187%		157%		283%		253%	161%
Wisconsin		79%		81%	8	1%	68%		72%		63%		83%	76%		123%		120%		150%	70%
Wyoming		33%		55%	14	5%	38%		43%		69%		16%	59%		30%		64%		97%	46%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-4						
		Cor	poration	for Nati	onal and	d Comm	unity Se	ervice Gr	ants:			
Stat	tes' Per	-	Expendit				•			Fxpend	iture	
		oupitu E	-Aponan			ears 1996			oupitu	слропа		
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.58
Alabama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	31%	41%	68%	83%
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	588%	434%	456%	469%	451%
Arizona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	122%	154%	103%	114%	99%
Arkansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	230%	248%	171%	172%	182%
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	186%	151%	276%	197%	168%
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166%	182%	105%	75%	176%
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	149%	152%	91%	106%	109%
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	418%	423%	381%	386%	252%
Florida		Nog	grant expe	enditures	prior to 2	003		34%	26%	21%	70%	77%
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24%	27%	15%	20%	15%
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	122%	135%	172%	195%	121%
Idaho	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	337%	307%	229%	276%	229%
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	22%	4%	13%	20%
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%	6%	1%	1%	15%
lowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	19%	13%	14%	12%
Kansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	227%	264%	174%	176%	137%
Kentucky	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	208%	198%	147%	157%	145%
Louisiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	84%	259%
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	336%	405%	337%	397%	326%
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	182%	502%	172%	216%	162%
Massachusetts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	66%	223%
Michigan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	140%	101%	116%	121%	87%
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	478%	488%	543%	443%	333%
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	23%	101%
Montana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	641%	749%	717%	717%	611%
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	57%	199%
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	13%	15%	5%	11%
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	52%	207%
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	113%	61%	109%	56%	148%
New Mexico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	405%	205%	250%	128%	212%
New York	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	219%	187%	168%	224%	132%
North Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	17%	10%	15%	12%
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150%	266%	248%	295%	284%
Ohio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138%	163%	113%	121%	124%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24%	31%	99%	22%	20%

Stat	es' Per C	•		ires as a	Table nal and Percent Fiscal Yea	Commu age of t	he Nati			Expend	iture	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.58
Oregon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	21%	13%	1%	0%
Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	32%	15%	12%	7%
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59%	114%	68%	89%	75%
South Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	28%	60%
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	21%	18%	18%	9%
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	134%	135%	140%	120%	103%
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	6%	4%	4%	3%
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	223%	135%	142%	155%	148%
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	337%	429%	395%	324%	335%
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	23%	55%
Washington	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47%	36%	24%	38%	14%
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	18%	14%	15%	12%
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	206%	234%	216%	216%	221%
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	82%	207%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-5						
			Corpo	oration f	or Publi	c Broad	casting	Grants:				
St	ates' Per	Capita	-				-		r Capita	Expend	iture	
		p				'ears 1996						
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.89	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.08	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.48
Alabama	47%	54%	45%	48%	46%	49%	46%	46%	42%	42%	122%	138%
Alaska	1107%	768%	704%	727%	832%	1093%	762%	811%	997%	998%	432%	478%
Arizona	62%	55%	66%	60%	64%	101%	58%	70%	53%	51%	132%	136%
Arkansas	23%	47%	43%	46%	53%	53%	50%	50%	46%	46%	123%	122%
California	63%	70%	64%	92%	106%	83%	95%	82%	86%	86%	54%	42%
Colorado	86%	105%	67%	73%	96%	70%	65%	71%	76%	75%	16%	18%
Connecticut	60%	72%	85%	61%	69%	74%	57%	53%	52%	52%	7%	7%
Delaware	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Florida	38%	78%	73%	73%	72%	78%	73%	61%	56%	56%	116%	104%
Georgia	31%	56%	52%	53%	46%	48%	48%	51%	44%	44%	113%	114%
Hawaii	207%	187%	89%	223%	177%	157%	142%	138%	127%	127%	0%	0%
Idaho	47%	131%	87%	126%	96%	83%	112%	81%	120%	119%	234%	238%
Illinois	48%	73%	79%	76%	76%	70%	68%	72%	72%	73%	99%	100%
Indiana	42%	90%	83%	89%	93%	90%	81%	81%	78%	79%	120%	101%
Iowa	121%	85%	75%	89%	91%	97%	89%	95%	98%	98%	252%	258%
Kansas	71%	88%	75%	82%	85%	90%	81%	84%	77%	78%	140%	124%
Kentucky	74%	94%	85%	88%	79%	95%	81%	86%	83%	83%	102%	87%
Louisiana	41%	66%	58%	65%	59%	63%	60%	58%	57%	57%	78%	44%
Maine	73%	152%	111%	116%	103%	108%	100%	98%	93%	93%	13%	13%
Maryland	140%	67%	84%	87%	100%	76%	84%	65%	67%	68%	126%	149%
Massachusetts	170%	280%	184%	195%	205%	184%	234%	151%	163%	163%	16%	20%
Michigan	95%	70%	59%	64%	68%	67%	61%	62%	63%	64%	145%	140%
Minnesota	555%	170%	194%	149%	170%	210%	150%	169%	198%	199%	65%	101%
Mississippi	49%	54%	51%	57%	66%	59%	56%	54%	53%	54%	148%	228%
Missouri	51%	67%	72%	77%	72%	73%	67%	68%	64%	64%	194%	195%
Montana	184%	83%	95%	89%	139%	106%	90%	130%	88%	89%	276%	333%
Nebraska	370%	296%	260%	263%	127%	287%	235%	247%	171%	172%	337%	353%
Nevada	69%	95%	98%	115%	231%	105%	82%	104%	74%	73%	130%	138%
New Hampshire	42%	99%	85%	92%	94%	96%	101%	85%	111%	111%	207%	236%
New Jersey	27%	38%	27%	27%	29%	34%	27%	31%	30%	30%	78%	81%
New Mexico	76%	133%	138%	130%	141%	139%	129%	130%	135%	135%	400%	381%
New York	114%	124%	118%	110%	109%	137%	140%	132%	144%	146%	55%	51%
North Carolina	47%	47%	262%	48%	467%	460%	556%	675%	714%	710%	118%	123%
North Dakota	213%	216%	185%	202%	160%	178%	148%	175%	140%	142%	0%	0%
Ohio	77%	88%	74%	95%	86%	79%	76%	73%	72%	72%	88%	89%
Oklahoma	37%	64%	50%	52%	57%	57%	52%	55%	55%	55%	145%	155%

Sta	ates' P	er	Capita I	-	oration tures as Feder	f <mark>or Pub</mark> l	enta	Broad age of	th	e Nati		r Capita	Expend	diture		
Federal FY	19	96	1997	1998	1999	200	0	2001		2002	2003	2004	200	5 20)6	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.5	50	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.89	\$ 1.02	\$	1.08	\$	1.20	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.5	1 \$	0.48
Oregon	175	5%	82%	86%	114%	87%	6	103%		91%	97%	99%	98%	6 81	%	125%
Pennsylvania	5′	%	81%	77%	80%	72%	6	73%		66%	69%	70%	5 70%	68	%	63%
Rhode Island	59	9%	65%	52%	60%	61%	6	60%		52%	53%	48%	5 49%	6 0	%	0%
South Carolina	39	9%	156%	105%	103%	84%	6	94%		68%	69%	58%	58%	6 143	%	140%
South Dakota	101	%	226%	159%	137%	146%	6	142%		122%	158%	136%	5 1379	6 465	%	463%
Tennessee	48	3%	76%	66%	143%	132%	6	73%		65%	65%	63%	63%	6 50	%	53%
Texas	29	9%	49%	47%	56%	47%	6	51%		49%	45%	43%	439	6 61	%	58%
Utah	88	3%	144%	151%	185%	150%	6	177%		160%	178%	169%	5 166%	6 352	%	377%
Vermont	90)%	155%	191%	172%	5 7519	6	862%		173%	177%	203%	5 205%	6 0	%	0%
Virginia	907	7%	550%	633%	563%	15%	6	18%		126%	135%	85%	85%	6 47	%	43%
Washington	5′	%	88%	86%	84%	89%	6	77%		92%	78%	79%	5 789	6 143	%	145%
West Virginia	41	%	100%	72%	72%	62%	6	396%		57%	59%	55%	55%	6 207	%	158%
Wisconsin	7′	%	91%	88%	92%	103%	6	20%		103%	107%	92%	93%	6 175	%	192%
Wyoming	83	3%	116%	128%	141%	137%	6	144%		129%	139%	122%	5 1229	6 399	%	569%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	ole 4-6						
			U	I.S. Depa	artment	of Defen	nse Gran	nts:				
St	ates' Per	Capita I		•					r Capita	Expend	iture	
		••••••••				'ears 1996						
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.33	\$ 1.51
Alabama	54%	118%	227%	84%	2%	9%	19%	225%	114%	144%	228%	153%
Alaska	0%	45%	2%	1%	257%	0%	833%	1%	223%	509%	395%	12%
Arizona	43%	21%	129%	102%	458%	90%	5%	0%	325%	26%	41%	56%
Arkansas	154%	24%	25%	95%	258%	43%	26%	28%	113%	241%	819%	259%
California	17%	13%	66%	58%	2%	8%	1%	47%	44%	79%	79%	21%
Colorado	78%	7%	160%	110%	186%	24%	156%	117%	2%	230%	457%	110%
Connecticut	8%	223%	800%	216%	21%	48%	1%	0%	0%	64%	64%	55%
Delaware	3%	4%	2%	147%	14%	1%	-8%	520%	306%	11%	0%	0%
Florida	15%	73%	36%	2%	3%	91%	14%	3%	0%	79%	47%	72%
Georgia	37%	12%	18%	24%	35%	31%	20%	23%	17%	15%	59%	91%
Hawaii	54%	69%	41%	356%	968%	1514%	1145%	440%	1243%	932%	166%	5%
Idaho	118%	29%	12%	428%	200%	2%	0%	533%	232%	11%	3%	4%
Illinois	19%	7%	8%	22%	17%	149%	59%	13%	26%	23%	59%	44%
Indiana	186%	431%	198%	108%	187%	206%	169%	222%	80%	168%	42%	119%
Iowa	410%	68%	108%	243%	95%	30%	50%	98%	101%	84%	80%	56%
Kansas	325%	335%	98%	94%	85%	22%	46%	54%	267%	371%	77%	72%
Kentucky	133%	483%	247%	97%	241%	329%	36%	32%	85%	87%	131%	122%
Louisiana	74%	66%	15%	105%	32%	1%	1%	64%	183%	207%	168%	1381%
Maine	108%	19%	622%	68%	0%	32%	167%	844%	121%	863%	336%	21%
Maryland	45%	112%	159%	117%	107%	4%	1%	1%	70%	75%	11%	2%
Massachusetts	51%	36%	1%	5%	3%	2%	284%	37%	1%	72%	65%	148%
Michigan	97%	18%	0%	60%	89%	0%	6%	93%	233%	189%	248%	123%
Minnesota	92%	190%	244%	10%	52%	107%	224%	86%	1%	2%	0%	0%
Mississippi	1017%	1125%	561%	400%	455%	1216%	703%	870%	811%	298%	101%	652%
Missouri	185%	102%	49%	50%	126%	64%	125%	118%	110%	207%	69%	103%
Montana	536%	2317%	555%	1620%	3632%	1903%	1573%	812%	387%	841%	888%	304%
Nebraska	414%	161%	48%	20%	31%	23%	15%	87%	322%	468%	180%	96%
Nevada	274%	734%	131%	3%	0%	327%	285%	208%	28%	1%	241%	176%
New Hampshire	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1451%	694%	83%	243%	405%
New Jersey	13%	42%	52%	30%	3%	28%	130%	21%	2%	0%	0%	0%
New Mexico	54%	192%	458%	141%	343%	41%	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%
New York	2%	12%	1%	1%	0%	24%	34%	109%	14%	25%	70%	93%
North Carolina	36%	39%	2%	1%	0%	63%	157%	0%	3%	187%	65%	57%
North Dakota	402%	420%	44%	223%	2243%	464%	1790%	597%	57%	597%	661%	754%
Ohio	46%	41%	96%	22%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	43%	144%	58%
Oklahoma	309%	145%	144%	70%	541%	263%	387%	244%	110%	30%	11%	46%

Sta	ates'	' Per	Capita	a Ex		S. Depa tures as Federa	a P	erce	of I nta	Defen Ige of	th	e Nati		ıl Pei	[,] Cap	ita	Exj	pendi	iture		
Federal FY		1996	19	97	1998	1999		2000		2001		2002		2003	2	004		2005	2	006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$	0.92	\$ 0.7	0 \$	0.63	\$ 0.51	\$	0.33	\$	0.44	\$	0.64	\$	0.65	\$ 0	.91	\$	1.06	\$ 1	.33	\$ 1.51
Oregon		483%	272	%	214%	49%		70%		491%		265%		123%	11	1%		179%	5	59%	11%
Pennsylvania		47%	33	%	138%	371%		9%		19%		14%		68%	2	22%		53%	13	80%	283%
Rhode Island		332%	11	%	158%	28%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	0%
South Carolina		319%	353	%	475%	353%		117%		7%		313%		174%	2	19%		3%		2%	101%
South Dakota		888%	590	%	765%	807%		421%		43%		634%	1	579%	199	97%		463%	1	6%	243%
Tennessee		249%	300	%	195%	243%		23%		20%		134%		177%	ç	94%		32%	6	8%	8%
Texas		48%	17	%	18%	22%		31%		15%		7%		58%	7	74%		11%		3%	5%
Utah		154%	84	%	13%	502%		21%		12%		1%		0%		0%		0%		0%	69%
Vermont		287%	2	%	191%	1244%	1	416%		764%		1400%		172%		4%		631%	261	8%	1526%
Virginia		16%	1	%	38%	32%		14%		63%		19%		4%	19	92%		190%	18	86%	64%
Washington		29%	24	%	14%	1%		3%		593%		448%		79%	3	35%		35%	6	8%	10%
West Virginia		852%	343	%	9%	374%	1	726%		1338%		606%	1	050%	226	61%		846%	10)7%	24%
Wisconsin		257%	16	%	5%	90%		6%		17%		193%		136%	11	4%		80%	1	3%	66%
Wyoming		244%	592	%	2589%	4441%	4	239%		442%		0%		-45%		0%		0%		0%	340%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tal	ole 4-7						
			υ.	S. Depa	rtment o	of Educa	tion Gra	nts:				
Sta	ates' Per	Capita I	Expendit	ures as	a Perce	entage of	f the Nat	ional Pe	r Capita	Expend	iture	
						Years 1996						
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 55.96	\$ 65.08	\$ 76.70	\$ 81.45	\$ 91.14	\$ 86.75	\$ 110.81	\$ 98.23	\$ 104.25	\$ 112.00	\$ 117.05	\$ 113.79
Alabama	118%	123%	113%	109%	123%	107%	106%	106%	111%	116%	118%	110%
Alaska	362%	330%	318%	427%	397%	413%	385%	222%	215%	208%	186%	170%
Arizona	128%	118%	146%	117%	123%	121%	121%	127%	124%	118%	122%	119%
Arkansas	113%	118%	112%	108%	108%	109%	112%	120%	122%	113%	122%	116%
California	99%	93%	106%	93%	100%	97%	102%	124%	118%	135%	116%	118%
Colorado	80%	84%	72%	79%	82%	76%	81%	95%	98%	95%	91%	96%
Connecticut	80%	78%	66%	87%	88%	83%	88%	95%	97%	94%	92%	89%
Delaware	122%	125%	112%	110%	116%	5 117%	110%	131%	138%	139%	134%	126%
Florida	83%	78%	94%	83%	79%	84%	84%	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%
Georgia	93%	88%	92%	95%	80%	95%	94%	10%	10%	9%	8%	9%
Hawaii	110%	139%	131%	158%	146%	120%	141%	144%	177%	161%	153%	171%
Idaho	108%	96%	58%	99%	108%	107%	101%	109%	111%	113%	105%	112%
Illinois	99%	97%	100%	94%	93%	88%	94%	112%	115%	104%	104%	110%
Indiana	85%	86%	85%	85%	87%	83%	82%	84%	84%	81%	83%	85%
Iowa	76%	86%	73%	94%	94%	91%	90%	107%	115%	109%	108%	94%
Kansas	103%	107%	115%	104%	107%	116%	114%	47%	46%	44%	40%	41%
Kentucky	119%	124%	124%	120%	114%	109%	107%	126%	130%	34%	31%	31%
Louisiana	139%	139%	149%	131%	129%	121%	115%	9%	9%	7%	9%	8%
Maine	137%	112%	119%	113%	115%	114%	109%	131%	136%	122%	114%	118%
Maryland	83%	71%	89%	83%	84%	81%	82%	98%	100%	95%	99%	103%
Massachusetts	90%	104%	68%	110%	94%	89%	96%	122%	108%	114%	116%	94%
Michigan	109%	105%	113%	104%	101%	104%	103%	117%	113%	109%	103%	108%
Minnesota	77%	87%	95%	84%	86%	84%	84%	20%	20%	19%	86%	87%
Mississippi	145%	149%	153%	139%	133%	137%	127%	142%	138%	142%	226%	164%
Missouri	52%	103%	104%	110%	92%	99%	90%	16%	16%	16%	15%	15%
Montana	191%	185%	194%	184%	205%	190%	185%	208%	215%	196%	187%	188%
Nebraska	100%	103%	116%	117%	100%	103%	105%	118%	118%	123%	118%	121%
Nevada	69%	60%	48%	59%	59%	59%	69%	70%	83%	77%	78%	84%
New Hampshire	80%	78%	84%	81%	82%	5 77%	78%	95%	106%	100%	98%	108%
New Jersey	85%	86%	89%	87%	83%	78%	85%	100%	103%	100%	97%	103%
New Mexico	191%	185%	168%	224%	230%	215%	227%	194%	217%	191%	173%	170%
New York	100%	111%	58%	107%	101%		116%		135%	149%	136%	144%
North Carolina	88%	94%	96%	86%	88%	85%	87%	99%	105%	105%	102%	101%
North Dakota	172%	184%	147%	176%	200%	200%	187%	214%	215%	210%	203%	212%
Ohio	102%	91%	100%	86%	88%	84%	86%	9%	7%	7%	6%	6%
Oklahoma	128%	116%	102%	112%	129%	130%	131%	149%	147%	150%	144%	148%

					Tab	ole 4-7						
				.S. Depa			tion Gra	nte				
		•		-					•		•.	
Sta	ates' Pei	^r Capita	Expend	itures as	a Perce	ntage of	the Nat	ional Pe	r Capita	Expend	iture	
				Feder	al Fiscal Y	'ears 1996	6 to 2007					
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	3 1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 55.96	\$ 65.08	\$ 76.70	\$ 81.45	\$ 91.14	\$ 86.75	\$ 110.81	\$ 98.23	\$ 104.25	\$ 112.00	\$ 117.05	\$ 113.79
Oregon	105%	104%	106%	ы́ 100%	94%	95%	97%	103%	117%	108%	122%	113%
Pennsylvania	96%	88%	100%	ы́ 88%	103%	92%	87%	102%	90%	96%	95%	97%
Rhode Island	111%	114%	91%	ы́ 128%	104%	99%	103%	19%	15%	15%	13%	16%
South Carolina	110%	101%	5 1119	ան 87%	101%	92%	104%	27%	24%	21%	115%	116%
South Dakota	233%	179%	211%	a 223%	199%	202%	194%	94%	88%	97%	79%	80%
Tennessee	99%	96%	105%	ы́ 95%	93%	97%	84%	96%	108%	109%	98%	103%
Texas	104%	107%	123%	ն 110%	106%	109%	105%	124%	123%	125%	132%	127%
Utah	121%	95%	107%	ы́ 90%	101%	96%	104%	107%	103%	96%	105%	94%
Vermont	156%	143%	154%	ы́ 156%	145%	142%	138%	196%	167%	192%	184%	176%
Virginia	81%	79%	44%	ы́ 82%	85%	82%	87%	94%	98%	93%	100%	99%
Washington	82%	94%	101%	ы́ 85%	95%	95%	96%	88%	96%	89%	89%	89%
West Virginia	137%	137%	71%	մ 131%	139%	132%	118%	122%	121%	127%	138%	132%
Wisconsin	97%	101%	102%	ы́ 100%	96%	93%	90%	115%	117%	110%	102%	104%
Wyoming	173%	173%	116%	<u> </u>	196%	180%	174%	211%	225%	229%	222%	223%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-8						
			ι	J.S. Dep	artment	of Energ	gy Grant	ts:				
St	ates' Per	Capita E		-					r Capita	Expend	iture	
						'ears 1996						
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.82	\$ 2.18	\$ 2.71	\$ 1.92	\$ 2.26	\$ 2.28
Alabama	68%	63%	88%	88%	95%	84%	73%	64%	45%	56%	42%	57%
Alaska	178%	220%	333%	282%	1233%	1411%	1159%		534%	1024%	386%	400%
Arizona	31%	7%	50%	38%	66%	40%	31%	72%	79%	31%	35%	25%
Arkansas	124%	32%	50%	69%	20%	46%	100%	33%	28%	47%	47%	72%
California	35%	23%	23%	34%	25%	20%	52%	30%	60%	33%	22%	32%
Colorado	151%	1112%	741%	622%	214%	588%	145%	115%	174%	61%	92%	105%
Connecticut	81%	15%	7%	57%	97%	88%	126%	161%	123%	63%	45%	48%
Delaware	150%	174%	71%	86%	331%	207%	282%	155%	223%	68%	45%	60%
Florida	17%	109%	65%	26%	17%	20%	16%	30%	26%	34%	28%	36%
Georgia	42%	121%	20%	247%	136%	53%	36%	68%	51%	57%	37%	42%
Hawaii	37%	4%	69%	320%	387%	314%	369%	69%	87%	56%	96%	108%
Idaho	120%	217%	351%	610%	423%	1019%	460%	197%	280%	270%	365%	219%
Illinois	105%	8%	34%	28%	14%	98%	31%	110%	97%	104%	68%	78%
Indiana	147%	11%	2%	114%	139%	89%	88%	117%	76%	31%	78%	70%
Iowa	150%	194%	104%	39%	246%	22%	48%	152%	131%	68%	96%	109%
Kansas	113%	78%	162%	161%	68%	98%	29%	80%	67%	105%	75%	95%
Kentucky	145%	115%	104%	95%	29%	99%	19%	76%	58%	102%	89%	107%
Louisiana	48%	174%	114%	65%	47%	35%	73%	30%	27%	60%	39%	45%
Maine	240%	98%	42%	47%	243%	221%	287%	220%	106%	169%	141%	166%
Maryland	86%	16%	112%	51%	43%	31%	70%	35%	73%	53%	37%	42%
Massachusetts	122%	33%	85%	240%	51%	101%	153%	207%	145%	96%	104%	91%
Michigan	158%	106%	197%	123%	159%	117%	159%	154%	110%	109%	113%	116%
Minnesota	241%	194%	48%	50%	140%	39%	15%	149%	169%	116%	102%	97%
Mississippi	106%	66%	80%	104%	125%	81%	64%	54%	69%	82%	49%	221%
Missouri	136%	-1%	14%	91%	124%	66%	123%	93%	64%	84%	75%	65%
Montana	530%	266%	379%	343%	367%	269%	337%	197%	256%	278%	273%	402%
Nebraska	180%	46%	223%	742%	125%	162%	216%	100%	87%	112%	69%	237%
Nevada	1066%	2108%	2917%	627%	1888%	1619%	1731%	854%	622%	692%	1332%	1149%
New Hampshire	118%	0%	129%	77%	192%	116%	128%	106%	100%	64%	112%	80%
New Jersey	81%	35%	75%	61%	71%	54%	103%	209%	72%	46%	37%	53%
New Mexico	121%	733%	301%	189%	422%	203%	593%	874%	1052%	1129%	448%	592%
New York	135%	21%	6%	23%	95%	77%	37%	78%	177%	161%	245%	211%
North Carolina	64%	13%	27%	22%	35%	21%	13%	45%	42%	54%	46%	41%
North Dakota	380%	397%	535%	450%	108%	170%	473%	223%	219%	589%	922%	947%
Ohio	118%	97%	74%	30%	75%	65%	47%	119%	93%	129%	114%	104%
Oklahoma	64%	103%	132%	93%	118%	82%	119%	51%	56%	59%	50%	46%

Sta	ates'	' Per	Сар	oita E	Expend		S. Dep res as Federa	a P	erce	of nta	Energinge of	th	e Nati	al Pe	r Ca	apita	Ex	pendi	iture	9	
Federal FY		1996		1997	199	8	1999		2000		2001		2002	2003		2004		2005		2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$	0.79	\$	0.31	\$ 0.54	1\$	0.65	\$	0.73	\$	0.95	\$	0.82	\$ 2.18	\$	2.71	\$	1.92	\$	2.26	\$ 2.28
Oregon		105%		2%	389	%	131%		86%		173%		217%	104%		406%		163%		83%	100%
Pennsylvania		119%		40%	60	%	112%		19%		106%		33%	139%		82%		115%		102%	79%
Rhode Island		159%		73%	59	%	107%		141%		95%		197%	87%		31%		90%		59%	90%
South Carolina		41%	8	899%	125	%	144%		133%		273%		96%	97%		100%		143%		455%	223%
South Dakota		302%		0%	3829	%	190%		80%		261%		702%	188%		177%		181%		132%	134%
Tennessee		79%		167%	1529	%	68%		167%		110%		190%	96%		63%		112%		68%	97%
Texas		27%		13%	239	%	43%		33%		30%		42%	39%		49%		51%		41%	39%
Utah		133%		86%	426	%	489%		290%		199%		202%	142%		135%		177%		94%	104%
Vermont		365%		-2%	1089	%	218%		326%		260%		280%	157%		140%		151%		105%	142%
Virginia		64%		36%	109	%	84%		73%		64%		73%	52%		35%		68%		58%	58%
Washington		108%		24%	509	%	124%		132%		159%		306%	193%		192%		152%		163%	211%
West Virginia		64%		13%	15	%	181%		189%		114%		158%	119%		99%		390%		297%	272%
Wisconsin		181%		47%	1679	%	157%		87%		48%		25%	92%		31%		97%		105%	100%
Wyoming		229%		118%	275	%	315%		165%		283%		300%	162%		138%		731%		238%	283%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	ole 4-9						
			Envi	ironmen	tal Prote	ection A	gency G	rants:				
Sta	ates' Per	Capita I	Expendit	tures as	a Perce	entage of	f the Nat	ional Pe	r Capita	Expend	iture	
						ears 1996						
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 11.67	\$ 10.94	\$ 10.70	\$ 11.46	\$ 12.53	\$ 13.58	\$ 12.62	\$ 13.73	\$ 14.25	\$ 11.21	\$ 13.85	\$ 13.75
Alabama	48%	71%	73%	60%	89%	85%	67%	59%	80%	104%	104%	78%
Alaska	335%	310%	669%	714%	1124%	726%	736%	872%	1088%	747%	749%	911%
Arizona	60%	58%	96%	101%	71%	101%	67%	53%	90%	70%	72%	82%
Arkansas	69%	84%	62%	44%	51%	54%	97%	89%	91%	100%	88%	100%
California	105%	77%	83%	69%	49%	70%	57%	52%	55%	63%	64%	76%
Colorado	61%	63%	83%	116%	126%	95%	96%	86%	90%	83%	79%	76%
Connecticut	76%	91%	87%	78%	73%	113%	88%	100%	59%	82%	113%	109%
Delaware	234%	256%	188%	153%	172%	177%	210%	156%	275%	261%	235%	323%
Florida	46%	48%	45%	55%	44%		61%	58%	59%	49%	56%	58%
Georgia	41%	56%	57%	63%	53%	58%	43%	44%	69%	62%	54%	46%
Hawaii	127%	140%	106%	141%	143%	58%	220%	92%	71%	96%	166%	395%
Idaho	163%	140%	107%	186%	168%	187%	235%	176%	202%	239%	247%	246%
Illinois	70%	100%	62%	91%	89%	89%	89%	111%	91%	46%	54%	73%
Indiana	75%	81%	89%	91%	206%	221%	111%	55%	101%	35%	32%	114%
Iowa	118%	139%	120%	107%	123%	118%	170%	216%	163%	213%	197%	133%
Kansas	98%	111%	119%	132%	147%		87%		117%	118%	124%	78%
Kentucky	104%	107%	79%	81%	52%	59%	96%		84%	90%	81%	130%
Louisiana	94%	85%	150%	112%	114%	85%	87%	70%	115%	85%	85%	99%
Maine	128%	154%	248%	227%	180%	158%	224%		190%	211%	210%	199%
Maryland	140%	114%	141%	165%	125%		155%		122%	109%	109%	131%
Massachusetts	304%	362%	237%	206%	176%		116%		128%	137%	126%	106%
Michigan	134%	144%	153%	145%	161%		104%		106%	107%	111%	88%
Minnesota	71%	94%	70%	125%	178%		121%		92%	111%	100%	135%
Mississippi	92%	88%	93%	90%	96%	84%	135%		129%	79%	96%	116%
Missouri	116%	93%	97%	143%	84%	116%	121%		128%	117%	109%	128%
Montana	160%	179%	239%	275%	280%		365%		306%	317%	322%	301%
Nebraska	137%	124%	121%	123%	139%	153%	162%	89%	165%	134%	130%	102%
Nevada	68%	66%	68%	76%	121%		90%		87%	184%	169%	93%
New Hampshire	79%	122%	231%	262%	248%		183%		197%	200%	193%	174%
New Jersey	104%	96%	90%	62%	76%	74%	115%		124%	165%	149%	100%
New Mexico	97%	151%	124%	117%	140%	142%	205%	237%	159%	147%	152%	192%
New York	153%	167%	144%	52%	145%		114%		119%	127%	109%	86%
North Carolina	69%	74%	64%	70%	74%	72%	99%	69%	76%	97%	100%	80%
North Dakota	177%	190%	272%	397%	217%	345%	402%		299%	362%	402%	514%
Ohio	122%	62%	86%	108%	100%		93%		102%	120%	114%	96%
Oklahoma	90%	103%	123%	137%	90%	123%	123%	121%	117%	116%	134%	147%

Sta	ates' Per	Capita	Envi Expendit	tures as	tal Prote a Perce	ection A ntage of ears 1996	the Nat		r Capita	Expend	iture	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 11.67	\$ 10.94	\$ 10.70	\$ 11.46	\$ 12.53	\$ 13.58	\$ 12.62	\$ 13.73	\$ 14.25	\$ 11.21	\$ 13.85	\$ 13.75
Oregon	60%	76%	133%	238%	136%	83%	127%	116%	128%	130%	124%	79%
Pennsylvania	85%	73%	93%	86%	87%	88%	104%	91%	89%	85%	86%	101%
Rhode Island	144%	159%	192%	175%	177%	179%	226%	272%	219%	251%	224%	232%
South Carolina	64%	71%	41%	97%	108%	97%	71%	77%	78%	98%	94%	61%
South Dakota	176%	297%	242%	275%	245%	200%	220%	353%	331%	366%	360%	243%
Tennessee	62%	79%	72%	61%	56%	46%	51%	61%	81%	95%	94%	53%
Texas	62%	55%	61%	72%	63%	63%	67%	70%	81%	62%	87%	103%
Utah	257%	213%	117%	101%	93%	133%	130%	101%	82%	100%	99%	107%
Vermont	193%	184%	244%	408%	390%	228%	330%	309%	295%	333%	359%	319%
Virginia	80%	80%	96%	88%	62%	112%	111%	88%	86%	93%	92%	74%
Washington	94%	93%	125%	112%	78%	81%	128%	125%	97%	139%	125%	110%
West Virginia	170%	188%	232%	279%	330%	315%	198%	254%	179%	255%	235%	171%
Wisconsin	84%	113%	79%	150%	84%	69%	108%	180%	157%	107%	101%	126%
Wyoming	255%	396%	327%	247%	224%	216%	349%	440%	358%	283%	332%	318%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

						Та	ble 4	-10								
				Equal I	Employm	ent Opp	ortu	nity C	ommiss	ior	n Grants	S:				
	S	States'	Per Cap	ita Exper	nditures a	is a Perc	enta	age of	the Nat	ion	al Per (Capita Ex	cpenditur	е		
					Fede	eral Fiscal	Year	rs 1996	to 2007							
Federal FY		1996	1997			200	-	2001	200	2	2003	2004			6	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$	0.09	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$	0.11	\$ 0.1′	1 \$	\$0.11	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.09	\$	0.11
Alabama		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	09	_	0%	0%		0%	-	0%
Alaska		333%	292%	299%	508%	379%		289%	1589		281%	227%		247%		269%
Arizona		99%	98%	75%	107%	119%		64%	749		73%	62%	69%	81%		76%
Arkansas		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	09		0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
California	-	98%	85%	85%	124%	95%		65%	839		82%	89%		71%		96%
Colorado		111%	123%	160%	151%	55%	-	506%	929		130%	92%	63%	63%	-	69%
Connecticut		165%	87%	156%	110%	179%	-	151%	2259	-	174%	217%		272%		264%
Delaware		117%	148%	57%	80%	169%	_	290%	1499		115%	327%		965%		454%
Florida		76%	73%		55%	78%		79%	71%		71%	93%		72%		60%
Georgia		20%	16%		13%	12%	-	16%	209		21%	21%		30%		5%
Hawaii		103%	81%		170%	182%	-	84%	1119	_	110%	0%		169%	-	39%
Idaho		224%	216%		205%	153%	-	178%	2669		241%	220%		247%		173%
Illinois		104%	126%	112%	153%	162%	-	104%	1739		174%	123%		122%	-	97%
Indiana		86%	63%	42%	55%	53%		87%	819		80%	69%		80%	, D	55%
Iowa		144%	251%	206%	267%	115%	-	202%	5609	_	560%	286%	229%	240%	, D	263%
Kansas		157%	158%	163%	147%	77%	-	155%	1109		110%	130%	5 114%	141%		122%
Kentucky		56%	63%	65%	76%	49%		53%	129	-	37%	38%	49%	43%	-	60%
Louisiana		7%	7%		1%	0%		1%	09		0%	0%		13%		8%
Maine		181%	236%	217%	342%	235%		893%	1889	-	187%	164%		132%	_	130%
Maryland		167%	133%	146%	94%	127%		159%	1129		111%	147%	b 167%	97%		116%
Massachusetts		185%	174%	183%	205%	321%	-	23%	1989	_	198%	176%	220%	154%	-	279%
Michigan		101%	174%	129%	126%	219	-	40%	579		57%	89%	92%	132%		95%
Minnesota		63%	78%	118%	122%	76%	-	94%	2969		299%	132%	5 100%	101%	-	89%
Mississippi		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	00	_	0%	0%		0%	-	0%
Missouri		56%	108%		138%	72%		106%	1169		116%	196%		151%		151%
Montana		186%	344%	362%	259%	297%	-	248%	2529		246%	222%		414%	-	207%
Nebraska	-	254%	341%		295%	443%		116%	3579	_	517%	250%		404%		263%
Nevada		407%	330%	277%	377%	325%	_	395%	2979		288%	104%	540%	33%	-	248%
New Hampshire		52%	107%	93%	82%	34% 92%		65%	659		79% 29%	59%	50%	106%	-	69%
New Jersey		63%	81%	66%	67%			60%	299			103%	58%	60%	-	48%
New Mexico		92%	133%	67%	115%	117%		154%	1469		145%	194%	96%	183%		67%
New York North Carolina	-	107% 23%	64% 28%	<u>140%</u> 5%	83% 7%	68% 23%		87% 23%	1089 169		105% 16%	139% 12%	<u>108%</u> 7%	130% 9%		110% 11%
	-			2752%	232%	237			2239		223%				-	257%
North Dakota Ohio		3801% 0%	3750% 0%	0%	232%	2329	-	192% 190%	1509	_	223%	284% 82%	230% 224%	201%	-	257%
Ohlo Oklahoma		120%	119%	100%	109%	70%	-	190%	100		10%	94%		11247	-	201%
-		213%	124%	173%	107%	109	-	125%	106		159%	149%		144%	-	134%
Oregon Pennsylvania	+	105%	124%		70%	2139	-	175%	116		116%	171%		98%		164%
Rhode Island	+	130%	120%	115%	66%	63%		175%	989		97%	171%	a 240% a 187%	987		164%
South Carolina	-	152%	98%		135%	163%	-	169%	1389		137%	168%		208%		149%
South Carolina	1	10270	90%	132%	133%	1037	0	10970	130	/0	13/70	100%	20270	2007	2	105%

	States'	' Per Cap	-	ditures a	ent Oppo	entage of	the Natio		s: Capita Ex	penditure	9				
Federal FY															
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11			
South Dakota	244%	288%	218%	234%	183%	254%	256%	255%	174%	210%	255%	139%			
Tennessee	70%	46%	60%	42%	46%	40%	57%	58%	76%	33%	49%	42%			
Texas	38%	61%	43%	36%	49%	47%	27%	27%	21%	45%	37%	36%			
Utah	99%	175%	87%	114%	153%	121%	125%	124%	136%	145%	92%	146%			
Vermont	57%	59%	107%	110%	62%	81%	106%	100%	97%	99%	117%	82%			
Virginia	62%	59%	37%	27%	14%	38%	23%	27%	24%	28%	90%	67%			
Washington	146%	148%	120%	120%	70%	136%	114%	107%	89%	112%	94%	105%			
West Virginia	52%	75%	108%	97%	101%	88%	68%	68%	177%	140%	103%	97%			
Wisconsin	198%	80%	232%	85%	237%	176%	183%	126%	228%	44%	305%	124%			
Wyoming	146%	198%	173%	210%	273%	271%	67%	137%	225%	194%	125%	213%			

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	e 4-11						
			Federal	Emerge	ncy Mar	nagemer	nt Agenc	y Grants	5:			
Sta	ates' Per			-	-	-	-	ional Pe		Expendit	ture	
			- 1			ears 1996						
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 5.24	\$ 18.62	\$ 5.49	\$ 6.85	\$ 7.01	\$ 8.58	\$ 11.09	-	-	-	-	-
Alabama	231%	55%	175%	210%	184%	132%	54%	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska	366%	157%	284%	94%	130%	69%	92%	-	-	-	-	-
Arizona	29%	44%	75%	35%	46%	13%	23%	-	-	-	-	-
Arkansas	96%	79%	59%	91%	40%	759%	70%	-	-	-	-	-
California	261%	339%	138%	241%	220%	168%	140%	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	27%	24%	32%	30%	38%	38%	41%	-	-	-	-	-
Connecticut	10%	21%	13%	14%	16%	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	123%	3%	106%	60%	72%	60%	30%	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	182%	37%	162%	163%	187%	112%	96%		Refer to H	lomeland	Security	
Georgia	108%	198%	181%	103%	123%	47%	32%	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii	322%	116%	266%	61%	53%	82%	31%	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	186%	205%	195%	60%	53%	32%	20%	-	-	-	-	-
Illinois	51%	52%	58%	53%	29%	27%	11%	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	30%	22%	49%	45%	11%	18%	14%	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	106%	36%	110%	107%	119%	57%	45%	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	73%	8%	68%	112%	54%	48%	146%	-	-	-	-	-
Kentucky	81%	180%	199%	53%	59%	39%	46%	-	-	-	-	-
Louisiana	97%	22%	69%	130%	60%	157%	44%	-	-	-	-	-
Maine	54%	61%	449%	226%	111%	67%	34%	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	81%	9%	26%	16%	29%	37%	16%	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	60%	47%	39%	27%	29%	34%	27%	-	-	-	-	-
Michigan	11%	19%	72%	39%	21%	82%	7%	-	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	36%	336%	520%	219%	133%	109%	77%	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	40%	12%	26%	151%	95%	126%	71%	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	97%	16%	41%	42%	30%	16%	96%	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	70%	29%	121%	32%	291%	200%	199%	-	-	-	-	-
Nebraska	151%	-57%	354%	117%	65%	50%	32%	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	20%	132%	47%	39%	32%	16%	8%	-	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	42%	40%	103%	119%	44%	50%	33%	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	75%	18%	12%	9%	74%	41%	86%	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	33%	13%	29%	21%	45%	52%	26%	-	-	-	-	-
New York	46%	42%	56%	50%	55%	124%	580%	-	-	-	-	-
North Carolina	65%	298%	120%	137%	509%	341%	133%	-	-	-	-	-
North Dakota	355%	2415%	2088%	2001%	1536%	1236%	532%	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	22%	47%	43%	32%	19%	14%	9%	-	-	-		-
Oklahoma	42%	7%	20%	188%	66%	468%	315%	-	-	-	-	-

Sta	ates' Per	Capita		ures as	a Perce	•	the Natio		Capita E	xpendit	ure	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	200
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 5.24	\$ 18.62	\$ 5.49	\$ 6.85	\$ 7.01	\$ 8.58	\$ 11.09	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	157%	97%	133%	90%	79%	48%	37%	-	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	124%	17%	37%	20%	37%	19%	12%	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	77%	23%	20%	6%	29%	37%	16%	-	-	-	-	-
South Carolina	57%	24%	26%	23%	111%	38%	16%	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota	426%	757%	454%	250%	123%	162%	85%	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	22%	21%	83%	103%	82%	35%	28%	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	23%	11%	10%	82%	35%	130%	76%	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	62%	17%	73%	55%	39%	51%	32%	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	188%	62%	362%	254%	119%	97%	56%	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	74%	35%	33%	39%	61%	34%	34%	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	186%	148%	274%	84%	92%	40%	37%	-	-	-	-	-
West Virginia	282%	130%	143%	114%	79%	130%	248%	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	36%	40%	36%	53%	36%	39%	47%	-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming	46%	11%	50%	52%	53%	78%	85%	-	-	-	-	-

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tabl	e 4-12						
		U.S	5. Depart	ment of	Health a	and Hun	nan Serv	ices Gra	ants:			
Sta	ates' Per		•							Expendi	iture	
		oupitu	-xponan		I Fiscal Y	-			oupitu	Елропа		
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 476.83	\$ 443.12	\$ 505.74	\$ 539.57	\$ 559.47	\$ 630.44	\$ 701.89	\$ 753.65	\$ 793.04	\$ 803.82	\$ 752.80	\$ 828.85
Alabama	89%	94%	91%	94%	95%	96%	93%	98%	95%	95%	100%	99%
Alaska	114%	129%	127%	147%	256%	265%	173%	170%	181%	182%	177%	142%
Arizona	80%	75%	78%	80%	78%	81%	91%	101%	104%	110%	111%	110%
Arkansas	100%	111%	104%	104%	99%	104%	110%	110%	111%	119%	123%	121%
California	101%	92%	99%	102%	98%	99%	95%	100%	96%	97%	96%	99%
Colorado	65%	70%	63%	62%	63%	61%	62%	62%	60%	60%	62%	59%
Connecticut	124%	111%	120%	123%	115%	109%	106%	97%	104%	100%	103%	102%
Delaware	88%	92%	82%	91%	87%	90%	86%	86%	86%	92%	96%	94%
Florida	69%	70%	69%	71%	71%	71%	71%	78%	77%	73%	71%	73%
Georgia	88%	85%	78%	78%	83%	86%	89%	86%	84%	79%	80%	79%
Hawaii	98%	99%	87%	82%	80%	75%	79%	77%	89%	84%	96%	94%
Idaho	68%	74%	72%	77%	81%	83%	83%	80%	88%	85%	87%	84%
Illinois	87%	92%	84%	85%	86%	81%	80%	78%	82%	80%	77%	81%
Indiana	77%	72%	74%	79%	84%	88%	83%	79%	84%	81%	89%	84%
lowa	79%	83%	84%	85%	90%	83%	101%	86%	88%	90%	94%	89%
Kansas	68%	71%	72%	78%	82%	85%	83%	77%	77%	80%	76%	78%
Kentucky	109%	119%	117%	119%	120%	117%	120%	114%	114%	114%	118%	116%
Louisiana	147%	142%	127%	125%	121%	133%	139%	125%	132%	133%	140%	144%
Maine	139%	151%	150%	141%	155%	147%	140%	154%	163%	169%	152%	152%
Maryland	80%	98%	83%	83%	112%	99%	79%	84%	82%	80%	85%	86%
Massachusetts	118%	110%	127%	122%	122%	115%	123%	115%	121%	122%	124%	129%
Michigan	96%	94%	97%	103%	97%	98%	97%	92%	92%	92%	84%	91%
Minnesota	97%	103%	95%	93%	90%	93%	96%	94%	99%	95%	98%	98%
Mississippi	124%	122%	125%	121%	121%	135%	139%	139%	144%	139%	159%	143%
Missouri	94%	96%	97%	102%	104%	110%	108%	105%	109%	110%	111%	107%
Montana	98%	93%	92%	88%	106%	112%	102%	98%	100%	100%	104%	97%
Nebraska	80%	86%	85%	95%	96%	94%	95%	88%	92%	88%	92%	85%
Nevada	52%	47%	46%	47%	44%	44%	48%	52%	48%	51%	50%	49%
New Hampshire	90%	88%	80%	84%	85%	79%	76%	74%	78%	79%	75%	75%
New Jersey	96%	98%	93%	87%	92%	89%	100%	88%	84%	85%	91%	91%
New Mexico	121%	130%	117%	120%	126%	135%	134%	142%	150%	149%	149%	143%
New York	195%	193%	205%	187%	183%	180%	184%	191%	184%	188%	185%	175%
North Carolina	98%	113%	98%	102%	100%	101%	96%	96%	101%	106%	105%	106%
North Dakota	102%	105%	105%	106%	121%	111%	108%	103%	104%	102%	103%	99%
Ohio	101%	96%	97%	95%	99%	100%	103%	103%	107%	103%	109%	114%
Oklahoma	80%	81%	82%	88%	95%	104%	96%	95%	92%	96%	100%	104%

					Tabl	e 4-12						
		11.9		mont of	Health :	and Hun	nan Serv	icos Gra	nte			
04			-									
Sta	ates' Per	Capita I	=xpendi			•		ional Pe	r Capita	Expend	iture	
				Federa	l Fiscal Y	ears 1996	6 to 2007					
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 476.83	\$ 443.12	\$ 505.74	\$ 539.57	\$ 559.47	\$ 630.44	\$ 701.89	\$ 753.65	\$ 793.04	\$ 803.82	\$ 752.80	\$ 828.85
Oregon	89%	85%	91%	101%	96%	104%	90%	91%	85%	89%	88%	84%
Pennsylvania	104%	111%	103%	115%	109%	106%	109%	108%	113%	118%	114%	116%
Rhode Island	136%	149%	142%	147%	147%	138%	137%	141%	150%	145%	144%	147%
South Carolina	103%	105%	104%	104%	106%	106%	104%	103%	105%	102%	101%	96%
South Dakota	91%	86%	94%	95%	98%	101%	101%	97%	94%	98%	97%	92%
Tennessee	107%	110%	115%	115%	117%	121%	114%	119%	125%	124%	106%	109%
Texas	83%	84%	83%	81%	77%	74%	78%	79%	76%	76%	80%	82%
Utah	70%	66%	68%	70%	68%	69%	65%	67%	72%	70%	69%	66%
Vermont	121%	113%	125%	138%	136%	142%	140%	140%	145%	143%	145%	153%
Virginia	51%	54%	52%	55%	55%	56%	59%	53%	54%	54%	57%	56%
Washington	92%	92%	93%	90%	94%	91%	94%	89%	86%	86%	80%	82%
West Virginia	135%	137%	139%	129%	134%	138%	133%	141%	138%	142%	141%	138%
Wisconsin	89%	88%	94%	88%	91%	99%	99%	99%	91%	90%	84%	87%
Wyoming	71%	80%	76%	75%	76%	86%	79%	87%	92%	89%	76%	85%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tabl	e 4-13						
			U.S. De	epartme	nt of Hoi	meland S	Security	Grants:				
Sta	ates' Per	^r Capita I	Expendit	tures as	a Perce	ntage of	the Nati	onal Per	Capita	Expendi [.]	ture	
				Federa	I Fiscal Y	ears 1996	to 2007					
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 15.17	\$ 17.89	\$ 26.36	\$ 31.68	\$ 17.70
Alabama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76%	103%	249%	129%	99%
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54%	259%	161%	187%	221%
Arizona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	60%	32%	19%	23%
Arkansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88%	155%	90%	85%	50%
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	99%	145%	78%	50%	55%
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	70%	100%	27%	38%
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	91%	37%	27%	32%
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33%	190%	92%	174%	80%
Florida	R	efer to Fe	deral Eme	ergency N	lanageme	ent Agency	y	65%	87%	344%	289%	191%
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	59%	42%	23%	31%
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	114%	95%	38%	32%
Idaho	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12%	103%	56%	31%	33%
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	56%	38%	28%	32%
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	69%	52%	18%	28%
lowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	87%	56%	23%	74%
Kansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36%	89%	94%	620%	600%
Kentucky	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55%	125%	60%	35%	41%
Louisiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	227%	114%	333%	1406%	1678%
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27%	139%	88%	53%	109%
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26%	105%	46%	28%	27%
Massachusetts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	101%	74%	34%	23%
Michigan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%	57%	31%	24%	26%
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48%	86%	38%	19%	43%
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59%	92%	139%	952%	1031%
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43%	90%	40%	34%	142%
Montana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24%	215%	158%	43%	54%
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	116%	76%	57%	254%
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%	107%	72%	410%	25%
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38%	126%	69%	79%	196%
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	89%	33%	21%	22%
New Mexico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38%	157%	58%	16%	126%
New York	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	840%	111%	278%	50%	102%
North Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92%	117%	59%	35%	38%
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	160%	316%	941%	172%	127%
Ohio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	67%	56%	23%	32%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65%	97%	61%	48%	146%
Oregon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57%	121%	76%	38%	53%

Sta	ates' Per	Capita E	U.S. De xpenditu	ires as a	Percent	tage of	the Nati			Expendi	ture	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	Fiscal Yea	ars 1996 2001	2007	2003	2004	2005	2006	20
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 15.17		\$ 26.36	\$ 31.68	\$ 17.7
Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	67%	60%	25%	44
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29%	126%	87%	33%	50
South Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%	80%	39%	29%	24
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59%	183%	103%	115%	94
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	98%	47%	33%	32
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	69%	76%	57%	166%	66
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	99%	64%	72%	25
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32%	214%	120%	73%	45
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	188%	53%	26%	48
Washington	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34%	101%	62%	31%	48
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	77%	195%	121%	53%	45
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%	64%	38%	19%	23
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81%	227%	130%	52%	89
Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Note: The percentages lis	-	-	- -	-	-			34% 77% 17% 81%	101% 195% 64% 227%	62% 121% 38%	31% 53% 19%	

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tabl	e 4-14						
		U.S. I	Departm	ent of Ho	ousing a	nd Urba	n Develo	opment C	Grants:			
St	ates' Per		-		•			-		Expendi	ture	
		o apria			al Fiscal Y	-		••••••	• • • • • • •			
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 82.35	\$ 82.16	\$ 95.96	\$ 107.55	\$ 110.59	\$ 111.46	\$ 123.44	\$ 129.76	\$ 132.02	\$ 92.04	\$ 134.89	\$ 154.91
Alabama	87%	101%	100%	90%	87%	92%	92%	88%	89%	105%	88%	87%
Alaska	141%	274%		266%	298%	271%	220%	251%	185%	318%	197%	184%
Arizona	66%	77%		63%	59%	72%	73%	69%	63%	64%	56%	43%
Arkansas	94%	78%	73%	69%	72%	71%	71%	74%	81%	73%	66%	63%
California	96%	92%		96%	92%	93%	97%	97%	99%	82%	93%	88%
Colorado	93%	69%	71%	73%	91%	80%	75%	81%	73%	62%	75%	61%
Connecticut	116%	141%	142%	146%	140%	146%	140%	147%	146%	146%	162%	147%
Delaware	73%	95%		100%	101%	109%	104%	99%	103%	108%	99%	88%
Florida	63%	67%	68%	65%	63%	61%	64%	65%	61%	63%	62%	61%
Georgia	95%	87%	83%	90%	94%	81%	84%	81%	78%	82%	90%	78%
Hawaii	120%	129%	138%	123%	132%	105%	93%	112%	100%	100%	108%	93%
Idaho	93%	45%	53%	52%	55%	45%	45%	50%	48%	49%	39%	36%
Illinois	146%	134%		130%	124%	128%	130%	122%	130%	142%	133%	118%
Indiana	68%	65%		70%	73%	73%	71%	71%	72%	69%	70%	61%
lowa	88%	61%		58%	62%	69%	59%	64%	63%	61%	65%	55%
Kansas	79%	55%		49%	55%	58%	56%	62%	62%	61%	60%	48%
Kentucky	90%	90%	90%	89%	88%	108%	97%	91%	96%	101%	88%	76%
Louisiana	102%	92%	96%	96%	95%	101%	103%	98%	96%	104%	104%	769%
Maine	194%	109%	118%	115%	138%	124%	112%	121%	118%	132%	136%	114%
Maryland	107%	116%		113%	116%	105%	104%	108%	114%	125%	129%	11%
Massachusetts	194%	174%	178%	190%	187%	204%	190%	216%	221%	218%	227%	205%
Michigan	65%	71%	71%	77%	78%	76%	73%	73%	72%	81%	74%	71%
Minnesota	83%	88%		91%	94%	95%	92%	95%	94%	103%	94%	83%
Mississippi	102%	80%	84%	81%	85%	82%	81%	82%	82%	88%	85%	429%
Missouri	109%	88%	79%	80%	80%	98%	84%	97%	94%	79%	78%	71%
Montana	90%	113%	106%	100%	121%	111%	96%	92%	101%	111%	97%	83%
Nebraska	88%	81%		66%	70%	74%	70%	71%	71%	73%	66%	57%
Nevada New Hompohiro	89% 129%	83% 80%	70% 89%	62% 88%	65% 86%	63% 92%	70% 87%	64% 99%	58% 95%	53% 84%	57% 104%	51% 89%
New Hampshire New Jersey	129%	137%		88% 141%	86% 146%	92% 145%	87% 130%	99% 134%	95% 132%	84% 134%	104%	89% 126%
New Mexico	87%	92%	97%	86%	87%	79%	78%	79%	76%	70%	72%	60%
New York	173%	<u>92%</u> 211%	97% 210%	207%	193%	19%	220%	217%	213%	209%	217%	188%
North Carolina	68%	70%	76%	<u>207%</u> 71%	80%	76%	74%	73%	213% 74%	209%	72%	63%
North Dakota	139%	131%		197%	181%	115%	109%	108%	132%	110%	105%	96%
Ohio	88%	103%		97%	101%	105%	109%	105%	106%	111%	105%	103%
Ohlo Oklahoma	91%	103%	99%	103%	103%	105%	104%	103%	100%	99%	88%	70%
		79%			85%	82%	83%	76%	79%	99% 73%	80% 71%	63%
Oregon	88%	79%	79%	81%	85%	82%	83%	76%	79%	/3%	/1%	63%

St	ates' Pe		-	tures as	ousing a a Perce		n Develo the Nati to 2007	-		Expendi	ture	
Federal FY	1996		1998		2000		2002	2003		2005	2006	
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 82.35	\$ 82.16	\$ 95.96	\$ 107.55	\$ 110.59	\$ 111.46	\$ 123.44	\$ 129.76	\$ 132.02	\$ 92.04	\$ 134.89	\$ 154.91
Pennsylvania	124%	124%	127%	124%	124%	121%	119%	112%	117%	126%	111%	99%
Rhode Island	233%	192%	193%	197%	215%	190%	183%	211%	187%	207%	241%	185%
South Carolina	79%	68%	68%	70%	69%	70%	69%	68%	69%	75%	69%	63%
South Dakota	129%	149%	160%	150%	157%	118%	115%	125%	123%	143%	115%	96%
Tennessee	84%	85%	85%	84%	79%	84%	88%	81%	78%	92%	81%	70%
Texas	72%	65%	68%	70%	71%	68%	64%	63%	65%	60%	67%	56%
Utah	63%	41%	40%	45%	55%	45%	47%	43%	52%	41%	41%	32%
Vermont	133%	88%	90%	106%	113%	128%	122%	129%	111%	105%	140%	101%
Virginia	73%	75%	68%	73%	73%	72%	77%	71%	75%	84%	88%	77%
Washington	70%	76%	79%	75%	77%	72%	82%	86%	86%	84%	77%	68%
West Virginia	114%	86%	85%	91%	95%	110%	105%	94%	90%	121%	87%	79%
Wisconsin	76%	74%	68%	71%	73%	78%	69%	72%	72%	75%	72%	59%
Wyoming	120%	63%	64%	68%	88%	62%	59%	61%	57%	58%	56%	50%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-15						
				U.S. Dep	artment	of Interi	or Grant	ts:				
St	ates' Per	r Canita I		•					r Canita	Expendi	ture	
		oupitu	слропа			'ears 1996			oupitu	слрена		
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 6.34	\$ 10.04	\$ 8.79	\$ 8.97	\$ 9.69	\$ 11.24	\$ 10.30	\$ 11.63	\$ 12.24	\$ 12.99	\$ 15.26	\$ 13.34
Alabama	47%	38%	82%	77%	73%	73%	58%	59%	64%	59%	59%	62%
Alaska	1094%	1965%	2587%	2350%	3307%		2435%	2569%	1985%		1637%	1832%
Arizona	188%	587%	373%	578%	353%	372%	466%	343%	294%	142%	118%	101%
Arkansas	74%	49%	54%	56%	49%	50%	63%	61%	59%	72%	60%	71%
California	40%	36%	53%	59%	59%	51%	57%	52%	38%	27%	33%	40%
Colorado	252%	255%	235%	234%	207%	250%	180%	219%	250%	234%	339%	343%
Connecticut	26%	18%	23%	22%	21%	13%	27%	15%	13%	28%	19%	14%
Delaware	91%	58%	68%	63%	65%	42%	78%	53%	64%	53%	38%	36%
Florida	16%	17%	15%	14%	13%	12%	16%	15%	14%	13%	10%	13%
Georgia	30%	18%	11%	18%	19%	13%	16%	17%	14%	15%	14%	15%
Hawaii	43%	44%	48%	51%	53%	36%	54%	60%	154%	136%	57%	181%
Idaho	316%	276%	289%	257%	250%	265%	279%	258%	242%	198%	184%	210%
Illinois	22%	24%	17%	17%	21%	16%	22%	17%	15%	16%	15%	16%
Indiana	47%	31%	23%	22%	40%	20%	28%	29%	21%	25%	24%	22%
lowa	46%	38%	32%	45%	29%	30%	39%	31%	32%	35%	36%	32%
Kansas	90%	59%	51%	58%	60%	44%	57%	60%	52%	51%	48%	47%
Kentucky	73%	91%	117%	104%	109%	73%	112%	86%	89%	84%	54%	83%
Louisiana	105%	37%	92%	72%	89%	107%	59%	85%	99%	79%	70%	84%
Maine	79%	142%	143%	118%	126%	103%	163%	115%	130%	87%	92%	88%
Maryland	27%	26%	20%	21%	18%	14%	30%	18%	20%	15%	12%	17%
Massachusetts	15%	12%	12%	14%	12%	10%	15%	11%	20%	16%	18%	12%
Michigan	40%	41%	36%	40%	41%	38%	39%	37%	38%	37%	34%	37%
Minnesota	104%	112%	119%	88%	129%	86%	106%	85%	81%	73%	66%	69%
Mississippi	138%	121%	86%	65%	57%	49%	52%	49%	60%	48%	41%	52%
Missouri	55%	107%	49%	37%	37%	26%	31%	27%	31%	27%	33%	35%
Montana	1112%	1091%	1126%	978%	960%	1201%	1196%	1121%	1188%	904%	830%	782%
Nebraska	69%	87%	87%	92%	100%	84%	96%	92%	97%		63%	71%
Nevada	222%	206%	244%	291%	244%	225%	248%	316%	377%		662%	222%
New Hampshire	49%	36%	45%	48%	43%	47%	42%	48%	37%	49%	40%	35%
New Jersey	11%	8%	8%	12%	8%	9%	9%	8%	7%		9%	8%
New Mexico	1681%	1804%	1769%	1651%	1780%	2453%	1550%	1845%	2029%		2152%	2324%
New York	7%	8%	11%	11%	6%	12%	7%	13%	7%		11%	8%
North Carolina	43%	31%	24%	19%	18%	19%	26%	26%	21%	17%	17%	18%
North Dakota	1130%	1094%	837%	868%	824%		816%	906%	830%		590%	430%
Ohio	24%	21%	19%	18%	21%	16%	17%	20%	28%		20%	20%
Oklahoma	109%	198%	241%	190%	224%	225%	221%	173%	161%	137%	123%	137%
Oregon	523%	357%	311%	298%	272%	75%	382%	347%	320%	326%	287%	327%

St	Table 4-15 U.S. Department of Interior Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2007														
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007			
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 6.34	\$ 10.04	\$ 8.79	\$ 8.97	\$ 9.69	\$ 11.24	\$ 10.30	\$ 11.63	\$ 12.24	\$ 12.99	\$ 15.26	\$ 13.34			
Pennsylvania	73%	50%	52%	43%	42%	33%	29%	37%	40%	40%	37%	43%			
Rhode Island	71%	61%	67%	67%	49%	51%	67%	59%	49%	41%	39%	59%			
South Carolina	30%	23%	27%	30%	24%	17%	19%	19%	23%	26%	17%	28%			
South Dakota	1596%	1659%	1204%	1712%	1196%	1145%	1498%	1467%	1344%	651%	770%	313%			
Tennessee	38%	16%	25%	24%	26%	22%	27%	28%	25%	31%	25%	27%			
Texas	27%	22%	31%	30%	32%	31%	23%	22%	27%	21%	19%	22%			
Utah	433%	290%	342%	325%	328%	450%	348%	429%	375%	388%	582%	573%			
Vermont	108%	87%	91%	78%	71%	63%	89%	81%	86%	87%	64%	102%			
Virginia	48%	36%	35%	37%	34%	28%	30%	31%	29%	31%	25%	29%			
Washington	161%	211%	193%	168%	172%	200%	183%	160%	154%	128%	106%	130%			
West Virginia	311%	251%	238%	237%	254%	192%	229%	213%	235%	208%	181%	170%			
Wisconsin	89%	85%	93%	69%	86%	73%	80%	69%	65%	42%	41%	45%			
Wyoming	7843%	5926%	6867%	6541%	7561%	8864%	7937%	8905%	10006%	14119%	14587%	14124%			

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-10	6							
				U.S. Dep	artment	of Ju	ustic	ce Grant	ts:					
St	ates' Per	Canita		•						r Canita	- Exper	ndit	ture	
		oupitu			al Fiscal V	-				oupid				
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2001	2002	2003	200	4 2	005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 7.21	\$ 9.61	\$ 11.57	\$ 17.54	\$ 12.23		3.12	\$ 15.71	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.9			\$ 19.16	\$ 11.71
Alabama	104%	80%	80%	72%	75%		66%	64%	87%	799		4%	23%	. 99%
Alaska	128%	131%	177%	207%	276%	5 2	240%	221%	302%	3459	6 156	2%	225%	410%
Arizona	173%	141%	136%	125%	94%		99%	119%	100%	1059		2%	52%	115%
Arkansas	102%	77%	86%	72%	93%	,	74%	72%	85%	1019	6 4	0%	23%	61%
California	101%	193%	172%	168%	102%	5 1	138%	158%	100%	1079	6 4	7%	59%	98%
Colorado	87%	85%	87%	94%	105%	5 1	110%	98%	97%	839	% 37	7%	35%	96%
Connecticut	79%	56%	51%	84%	65%	5 1	102%	87%	88%	1669		9%	284%	72%
Delaware	149%	141%	196%	138%	163%	· 1	147%	92%	112%	999	6 4	8%	105%	104%
Florida	113%	107%	99%	112%	98%	12	27%	106%	100%	97%	63	3%	57%	100%
Georgia	90%	84%	77%	80%	109%	5 1	125%	119%	141%	1359	% 9	7%	29%	144%
Hawaii	68%	73%	78%	96%	90%		93%	90%	93%	1029	% 10	1%	248%	153%
Idaho	98%	85%	81%	84%	88%)	87%	108%	96%	1039	6 4	1%	26%	107%
Illinois	109%	87%	99%	89%	82%)	91%	85%	81%	669	6 3	5%	31%	87%
Indiana	77%	67%	55%	53%	44%)	68%	50%	89%	729	-	1%	112%	51%
Iowa	75%	72%	79%	72%	83%)	84%	81%	88%	829	6 16	7%	35%	71%
Kansas	70%	61%	104%	70%	79%		73%	80%	108%	1019	6 4	0%	81%	73%
Kentucky	77%	65%	68%	65%	82%		85%	77%	104%	1089	% 10	9%	53%	91%
Louisiana	96%	74%	93%	84%	99%		85%	75%	89%	799	6 4	4%	95%	94%
Maine	74%	62%	88%	87%	130%		88%	104%	111%	1009	6 8	5%	252%	114%
Maryland	83%	99%	85%	89%	112%		88%	95%	165%	1319	63	0%	84%	187%
Massachusetts	121%	91%	103%	116%	93%		96%	101%	102%	839		0%	36%	108%
Michigan	87%	57%	75%	81%	106%	b	74%	60%	80%	719		6%	157%	57%
Minnesota	79%	59%	70%	70%	80%		74%	85%	78%	909	6 4	9%	37%	75%
Mississippi	99%	65%	81%	114%	86%		71%	55%	112%	1159	-	0%	169%	122%
Missouri	142%	98%	101%	76%	86%		62%	70%	89%	809		6%	56%	89%
Montana	101%	107%	154%	85%	154%		176%	163%	262%	2269			1071%	168%
Nebraska	95%	88%	76%	94%	128%		87%	83%	113%			0%	49%	132%
Nevada	72%	79%	127%	95%	140%		144%	140%	125%	1329		2%	225%	136%
New Hampshire	76%	77%	98%	91%	119%		91%	146%	223%	1559		7%	449%	146%
New Jersey	131%	77%	81%	97%	82%		64%	65%	89%			1%	39%	78%
New Mexico	158%	125%	126%	112%	108%		138%	160%	213%	2199	-	9%	61%	138%
New York	123%	147%	133%	128%	202%		146%	151%	113%	1479		7%	56%	115%
North Carolina	71%	69%	86%	90%	95%		84%	70%	86%	699		3%	38%	74%
North Dakota	176%	109%	170%	156%	138%		155%	115%	164%			7%	82%	214%
Ohio	92%	63%	72%	66%	72%		64%	56%	60%	719		1%	29%	59%
Oklahoma	90%	65%	83%	80%	92%		76%	87%	107%	909		4%	84%	97%
Oregon	75%	95%	87%	115%	107%	5 1	108%	82%	94%	939	6 4	9%	63%	80%

St	Table 4-16 U.S. Department of Justice Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2007 deral FY 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2007														
Federal FY	19	96	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	20		
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 7.2	21	\$ 9.61	\$ 11.57	\$ 17.54	\$ 12.23	\$ 13.12	\$ 15.71	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.95	\$ 13.09	\$ 19.16	\$ 11.		
Pennsylvania	64	1%	63%	63%	56%	60%	60%	59%	76%	65%	31%	79%	103		
Rhode Island	108	3%	110%	96%	120%	104%	95%	140%	118%	133%	204%	137%	202		
South Carolina	105	5%	77%	104%	91%	102%	102%	81%	108%	116%	47%	52%	118		
South Dakota	142	2%	121%	95%	95%	151%	178%	193%	310%	343%	132%	553%	20		
Tennessee	111	1%	80%	86%	83%	86%	73%	70%	86%	80%	125%	35%	13		
Texas	95	5%	99%	85%	94%	74%	92%	90%	71%	70%	50%	342%	69		
Utah	134	1%	91%	97%	117%	155%	89%	150%	89%	101%	25%	46%	6		
Vermont	95	5%	119%	141%	317%	246%	176%	204%	236%	218%	128%	90%	220		
Virginia	97	7%	71%	71%	63%	108%	102%	101%	164%	149%	116%	137%	15		
Washington	109	9%	94%	107%	98%	105%	102%	106%	98%	106%	56%	222%	99		
West Virginia	94	1%	80%	89%	93%	162%	137%	119%	170%	132%	34%	53%	29		
Wisconsin	81	1%	62%	76%	68%	63%	75%	77%	80%	82%	39%	72%	9.		
Wyoming	81	1%	110%	27%	140%	231%	158%	180%	195%	145%	5690%	515%	10		

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-17							
				U.S. De	partmen	t of Labo	or Grants	s:					
St	atos' Poi	[,] Canita				entage of			. Canita	Evnendi	turo		
		σαρπα	слрени			ears 1996			Capita	слрени			
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 24.18	\$ 19.50	\$ 24.35	\$ 25.71	\$ 17.78	\$ 25.46	\$ 27.47	\$ 25.77	\$ 28.12	\$ 27.22	\$ 29.98	\$ 27.18	
Alabama	φ 24.10 86%	92%	φ 24.00 89%	<u>ψ 23.71</u> 87%	103%		φ 21. 41 106%	<u>ψ 20.77</u> 107%	108%	ψ 21.22 101%	¥ 23.30 81%	φ 21.10 82%	
Alaska	303%	230%	310%	290%	352%		325%		307%	296%	283%	300%	
Arizona	91%	100%	95%	92%	81%		93%	82%	86%	77%	70%	64%	
Arkansas	89%	98%	89%	99%	110%	127%	92%	102%	94%	96%	90%	98%	
California	144%	155%	139%	140%	135%		125%		118%	105%	101%	102%	
Colorado	87%	82%	78%	73%	81%		76%	76%	80%	82%	76%	85%	
Connecticut	135%	122%	119%	126%	115%	106%	109%	108%	107%	110%	104%	111%	
Delaware	101%	87%	111%	117%	126%	107%	106%	97%	94%	109%	92%	141%	
Florida	83%	76%	72%	68%	64%	62%	62%	56%	58%	67%	56%	52%	
Georgia	71%	66%	68%	71%	95%	71%	71%	70%	69%	69%	61%	76%	
Hawaii	119%	139%	157%	163%	171%	179%	154%	158%	135%	124%	125%	112%	
Idaho	119%	119%	126%	127%	135%	129%	136%	113%	153%	124%	90%	101%	
Illinois	101%	93%	99%	101%	102%	98%	112%	107%	107%	107%	105%	111%	
Indiana	67%	61%	79%	74%	67%	66%	74%	62%	74%	89%	75%	105%	
lowa	72%	79%	87%	90%	73%		75%	76%	83%	83%	85%	96%	
Kansas	67%	65%	69%	76%	77%		72%	79%	73%	70%	71%	104%	
Kentucky	82%	93%	94%	91%	95%		93%	99%	109%	112%	96%	110%	
Louisiana	95%	97%	105%	113%	94%		97%	104%	99%	105%	363%	106%	
Maine	124%	138%	132%	138%	110%		144%	125%	163%	147%	116%	134%	
Maryland	88%	75%	102%	97%	100%		102%		121%	92%	110%	125%	
Massachusetts	109%	103%	95%	95%	92%		98%	94%	94%	103%	101%	92%	
Michigan	102%	99%	83%	87%	108%		111%	107%	120%	128%	130%	171%	
Minnesota	83%	82%	77%	83%	81%		110%		87%	88%	78%	92%	
Mississippi	92%	93%	91%	90%	105%		134%	109%	107%	114%	252%	162%	
Missouri	88%	77%	76%	78%	81%		81%		77%	92%	78%	91%	
Montana	124%	119%	135%	160%	175%		142%	119%	156%	123%	110%	127%	
Nebraska	67%	53%	83%	83%	78%		82%	55%	68%	76%	71%	71%	
Nevada	115%	109%	119%	118%	108%		95%	83%	92%	89%	70%	72%	
New Hampshire	91%	98%	87%	92%	84%		90%	84%	83%	77%	71%	76%	
New Jersey	109%	111%	115%	113%	102%		96%	71%	83%	103%	90%	90%	
New Mexico	102%	114%	125%	126%	142%		134%	132%	109%	94%	89%	102%	
New York	106%	104%	111%	104%	110%		103%	113%	98%	102%	92%	94%	
North Carolina	71%	72%	79%	82%	71%		94%	89%	114%	122%	127%	126%	
North Dakota	140%	139%	160%	160%	266%		155%		138%	139%	120%	119%	
Ohio	88%	82% 97%	87%	85%	87%		89% 71%	97% 80%	81%	106%	95%	107%	
Oklahoma	92%		96%	99%	74%				80%	79%	71%	78%	
Oregon	116%	125%	128%	124%	146%	163%	160%	137%	139%	148%	153%	147%	
St	ates' Pe	er C	apita		tures as	partmen a Perce	le 4-17 It of Labe Intage of Years 1990	f the Nat	s: ional Pe	r Capita	Expendi	ture	
--------------------	----------	--------	-------	----------	----------	--------------------	--	-----------	----------------	----------	----------	----------	----------
Federal FY	199	6	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 24.18	\$	19.50	\$ 24.35	\$ 25.71	\$ 17.78	\$ 25.46	\$ 27.47	\$ 25.77	\$ 28.12	\$ 27.22	\$ 29.98	\$ 27.18
Pennsylvania	106%	, 0	114%	107%	108%	98%	105%	110%	109%	107%	117%	107%	104%
Rhode Island	154%	, 0	173%	143%	135%	122%	138%	116%	118%	100%	121%	121%	131%
South Carolina	90%	, 0	98%	86%	88%	93%	87%	86%	84%	92%	101%	101%	134%
South Dakota	104%	, 0	87%	115%	117%	137%	162%	127%	149%	157%	133%	122%	115%
Tennessee	65%	, 0	73%	78%	73%	95%	93%	74%	90%	98%	83%	86%	95%
Texas	84%	, 0	84%	87%	84%	80%	89%	84%	82%	86%	82%	87%	74%
Utah	102%	, 0	93%	84%	113%	108%	91%	89%	90%	82%	108%	82%	85%
Vermont	134%	, 0	142%	150%	162%	127%	155%	105%	147%	145%	111%	116%	1129%
Virginia	74%	, 0	72%	78%	78%	73%	73%	67%	135%	117%	85%	110%	32%
Washington	129%	, 0	135%	132%	139%	129%	129%	141%	157%	151%	138%	122%	126%
West Virginia	144%	, 0	134%	133%	141%	136%	162%	156%	129%	106%	134%	110%	294%
Wisconsin	85%	, 0	78%	82%	79%	92%	94%	111%	104%	133%	120%	109%	45%
Wyoming	160%	/ 0	130%	144%	157%	205%	165%	156%	148%	142%	149%	165%	144%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-18						
		Natio	onal Fou	ndation	on the A	rts and	the Hum	anities C	Grants:			
St	ates' Pei	r Capita	Expendi	tures as	a Perce	ntage o	f the Nat	ional Pe	r Capita	Expendi	ture	
					al Fiscal Y	-			p			
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998					2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.91
Alabama	129%	137%	110%	119%	168%	124%	121%	131%	129%	86%	94%	83%
Alaska	720%	776%	752%	886%	527%	716%	618%	982%	755%	533%	364%	483%
Arizona	178%	125%	129%	150%	120%	102%	136%		120%	103%	120%	89%
Arkansas	180%	163%	188%	182%	170%	147%	156%	315%	155%	83%	102%	95%
California	38%	39%	43%	46%	39%	32%	33%	26%	45%	73%	70%	71%
Colorado	73%	119%	110%	132%	125%	107%	115%	145%	180%	97%	117%	116%
Connecticut	125%	107%	192%	17%	62%	376%			36%	130%	104%	109%
Delaware	1132%	510%	566%	417%	742%	569%	771%	395%	688%	205%	235%	214%
Florida	47%	53%	38%	49%	30%	44%	60%	36%	40%	73%	71%	67%
Georgia	87%	69%	68%	11%	131%	59%		50%	49%	76%	71%	83%
Hawaii	399%	421%	537%	537%	353%	407%	372%	401%	381%	261%	223%	264%
Idaho	393%	336%		493%	348%	398%		426%	390%	178%	159%	137%
Illinois	57%	45%	52%	54%	53%	53%	50%	50%	45%	113%	105%	143%
Indiana	90%	81%	82%	87%	73%	106%			93%	74%	84%	99%
lowa	215%	135%	186%	192%	202%	202%		171%	195%	174%	149%	142%
Kansas	208%	254%	187%	169%	102%	179%	166%		233%	111%	145%	98%
Kentucky	114%	134%	146%	131%	127%	136%			140%	81%	91%	87%
Louisiana	111%	92%	115%	136%	127%	124%		113%	149%	102%	106%	97%
Maine	269%	342%	293%	357%	446%	371%		360%	519%	182%	213%	254%
Maryland	87%	128%		114%	100%	129%			93%	87%	103%	87%
Massachusetts	89%	106%	61%	101%	85%	88%	109%	102%	104%	136%	131%	117%
Michigan	2%	115%	22%	106%	62%	62%		64%	50%	88%	91%	92%
Minnesota	80%	114%		70%	135%	103%			118%	95%	107%	89%
Mississippi	160%	191%	134%	248%	148%	269%		216%	166%	88%	103%	97%
Missouri	112%	124%		90%	108%	93%			89%	115%	112%	108%
Montana	566%	338%	411%	719%	413%	742%			503%	241%	311%	262%
Nebraska	364%	237%		202%	408%	344%			347%	191%	177%	136%
Nevada	297%	257%		288%	234%	242%			175%	105%	143%	107%
New Hampshire	369%	327%	512%	356%	437%	313%			363%	165%	158%	190%
New Jersey	86%	103%		52%	107%	19%			47%	81%	83%	98%
New Mexico	285%	292%		258%	249%	233%			205%	103%	196%	129%
New York	39%	36%	30%	24%	61%	51%		30%	42%	110%	103%	96%
North Carolina	96%	53%		90%	82%	86%			99%	92%	103%	76%
North Dakota	563%	618%		731%	777%	772%			794%	267%	224%	458%
Ohio	71%	32%		78%	33%	66%			51%	89%	84%	75%
Oklahoma	131%	174%	134%	201%	136%	93%		156%	139%	172%	104%	121%
Oregon	183%	41%	274%	166%	135%	155%	129%	70%	140%	104%	91%	134%

St	ates' Pe		onal Fou Expendi	tures as	on the A a Perce		the Nat			Expendi	ture	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	20
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.9
Pennsylvania	34%	74%	52%	59%	49%	47%	51%	36%	74%	109%	111%	110
Rhode Island	453%	153%	478%	588%	0%	907%	470%	402%	513%	204%	196%	229
South Carolina	217%	239%	122%	105%	174%	126%	142%	197%	195%	86%	118%	87
South Dakota	292%	1010%	583%	451%	665%	539%	781%	921%	722%	230%	266%	266
Tennessee	138%	81%	121%	36%	90%	67%	160%	88%	55%	154%	88%	102
Texas	43%	42%	47%	18%	54%	14%	45%	30%	52%	67%	66%	89
Utah	201%	225%	137%	294%	237%	244%	217%	214%	224%	110%	136%	121
Vermont	602%	900%	662%	683%	192%	748%	804%	767%	141%	306%	385%	381
Virginia	59%	51%	139%	78%	71%	93%	15%	105%	82%	93%	90%	88
Washington	110%	99%	102%	87%	85%	85%	88%	110%	91%	94%	90%	100
West Virginia	225%	214%	244%	276%	242%	242%	251%	302%	270%	128%	134%	127
Wisconsin	79%	109%	105%	119%	103%	87%	109%	87%	107%	102%	107%	81
Wyoming	1053%	717%	880%	1429%	1443%	1076%	754%	833%	1016%	247%	515%	314

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-19						
			Neighbo	orhood F	Reinvest	ment Co	poratio	on Grants	S:			
St	ates' Pe	r Canita	Expendi				-			Fxnendi	ture	
		oupitu	слрени		al Fiscal Y				oupita	слрени		
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998				2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.25
Alabama	38%	67%	Ŧ	31%	49%	26%	14%	13%	16%	17%	21%	20%
Alaska	746%			816%	431%		393%		374%		406%	318%
Arizona	98%	94%		98%	55%	44%	46%	67%	55%	65%	54%	43%
Arkansas	0%	28%		81%	101%	77%	77%	97%	49%	62%	23%	42%
California	256%	207%	220%	176%	196%	178%	160%	168%	196%	170%	157%	161%
Colorado	95%	118%	173%	220%	275%	289%	336%	138%	189%	207%	151%	133%
Connecticut	197%	334%	162%	246%	192%	109%	147%	141%	133%	147%	103%	125%
Delaware	0%	0%	0%	28%	42%	43%	23%	15%	307%	313%	324%	210%
Florida	61%	46%	52%	56%	59%	52%	49%	60%	50%	47%	44%	53%
Georgia	60%	28%	18%	17%	26%	31%	33%	41%	59%	109%	103%	89%
Hawaii	0%	79%	34%	119%	73%	140%	105%	42%	76%	96%	113%	128%
Idaho	146%	365%	341%	431%	453%	236%	239%	538%	156%	116%	140%	126%
Illinois	63%	59%	69%	62%	76%	71%	53%	30%	54%	37%	44%	36%
Indiana	71%	47%	46%	75%	50%	54%	85%	60%	70%	71%	62%	60%
Iowa	46%			145%	123%	92%	51%	38%	49%	51%	89%	119%
Kansas	11%	85%	88%	42%	54%		101%	81%	97%	104%	100%	84%
Kentucky	13%			46%	26%	37%	74%		33%	47%	99%	131%
Louisiana	67%	39%		83%	19%	11%	53%		9%	27%	141%	104%
Maine	0%	0%	,,	9%	47%	31%	19%	44%	67%	51%	143%	89%
Maryland	348%	435%		208%	167%		123%		98%	86%	118%	110%
Massachusetts	243%	146%	201%	157%	251%	187%	273%	202%	270%	270%	209%	199%
Michigan	82%	78%		92%	40%	36%	28%	20%	16%	15%	25%	34%
Minnesota	164%			81%	75%	52%	72%		79%		157%	155%
Mississippi	8%			0%	0%	0%	13%		32%	44%	175%	131%
Missouri	117%			58%	71%		77%		80%		82%	88%
Montana	139%			367%	603%	218%	336%		151%	203%	155%	302%
Nebraska	42%	118%		41%	11%		23%		68%	45%	84%	67%
Nevada	25%			39%	45%	37%	22%		39%	53%	22%	21%
New Hampshire	116%	149%		278%	315%	350%	260%	531%	336%	407%	393%	369%
New Jersey	10%			20%	22%	25%	25%		29%	28%	21%	28%
New Mexico	331%			276%	199%	256%	186%		174%	116%	197%	140%
New York	115%			123%	143%	183%	153%	165%	150%	174%	150%	145%
North Carolina	15%			52%	32%	52%	71%		73%	64%	58%	68%
North Dakota	0%			0%	61%		108%		115%		174%	191%
Ohio	52%			99%	86%	95%	121%		131%		179%	188%
Oklahoma	74%		0=70	86%	124%	174%	131%		102%	99%	77%	81%
Oregon	55%	70%	45%	36%	45%	59%	94%	79%	115%	136%	146%	160%

St	ates' Pe	r Capita	Neighbo Expendi	tures as	Reinvest a Perce		the Nat	on Grants ional Pe		Expendi	ture	
Federal FY	1996	i 1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	20
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.2
Pennsylvania	35%	69%	42%	61%	50%	53%	30%	25%	28%	36%	29%	33
Rhode Island	166%	48%	223%	147%	269%	295%	292%	451%	265%	357%	470%	343
South Carolina	8%	19%	0%	0%	30%	30%	6%	0%	0%	0%	16%	47
South Dakota	25%	238%	126%	139%	122%	173%	141%	152%	107%	133%	173%	174
Tennessee	12%	23%	62%	126%	111%	116%	172%	108%	97%	78%	74%	67
Texas	58%	69%	68%	61%	82%	84%	65%	85%	77%	63%	54%	49
Utah	92%	124%	104%	187%	73%	137%	94%	70%	56%	57%	68%	111
Vermont	475%	878%	1160%	736%	770%	1583%	915%	1229%	1294%	1334%	951%	920
Virginia	42%	58%	47%	52%	59%	55%	116%	101%	127%	97%	75%	94
Washington	27%	12%	13%	10%	7%	27%	54%	44%	47%	59%	51%	84
West Virginia	121%	208%	206%	140%	29%	81%	215%	182%	110%	120%	118%	111
Wisconsin	102%	114%	71%	147%	63%	81%	81%	109%	68%	73%	100%	69
Wyoming	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	49%	199%	202%	156

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

						le 4-20						
	Sc	ocial Sec	urity Ad	ministra	ition - Si	uppleme	ental Sec	urity Inc	ome Gra	ints:		
St	ates' Pei	r Capita	Expendi	tures as	a Perce	entage o	f the Nat	ional Pe	^r Capita	Expendi	iture	
		-	-	Federa	al Fiscal Y	(ears 199	6 to 2007		-	-		
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	200	2002	2003	2004	2005		2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11
Alabama	129%	104%	60%	141%	164%	105%	136%	91%	162%	123%	109%	58%
Alaska	265%	33%	295%	72%	170%	239%	35%	254%	147%	291%	56%	89%
Arizona	67%	85%	45%	144%	108%				34%	79%	63%	60%
Arkansas	27%	53%	77%	85%	41%	67%	23%		40%	67%	52%	35%
California	53%	27%	104%	191%	116%	-			158%	158%	135%	152%
Colorado	21%	60%	37%	26%	23%	16%	9%	54%	32%	107%	33%	71%
Connecticut	54%	105%	85%	85%	97%			85%	66%	52%	170%	105%
Delaware	60%	47%	21%	78%	81%	123%	84%	76%	109%	187%	122%	136%
Florida	56%	85%	112%	106%	80%	75%	77%	70%	87%	111%	105%	110%
Georgia	18%	78%	33%	69%	0%				63%	109%	98%	54%
Hawaii	3%	24%	6%	1%	0%	/	5 17%	22%	17%	75%	27%	43%
Idaho	108%	87%	137%	127%	57%		96%	58%	52%	73%		71%
Illinois	183%	174%	129%	89%	76%		88%	119%	79%	124%	47%	71%
Indiana	112%	136%	92%	112%	72%				9%	23%	3%	11%
Iowa	40%	62%	34%	122%	16%	-			110%	124%	178%	167%
Kansas	116%	105%	93%	58%	14%	23%			90%	177%	96%	102%
Kentucky	148%	246%	128%	175%	183%	118%	203%		152%	229%	229%	146%
Louisiana	78%	150%	172%	105%	111%				111%	111%	207%	123%
Maine	131%	106%	312%	139%	74%	229%	5 177%		207%	238%	349%	196%
Maryland	117%	121%	48%	81%	111%				84%	210%	110%	153%
Massachusetts	101%	93%	147%	85%	79%				151%	39%	146%	172%
Michigan	117%	149%	17%	153%	70%				103%	97%	85%	112%
Minnesota	210%	76%	108%	83%	99%				118%	101%		220%
Mississippi	126%	137%	150%	158%	121%				70%	161%	76%	74%
Missouri	100%	89%	47%	55%	76%				140%	77%	116%	87%
Montana	77%	73%	131%	114%	171%		-		56%	153%	98%	101%
Nebraska	47%	0%	65%	38%	29%				19%	0%	19%	14%
Nevada	60%	106%	92%	88%	30%				26%	20%	113%	96%
New Hampshire	86%	45%	83%	60%	6%				31%	0%	0%	8%
New Jersey	61%	50%	12%	37%	32%				64%	15%	27%	21%
New Mexico	156%	113%	81%	70%	50%				181%	87%	82%	501%
New York	150%	110%	59%	34%	177%				169%	71%	103%	32%
North Carolina	89%	178%	255%	85%	112%				60%	36%	62%	59%
North Dakota	21%	2%	0%	49%	6%	-			61%	123%	143%	78%
Ohio	162%	198%	127%	141%	360%				202%	167%	185%	151%
Oklahoma	64%	73%	38%	102%	61%			1	59%	64%	39%	102%
Oregon	72%	52%	87%	33%	18%	28%	26%	7%	7%	53%	6%	147%

St			urity Ad Expendi	tures as	tion - Su	ntage of	f the Nat	-			ture	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11
Pennsylvania	111%	150%	195%	93%	110%	213%	173%	179%	149%	105%	122%	182%
Rhode Island	50%	71%	87%	0%	83%	28%	66%	36%	21%	10%	104%	68%
South Carolina	101%	72%	108%	91%	63%	73%	49%	134%	91%	175%	105%	50%
South Dakota	166%	174%	98%	90%	230%	85%	179%	243%	100%	142%	127%	226%
Tennessee	174%	265%	232%	63%	75%	97%	83%	60%	53%	69%	34%	22%
Texas	109%	87%	99%	75%	65%	69%	58%	66%	59%	71%	97%	82%
Utah	68%	23%	49%	35%	73%	51%	11%	76%	97%	13%	84%	58%
Vermont	240%	119%	142%	213%	78%	78%	230%	321%	239%	213%	306%	221%
Virginia	108%	52%	116%	102%	81%	75%	48%	65%	40%	85%	83%	94%
Washington	59%	49%	45%	108%	93%	42%	94%	32%	68%	76%	78%	76%
West Virginia	185%	31%	43%	47%	52%	78%	159%	105%	56%	18%	64%	18%
Wisconsin	101%	55%	113%	43%	124%	35%	53%	18%	16%	27%	55%	53%
Wyoming	37%	20%	37%	68%	12%	73%	56%	66%	130%	24%	35%	16%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-21	1								
				State	Justice	Instit	ute	Grants:							
St	atos' Po	r Canita	Expendi						ional Pe	or Ca	anita	Exnond	itura		
			стрени		al Fiscal Y	-					apita	слрени	iture		
Federal FY	1996	5 1997	1998		-		2001	2002	200	2	2004	2005	2006		2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02		0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.01	-	0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$	0.01
Alabama	87%	1	+	34%	26%	-	26%	13%	33%	Ŧ	8%	7%	1	Ŧ	3%
Alaska	255%			321%	151%		32%	499%			971%	673%			92%
Arizona	176%			173%	395%		38%	46%	40%	-	18%	26%	10%		49%
Arkansas	8%			2%	19%		2%	0%			0%	6%			0%
California	53%	80%	32%	43%	20%		68%	55%	1%	6 0	19%	25%	28%		5%
Colorado	598%	5 740%	347%	166%	273%	4	53%	301%	86%	6 0	690%	109%	60%		0%
Connecticut	0%	46%	69%	116%	1%		0%	0%	0%	6 0	0%	0%	0%		0%
Delaware	77%	5 11%	185%	1422%	273%		23%	156%	0%	6 0	21%	146%	19%		0%
Florida	65%	49%	21%	10%	26%	1	13%	12%	2%	, D	2%	78%	6%		10%
Georgia	136%	59%	30%	7%	81%		13%	1%	3%	6	41%	19%	17%		62%
Hawaii	41%	63%	14%	14%	214%	4	49%	5%	155%	6	109%	0%	0%		24%
Idaho	18%	5 7%	11%	106%	415%	5	51%	108%	55%	6 0	582%	323%	11%		31%
Illinois	29%	5 10%	262%	302%	291%		58%	53%	53%	6	12%	61%	358%		251%
Indiana	12%	8%	1%	1%	6%		13%	63%	2%	/ 0	17%	7%	13%	,	0%
lowa	350%	93%	30%	12%	17%		8%	13%	5%	/ 0	29%	150%	38%	,	94%
Kansas	30%	0%	39%	69%	87%		2%	5%	6%	/ 0	38%	17%	151%	,	164%
Kentucky	72%	5 113%	0%	19%	28%	1	16%	63%	4%	/ 0	83%	0%	0%		0%
Louisiana	286%	5 76%	38%	2%	15%		1%	1%	0%	/ 0	0%	3%	4%		7%
Maine	226%	1107%	290%	83%	129%	1	14%	234%	69%	6	0%	268%	24%		12%
Maryland	457%			40%	307%	1	50%	169%			208%	399%	630%		304%
Massachusetts	85%	5 1%	28%	48%	22%		75%	26%	35%	6 0	3%	2%	0%		0%
Michigan	153%	307%	90%	189%	166%	2	96%	223%	322%	6	347%	452%	452%		39%
Minnesota	20%			3%	14%		16%	67%	18%	0	132%	12%			48%
Mississippi	8%			48%	5%		34%	97%	234%	6	0%	11%	11%		5%
Missouri	75%			43%	50%		7%	1%			0%	3%			0%
Montana	24%			122%	0%		0%	0%		6 0	0%	247%	68%		556%
Nebraska	132%			42%	61%		43%	248%		-	0%	44%			326%
Nevada	466%			1169%	635%		'64%	519%			562%	159%			61%
New Hampshire	568%	785%		179%	189%		36%	168%			80%	177%			12%
New Jersey	11%			20%	16%		12%	1%			38%	18%			38%
New Mexico	136%			935%	567%	5	08%	945%			181%	16%			0%
New York	120%			95%	56%		33%	49%		_	96%	215%			148%
North Carolina	65%			8%	1%		19%	15%		-	0%	30%			257%
North Dakota	22%			124%	179%		0%	0%		-	0%	0%			122%
Ohio	68%			22%	22%		4%	1%			24%	12%			197%
Oklahoma	2%			1%	12%		11%	5%		-	0%	9%			9%
Oregon	296%	146%	8%	20%	3%		23%	43%	8%	0	0%	8%	43%		63%

St	ates' Pe	r Capita	Expendi	tures as	Justice a Perce	le 4-21 Institute Intage of Vears 1996	the Nat		r Capita	Expendi	ture	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
Pennsylvania	17%	17%	9%	9%	17%	9%	19%	1%	31%	12%	18%	54%
Rhode Island	7%	17%	13%	63%	19%	0%	236%	251%	304%	315%	30%	0%
South Carolina	295%	50%	52%	63%	1%	6%	5%	0%	8%	14%	11%	89%
South Dakota	0%	114%	118%	0%	86%	73%	66%	255%	157%	0%	0%	511%
Tennessee	182%	369%	260%	144%	418%	222%	268%	1359%	841%	540%	326%	219%
Texas	11%	25%	8%	10%	6%	17%	10%	2%	1%	40%	42%	0%
Utah	52%	0%	222%	119%	98%	8%	90%	0%	29%	62%	31%	77%
Vermont	1113%	621%	796%	411%	262%	399%	245%	582%	722%	124%	232%	126%
Virginia	144%	268%	1132%	920%	813%	1206%	1503%	1010%	598%	773%	717%	1106%
Washington	24%	84%	127%	42%	65%	134%	24%	2%	133%	20%	13%	97%
West Virginia	12%	27%	2%	50%	61%	14%	7%	572%	419%	59%	186%	320%
Wisconsin	54%	19%	27%	17%	2%	9%	25%	0%	100%	0%	0%	6%
Wyoming	15%	17%	9%	336%	152%	0%	88%	0%	0%	181%	0%	30%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tabl	e 4-22						
			U.S.	Departn	nent of T	ranspor	tation G	rants:				
St	ates' Pei	r Capita	Expendi	tures as	a Perce	ntage of	the Nati	onal Per	⁻ Capita	Expendi	ture	
		p			al Fiscal Y			••••••	• • • • • • • • •			
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 88.43	\$ 98.54	\$ 98.59	\$ 104.30	\$ 110.60	\$ 125.68	\$ 129.26	\$ 130.51	\$ 134.26	\$ 139.86	\$ 151.42	\$ 155.32
Alabama	88%	79%	80%	96%	118%	136%	145%	118%	101%	113%	136%	129%
Alaska	579%	643%	518%	528%	605%	592%	611%	759%	647%	677%	606%	688%
Arizona	77%	90%	87%	113%	101%	89%	91%	83%	88%	80%	83%	72%
Arkansas	113%	127%	132%	111%	107%	94%	136%	142%	124%	124%	121%	106%
California	86%	93%		87%	86%	86%	88%	94%	79%	76%	82%	78%
Colorado	108%	72%		111%	89%	93%	92%	86%	109%	94%	101%	102%
Connecticut	134%	139%	122%	121%	124%	117%	132%	106%	115%	86%	105%	79%
Delaware	139%	130%	150%	153%	153%	136%	126%	119%	91%	106%	99%	97%
Florida	66%	68%	63%	69%	80%	89%	84%	91%	85%	88%	86%	96%
Georgia	86%	85%	79%	98%	100%	96%	95%	85%	85%	81%	80%	102%
Hawaii	196%	191%	149%	97%	98%	156%	110%	94%	95%	121%	117%	117%
Idaho	132%	146%	124%	143%	139%	146%	151%	155%	158%	138%	136%	165%
Illinois	99%	91%		79%	91%	87%	81%	83%	63%	90%	92%	95%
Indiana	81%	88%		94%	99%	96%	81%	91%	87%	85%	82%	81%
lowa	113%	99%	109%	110%	108%	102%	107%	110%	100%	96%	98%	92%
Kansas	110%	82%	86%	128%	111%	81%	114%	113%	113%	98%	86%	136%
Kentucky	83%	92%		98%	134%	117%	116%	120%	99%	101%	109%	125%
Louisiana	89%	79%	80%	92%	99%	15%	93%	110%	104%	100%	129%	123%
Maine	95%	106%	111%	124%	129%	112%	111%	125%	122%	113%	108%	106%
Maryland	105%	109%		83%	90%	93%	103%	94%	84%	109%	121%	107%
Massachusetts	256%	212%	194%	126%	88%	90%	81%	88%	99%	80%	75%	78%
Michigan	69%	78%	79%	86%	90%	86%	78%	72%	75%	83%	81%	86%
Minnesota	80%	79%		88%	99%	100%	92%	84%	98%	87%	93%	98%
Mississippi	93%	79%	78%	105%	93%	93%	124%	124%	119%	114%	209%	226%
Missouri	90%	105%		105%	136%	120%	118%	119%	120%	113%	106%	114%
Montana	253%	217%	245%	302%	310%	265%	291%	55%	305%	238%	91%	267%
Nebraska	115%	117%		116%	116%	95%	104%	113%	127%	119%	114%	110%
Nevada	93%	135%		135%	94%	100%	83%	98%	102%	84%	109%	103%
New Hampshire	93%	103%	98%	111%	103%	101%	118%	110%	82%	95%	99%	119%
New Jersey	130%	129%		104%	117%	114%	94%	87%	116%	113%	104%	125%
New Mexico	136%	132%	117%	146%	145%	147%	139%	127%	130%	114%	98%	128%
New York	122%	116%	137%	105%	99%	105%	88%	88%	101%	111%	106%	111%
North Carolina	54%	72%	103%	101%	94%	97%	101%	90%	97%	94%	81%	81%
North Dakota	186%	247%		285%	298%	267%	298%	257%	286%	303%	281%	273%
Ohio	86%	82%		71%	77%	79%	79%	79%	82%	86%	95%	84%
Oklahoma	82%	91%		87%	97%	85%	96%	108%	118%	112%	112%	106%
Oregon	146%	165%	166%	127%	95%	109%	131%	135%	139%	109%	93%	112%

St	ates' Pe	r Capita		tures as	nent of T	ntage of	tation G the Nati to 2007		[.] Capita	Expendi	ture	
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 88.43	\$ 98.54	\$ 98.59	\$ 104.30	\$ 110.60	\$ 125.68	\$ 129.26	\$ 130.51	\$ 134.26	\$ 139.86	\$ 151.42	\$ 155.32
Pennsylvania	99%	108%	100%	91%	94%	122%	109%	113%	109%	106%	121%	102%
Rhode Island	173%	127%	165%	175%	146%	164%	160%	125%	128%	162%	183%	177%
South Carolina	84%	76%	75%	85%	90%	84%	91%	94%	131%	132%	130%	93%
South Dakota	233%	237%	257%	238%	241%	263%	245%	253%	220%	246%	231%	208%
Tennessee	92%	85%	100%	100%	93%	82%	82%	88%	95%	89%	93%	94%
Texas	89%	79%	76%	95%	98%	91%	97%	107%	109%	115%	100%	69%
Utah	105%	111%	120%	142%	134%	119%	107%	94%	101%	104%	95%	112%
Vermont	171%	173%	228%	320%	200%	220%	183%	154%	174%	163%	190%	201%
Virginia	75%	78%	86%	92%	81%	103%	110%	88%	83%	62%	66%	79%
Washington	85%	109%	101%	105%	107%	104%	99%	107%	108%	121%	90%	119%
West Virginia	162%	145%	178%	166%	182%	212%	187%	196%	177%	196%	183%	169%
Wisconsin	78%	76%	88%	92%	47%	96%	97%	96%	93%	92%	83%	91%
Wyoming	321%	307%	302%	410%	373%	315%	406%	430%	403%	423%	297%	312%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	e 4-23						
			U	I.S. Depa	artment	of Treas	ury Grar	nts:				
St	ates' Per	^r Capita I		-			•		r Capita	Expendi	ture	
		oupila				'ears 1996			eapita			
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24	\$ 17	\$ 17	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.23
Alabama	. 22%	. 12%	20%	7%	16%	33%	. 27%	100%	. 99%	33%	1%	19%
Alaska	60%	0%	25%	11%	13%	9%	31%	227%	226%	5%	93%	265%
Arizona	131%	101%	155%	102%	130%	170%	90%	95%	93%	414%	24%	43%
Arkansas	3%	0%	1%	11%	4%	3%	20%	98%	98%	1%	0%	29%
California	102%	30%	71%	87%	149%	79%	67%	96%	96%	69%	30%	86%
Colorado	26%	0%	17%	26%	21%	33%	25%	95%	94%	18%	8%	31%
Connecticut	49%	0%	11%	9%	60%	35%	20%	98%	98%	3%	50%	26%
Delaware	0%	0%	106%	55%	38%	15%	14%	180%	179%	5%	2%	28%
Florida	327%	848%	398%	518%	174%	230%	394%	96%	94%	136%	264%	147%
Georgia	11%	43%	40%	11%	26%	35%	169%	95%	93%	47%	96%	32%
Hawaii	0%	0%	131%	50%	108%	87%	32%	117%	118%	63%	212%	211%
Idaho	9%	2%	7%	27%	14%	28%	28%	108%	106%	207%	16%	50%
Illinois	105%	2%	58%	125%	112%	121%	59%	99%	100%	38%	108%	79%
Indiana	12%	9%	40%	8%	22%	27%	29%	98%	99%	57%	27%	26%
lowa	0%	0%	16%	8%	7%	0%	1%	100%	100%	27%	19%	3%
Kansas	5%	0%	17%	5%	8%	19%	25%	99%	99%	10%	2%	5%
Kentucky	17%	0%	13%	26%	9%	14%	49%	98%	99%	138%	27%	32%
Louisiana	21%	11%	28%	28%	31%	21%	421%	99%	100%	17%	149%	17%
Maine	84%	34%	1%	67%	7%	2%	0%	113%	113%	13%	26%	221%
Maryland	128%	0%	91%	93%	174%	77%	75%	99%	97%	133%	143%	124%
Massachusetts	0%	0%	63%	24%	15%	43%	92%	100%	101%	43%	22%	65%
Michigan	26%	0%	30%	10%	60%	63%	144%	99%	100%	50%	122%	47%
Minnesota	7%	1%	1%	7%	4%	14%	9%	97%	97%	1%	39%	5%
Mississippi	95%	0%	8%	15%	9%	55%	43%	99%	99%	62%	101%	6%
Missouri	3%	0%	20%	68%	24%	56%	29%	98%	98%	7%	22%	19%
Montana	26%	5%	468%	302%	292%	10%	19%	161%	160%	48%	91%	61%
Nebraska	28%	0%	105%	62%	36%	58%	30%	101%	100%	15%	9%	20%
Nevada	0%	0%	22%	51%	22%	48%	24%	90%	87%	18%	0%	27%
New Hampshire	0%	5%	3%	2%	1%	48%	56%	114%	114%	0%	19%	5%
New Jersey	179%	379%	109%	96%	189%	91%	52%	99%	100%	139%	129%	53%
New Mexico	49%	1%	69%	78%	124%	62%	80%	98%	97%	30%	7%	3%
New York	474%	351%	349%	313%	396%	420%	238%	102%	102%	325%	228%	266%
North Carolina	73%	37%	121%	65%	39%	32%	107%	96%	96%	191%	136%	138%
North Dakota	12%	0%	6%	9%	36%	273%	492%	232%	233%	1%	2%	0%
Ohio	0%	0%	12%	31%	25%	53%	19%	99%	101%	25%	10%	104%
Oklahoma	5%	0%	44%	4%	5%	4%	3%	98%	99%	17%	6%	4%
Oregon	42%	29%	13%	55%	51%	89%	127%	98%	97%	99%	65%	86%

Table 4-23 U.S. Department of Treasury Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2007													
Federal FY	19	96	1997	1998				2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.1	3	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24	\$ 17	\$ 17	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.23
Pennsylvania	11	%	1%	78%	41%	26%	70%	25%	99%	100%	26%	120%	29%
Rhode Island	C)%	0%	0%	3%	12%	227%	30%	137%	138%	219%	65%	32%
South Carolina	C)%	42%	24%	10%	13%	18%	22%	98%	98%	97%	19%	51%
South Dakota	74	1%	0%	0%	24%	3%	4%	9%	192%	193%	6%	6%	1%
Tennessee	62	2%	0%	42%	56%	70%	199%	123%	98%	98%	33%	167%	7%
Texas	146	6%	82%	191%	144%	144%	69%	67%	96%	96%	197%	225%	278%
Utah	59	9%	35%	15%	27%	29%	24%	30%	95%	93%	16%	55%	34%
Vermont	53	3%	0%	31%	43%	95%	33%	178%	237%	240%	61%	26%	26%
Virginia	5	5%	15%	22%	30%	60%	109%	59%	97%	96%	202%	174%	109%
Washington	21	1%	103%	15%	13%	21%	67%	76%	97%	96%	37%	56%	296%
West Virginia	1	%	1%	32%	107%	4%	44%	5%	100%	101%	82%	27%	12%
Wisconsin	33	3%	0%	32%	20%	55%	139%	108%	98%	99%	8%	10%	90%
Wyoming	C)%	0%	0%	0%	1%	7%	189%	293%	294%	53%	26%	46%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

					Tab	le 4-24							
	U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Grants:												
St	ates' Pe	r Capita		-					r Capita	Expendi	ture		
	States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2007												
Federal FY	Federal FY 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007												
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 1.13	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.69	\$ 2.23	\$ 2.20	\$ 2.38	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.89	
Alabama	104%	115%	98%	84%	106%	82%	91%	84%	133%	94%	108%	117%	
Alaska	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	152%	0%	151%	
Arizona	5%	35%	29%	39%	51%	127%	38%	38%	46%	39%	32%	55%	
Arkansas	29%	43%	36%	30%	34%	161%	27%	25%	39%	96%	29%	45%	
California	68%	98%	83%	27%	33%	24%	28%	59%	59%	41%	37%	64%	
Colorado	84%	114%	96%	143%	103%	383%	95%	119%	86%	80%	99%	122%	
Connecticut	140%	147%	125%	95%	121%	97%	111%	83%	101%	86%	464%	119%	
Delaware	490%	530%	468%	340%	445%	334%	538%	2%	205%	972%	1%	0%	
Florida	11%	59%	53%	52%	68%	21%	71%	24%	30%	34%	40%	54%	
Georgia	83%	107%	89%	72%	145%	76%	74%	60%	58%	64%	67%	76%	
Hawaii	166%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	722%	690%	0%	36%	
Idaho	149%	184%	154%	125%	149%	121%	106%	370%	357%	163%	207%	194%	
Illinois	34%	56%	48%	46%	62%	40%	77%	67%	89%	83%	83%	96%	
Indiana	103%	63%	53%	51%	66%	81%	48%	49%	48%	39%	34%	70%	
Iowa	466%	505%	431%	388%	566%	188%	25%	211%	208%	179%	224%	237%	
Kansas	41%	61%	375%	298%	62%	62%	90%	186%	178%	69%	78%	97%	
Kentucky	86%	113%	96%	376%	298%	208%	156%	119%	121%	122%	174%	148%	
Louisiana	49%	70%	50%	77%	105%	74%	216%	73%	307%	368%	156%	150%	
Maine	232%	351%	304%	261%	659%	278%	527%	623%	260%	274%	366%	414%	
Maryland	0%	140%	4%	46%	68%	136%	46%	40%	35%	48%	56%	14%	
Massachusetts	64%	144%	92%	112%	162%	106%	133%	236%	181%	189%	141%	154%	
Michigan	94%	94%	80%	80%	116%	90%	101%		77%	74%	101%	104%	
Minnesota	585%	537%	130%	99%	140%	111%	154%	132%	123%	113%	265%	156%	
Mississippi	57%	90%	77%	152%	216%	167%	165%	183%	214%	194%	202%	247%	
Missouri	163%	166%	394%	126%	194%	294%	556%	172%	233%	228%	256%	256%	
Montana	177%	219%	187%	52%	367%	193%	244%	201%	152%	157%	188%	247%	
Nebraska	344%	362%	813%	674%	422%	1361%	1074%	268%	308%	567%	277%	318%	
Nevada	0%	6%	645%	559%	18%	0%	23%	119%	102%	167%	153%	112%	
New Hampshire	425%	164%	139%	122%	210%	132%	593%	133%	173%	182%	168%	219%	
New Jersey	389%	89%	71%	62%	96%	72%	108%	259%	80%	109%	129%	127%	
New Mexico	99%	133%	113%	80%	114%	83%	100%	85%	74%	76%	87%	117%	
New York	49%	57%	97%	148%	60%	50%	53%	49%	55%	55%	175%	77%	
North Carolina	84%	0%	0%	0%	17%	36%	19%	21%	23%	23%	31%	56%	
North Dakota	92%	176%	151%	204%	330%	262%	273%		113%	301%	284%	128%	
Ohio	43%	64%	44%	44%	68%	56%	113%		63%	62%	81%	88%	
Oklahoma	482%	423%	471%	575%	476%	1042%	447%	369%	341%	360%	410%	466%	
Oregon	256%	0%	0%	33%	42%	38%	36%	31%	37%	45%	41%	60%	

Table 4-24 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2007																	
Federal FY		1996		1997	199	8	1999		2000		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$	1.13	\$	1.07	\$ 1.25	5 \$	5 1.55	\$	1.26	\$	1.65	\$ 1.69	\$ 2.23	\$ 2.20	\$ 2.38	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.89
Pennsylvania		80%		101%	869	%	154%		125%		163%	124%	90%	112%	114%	108%	132%
Rhode Island	2	296%		323%	3109	%	262%		358%		259%	342%	300%	216%	208%	257%	319%
South Carolina		95%		105%	899	%	77%		107%		74%	80%	270%	72%	66%	66%	116%
South Dakota	2	272%		271%	2319	%	186%		260%		200%	201%	111%	188%	74%	85%	151%
Tennessee		18%		50%	419	%	63%		87%		69%	76%	42%	162%	141%	37%	60%
Texas		0%		0%	579	%	98%		0%		3%	19%	58%	67%	59%	50%	44%
Utah	2	230%		53%	459	%	14%		84%		67%	68%	45%	61%	54%	48%	68%
Vermont	3	335%		363%	3599	%	225%		287%		222%	235%	214%	233%	198%	245%	263%
Virginia		56%		38%	329	%	28%		39%		30%	29%	69%	122%	116%	32%	35%
Washington		94%		112%	949	%	86%		109%		89%	93%	301%	86%	88%	94%	93%
West Virginia		19%		22%	199	%	21%		36%		32%	38%	432%	40%	35%	33%	40%
Wisconsin	1	128%		122%	929	%	136%		211%		152%	124%	187%	297%	282%	133%	154%
Wyoming	1	179%		229%	1959	%	95%		283%		221%	230%	175%	89%	109%	93%	123%

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Appendix:

Websites of Federal Agencies & Departments

U.S. Department of Agriculture www.usda.gov/wps/portal/usdahome
Appalachian Regional Commission <u>www.arc.gov/index.jsp</u>
U.S. Department of Commerce
Corporation for National and Community Service
Corporation for Public Broadcasting <u>www.cpb.org/</u>
U.S. Department of Defense
U.S. Department of Education <u>www.ed.gov/</u>
Election Assistance Commission <u>www.eac.gov/index_html1</u>
U.S. Department of Energy <u>www.energy.gov/</u>
Environmental Protection Agency <u>www.epa.gov/</u>
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission <u>www.eeoc.gov/</u>
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services <u>www.hhs.gov/</u>
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development <u>www.hud.gov/</u>
Institute for Museum and Library Services
U.S. Department of Interior <u>www.doi.gov/</u>
U.S. Department of Justice <u>www.usdoj.gov/</u>
U.S. Department of Labor <u>www.dol.gov/</u>
National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities National Endowment for the Arts <u>www.arts.endow.gov/</u> National Endowment for the Humanities <u>www.neh.gov/</u>

Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations

Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation www.nw.org/netv	vork/home.asp
Social Security Administration	<u>www.ssa.gov/</u>
State Justice Institute	<u>www.sji.gov/</u>
Tennessee Valley Authority	. <u>www.tva.gov/</u>
U.S. Department of Transportation	. <u>www.dot.gov/</u>
U.S. Department of Treasury	w.ustreas.gov/
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs	<u>www.va.gov/</u>